

Sarah Hanson

From: Brian Pixley
Sent: Thursday, May 20, 2021 2:38 PM
To: Casey Garrett
Subject: Re: Final SASO Petition Filed

LOL.....

Have you gotten a feel for where Henry and Margaret stand on the extra money for CCSO? I am going to go ahead and have Sarah start working on the IGA so we can be ahead of the game.

B

Sheriff B. Pixley
Columbia County Sheriff's Office
Phone: 503.366.4610
Dispatch: 503.397.1521
Fax: 503.366.4644

From: Casey Garrett <Casey.Garrett@columbiacountyor.gov>
Sent: Thursday, May 20, 2021 2:35 PM
To: Brian Pixley <Brian.Pixley@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: Re: Final SASO Petition Filed


Well I don't feel as bad now. I just found out IT never added me to the BOC email group that Sarah sent this to! Fixed now and working on finding out if I've missed anything else...

Casey Garrett, Commissioner
Columbia County Oregon
503.397.4322 DIRECT
503.396.1944 MOBILE
casey.garrett@columbiacountyor.gov

From: Brian Pixley <Brian.Pixley@columbiacountyor.gov>
Sent: Thursday, May 6, 2021 11:43 AM
To: Casey Garrett <Casey.Garrett@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: Fwd: Final SASO Petition Filed

Sheriff B. Pixley
Columbia County Sheriff's Office
Phone: 503.366.4610
Dispatch: 503.397.1521
Fax: 503.366.4644
Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:



Sarah Hanson



From: Lohman Jason <Jason.Lohman@doj.state.or.us>

Sent: Friday, April 30, 2021 4:39 PM

To: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>; sberman@stollberne.com <sberman@stollberne.com>; landersondana@stollberne.com <landersondana@stollberne.com>

Cc: Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us>

Subject: Columbia County v. In Rem - Appearance of the Attorney General (21CV12796)

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

Good afternoon Counsel,

Attached please find the Appearance of the Attorney General in Columbia County Case No. 21CV12796.

The attached was filed today in Columbia County Circuit Court.

You will also be receiving physical service copies via US mail.

Please advise if you need any additional information.

Thank you,

Jason Lohman

Legal Secretary to Sheila H. Potter | Brian S. Marshall | James S. Smith | Shaunee Morgan

Civil Litigation Section | Special Litigation Unit | Trial Division

Oregon Department of Justice

100 SW Market Street, Portland OR 97201

971.673.3878

*****CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE*****

This e-mail may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this e-mail in error, please advise me immediately by reply e-mail, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

Sarah Hanson

From: Sarah Hanson
Sent: Friday, February 26, 2021 2:04 PM
To: Steve Berman
Cc: Graciela Seaman; Department-Counsel
Subject: Re: Columbia County Measure 5-278 [Sarah's cell phone]

Steve, I'm in the office this afternoon and you are free to give me a call. I'm available on my cellphone Monday. [REDACTED] Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@columbiacounty.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>
Sent: Friday, February 26, 2021 1:09 PM
To: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacounty.gov>
Cc: Graciela Seaman <gseaman@stollberne.com>; Department-Counsel <department-counsel@columbiacounty.gov>
Subject: RE: Columbia County Measure 5-278 [Sarah's cell phone]

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

Hi Sarah,

Would you have a few minutes this afternoon or on Monday to discuss the status of Measure 5-278?

From: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacounty.gov>
Sent: Monday, February 22, 2021 8:12 AM
To: Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>
Cc: Graciela Seaman <gseaman@stollberne.com>; Department-Counsel <department-counsel@columbiacounty.gov>
Subject: RE: Columbia County Measure 5-278 [Sarah's cell phone]

Steve, I'm working remotely today and tomorrow. You can call anytime. [REDACTED] Sarah

Sent from Mail for Windows 10

From: Steve Berman
Sent: Monday, February 22, 2021 7:57 AM
To: Sarah Hanson

Cc: Graciela Seaman; [Department-Counsel](#)
Subject: RE: Columbia County Measure 5-278

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

Hi Sarah,

I got a bit distracted with other matters last week, and was unable to call. Is there a good time to talk over the next couple of days?

Thanks,

Steve

From: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Sent: Friday, February 12, 2021 7:30 AM
To: Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>
Cc: Graciela Seaman <gseaman@stollberne.com>; Department-Counsel <department-counsel@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: Re: Columbia County Measure 5-278

#External Email

Steve: I'll be in the office Tuesday if you would like to give me a call. Both the Ordinance and validation petition are well underway and should be ready by the end of the month. Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@columbiacountyor.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 11, 2021 12:30 PM
To: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Cc: Graciela Seaman <gseaman@stollberne.com>
Subject: RE: Columbia County Measure 5-278

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

Hi Sarah,

I wanted to check in on the status of Measure 5-278. Would you have a few minutes to touch base this afternoon, or on Tuesday?

Thanks,

Steve Berman
sberman@stollberne.com
Pronouns: he/him/his

Stoll Berne • 209 SW Oak Street, Suite 500 • Portland, OR 97204
stollberne.com • Phone: (503) 227-1600 • Fax: (503) 227-6840

This electronic mail transmission contains information from the law firm of Stoll Berne that may be confidential or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of this information is prohibited. If you believe that you have received this message in error, please notify the sender by telephone at (503) 227-1600 or by electronic mail and delete the message without copying or disclosing it. Thank you.

From: Steve Berman
Sent: Thursday, January 21, 2021 4:27 PM
To: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Cc: Mark Weiner <mweiner@everytown.org>; 'Graciela Seaman' <gseaman@stollberne.com>
Subject: Columbia County Measure 5-278

Dear Sarah,

Please see the attached regarding Columbia County Measure 5-278. Please contact me with any questions or if you would like to discuss.

Best,

Steve Berman
sberman@stollberne.com
Pronouns: he/him/his

Stoll Berne • 209 SW Oak Street, Suite 500 • Portland, OR 97204
stollberne.com • Phone: (503) 227-1600 • Fax: (503) 227-6840

This electronic mail transmission contains information from the law firm of Stoll Berne that may be confidential or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of this information is prohibited. If you believe that you have received this message in error, please notify the sender by telephone at (503) 227-1600 or by electronic mail and delete the message without copying or disclosing it. Thank you.

Sarah Hanson

From: Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>
Sent: Friday, February 26, 2021 1:09 PM
To: Sarah Hanson
Cc: Graciela Seaman; Department-Counsel
Subject: RE: Columbia County Measure 5-278 [Sarah's cell phone]

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

Hi Sarah,

Would you have a few minutes this afternoon or on Monday to discuss the status of Measure 5-278?

From: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Sent: Monday, February 22, 2021 8:12 AM
To: Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>
Cc: Graciela Seaman <gseaman@stollberne.com>; Department-Counsel <department-counsel@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: RE: Columbia County Measure 5-278 [Sarah's cell phone]

Steve, I'm working remotely today and tomorrow. You can call anytime.  Sarah

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

From: [Steve Berman](#)
Sent: Monday, February 22, 2021 7:57 AM
To: [Sarah Hanson](#)
Cc: [Graciela Seaman](#); [Department-Counsel](#)
Subject: RE: Columbia County Measure 5-278

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

Hi Sarah,

I got a bit distracted with other matters last week, and was unable to call. Is there a good time to talk over the next couple of days?

Thanks,

Steve

From: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Sent: Friday, February 12, 2021 7:30 AM
To: Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>
Cc: Graciela Seaman <gseaman@stollberne.com>; Department-Counsel <department-counsel@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: Re: Columbia County Measure 5-278

#External Email

Steve: I'll be in the office Tuesday if you would like to give me a call. Both the Ordinance and validation petition are well underway and should be ready by the end of the month. Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@columbiacountyor.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 11, 2021 12:30 PM
To: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Cc: Graciela Seaman <gseaman@stollberne.com>
Subject: RE: Columbia County Measure 5-278

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

Hi Sarah,

I wanted to check in on the status of Measure 5-278. Would you have a few minutes to touch base this afternoon, or on Tuesday?

Thanks,

Steve Berman
sberman@stollberne.com
Pronouns: he/him/his

Stoll Berne • 209 SW Oak Street, Suite 500 • Portland, OR 97204
stollberne.com • Phone: (503) 227-1600 • Fax: (503) 227-6840

This electronic mail transmission contains information from the law firm of Stoll Berne that may be confidential or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of this information is prohibited. If you believe that you have received this message in error, please notify the sender by telephone at (503) 227-1600 or by electronic mail and delete the message without copying or disclosing it. Thank you.

From: Steve Berman
Sent: Thursday, January 21, 2021 4:27 PM
To: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Cc: Mark Weiner <mweiner@everytown.org>; 'Graciela Seaman' <gseaman@stollberne.com>
Subject: Columbia County Measure 5-278

Dear Sarah,

Please see the attached regarding Columbia County Measure 5-278. Please contact me with any questions or if you would like to discuss.

Best,

Steve Berman

sberman@stollberne.com

Pronouns: he/him/his

Stoll Berne • 209 SW Oak Street, Suite 500 • Portland, OR 97204
stollberne.com • Phone: (503) 227-1600 • Fax: (503) 227-6840

This electronic mail transmission contains information from the law firm of Stoll Berne that may be confidential or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of this information is prohibited. If you believe that you have received this message in error, please notify the sender by telephone at (503) 227-1600 or by electronic mail and delete the message without copying or disclosing it. Thank you.

Sarah Hanson

From: Sarah Hanson
Sent: Thursday, September 3, 2020 8:12 AM
To: Department-Counsel
Subject: Fw: [county-counsels] Circuit Court Decision in Smith v Harney County - Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance
Attachments: MST44F3.pdf; IPfiled08052020.pdf

ftdm

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@co.columbia.or.us
Office Hours: Monday-Friday 8:30-5

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: county-counsels@googlegroups.com <county-counsels@googlegroups.com> on behalf of Rob Bovett <rbovett@oregoncounties.org>

Sent: Thursday, September 3, 2020 7:58 AM

To: County Counsel (Google Groups) <county-counsels@googlegroups.com>

Subject: [county-counsels] Circuit Court Decision in Smith v Harney County - Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

To all,

See attached from Tim Colahan and Dag Robinson from Harney County. I know this has been a hot issue in a number of counties recently.

Rob Bovett
Legal Counsel and Legislative Director
Association of Oregon Counties (AOC)
1201 Court Street NE, Suite 300
Salem, OR 97301
(503) 585-8351 (main line)
(541) 270-7414 (cell)
www.oregoncounties.org

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This communication with its contents may contain confidential and/or legally privileged information. It is solely for the use of the intended recipient(s). Unauthorized interception, review, use or disclosure is prohibited and may violate applicable laws including the Electronic Communications Privacy Act. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of the communication.

--

You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "County Counsels" group. To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to county-counsels+unsubscribe@googlegroups.com.

To view this discussion on the web visit <https://groups.google.com/d/msgid/county-counsels/CABfRFVWHYva7Y%3D9kF9rWhePuaag87Xbn3B9966dfriFXr82-bw%40mail.gmail.com>.

Sarah Hanson

From: Sarah Hanson
Sent: Wednesday, January 22, 2020 4:50 PM
To: Devin Huseby
Cc: Department-Counsel
Subject: Re: Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance
Attachments: Respondents' Supplemental Response to Petition Challenging Measure 19-1 Determination.pdf; Stamped SASO Response FINAL 2.6.19.pdf

Devin- I'm attaching the work I did on our SASO a year ago. We won but the decision was really weird. We ended up accepting a slightly revised SASO after the court ruling because it was so unclear. You can find the letter opinion in the OJD case file. Good luck. Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@co.columbia.or.us
Office Hours: Monday-Friday 8:30-5

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: county-counsels@googlegroups.com <county-counsels@googlegroups.com> on behalf of Devin Huseby <HusebyDD@jacksoncounty.org>
Sent: Wednesday, January 22, 2020 3:20 PM
To: county-counsels@googlegroups.com <county-counsels@googlegroups.com>
Subject: [county-counsels] Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

Jackson County received our third SASO/SAPO/SASA for the winter. We have rejected the prior two as administrative rather than legislative. An attorney for the petitioners (or their parent organization) insists that this is not administrative. I can't find any reported cases on this. Does anybody have circuit court orders dealing with this issue that they might be able to share? Thanks in advance.

Devin Huseby
Senior Assistant County Counsel
Phone: (541) 774-6165
Fax: (541) 774-6722
husebydd@jacksoncounty.org

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: THIS MESSAGE IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY TO WHICH IT IS ADDRESSED AND MAY CONTAIN INFORMATION THAT IS PRIVILEGED, CONFIDENTIAL, AND EXEMPT FROM DISCLOSURE UNDER APPLICABLE LAW. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT OR THE EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE FOR DELIVERING THE MESSAGE TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION, OR COPYING OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY THE SENDING PARTY IMMEDIATELY BY TELEPHONE.

--

You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "County Counsels" group. To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to county-counsels+unsubscribe@googlegroups.com.

To view this discussion on the web visit <https://groups.google.com/d/msgid/county-counsels/c928411e1bb8426abe8784edfa72fcac%40jacksoncounty.org>.

Sarah Hanson

From: Duane Bernard <jdmbernard57@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 8, 2021 10:40 AM
To: Margaret Magruder
Subject: Re: SAPO/SASO
Attachments: image001.png

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

Thanks lady.....

On Tue, Jun 8, 2021, 10:23 AM Margaret Magruder <Margaret.Magruder@columbiacountyor.gov> wrote:

Duane,

Below is text relating to the County's position on the SAPO/SASO Ordinance. I hope this is helpful.

Margaret

All of the penalties contained in the SAPO and SASO Petitions are built into the Ordinance, as Sections 5 and 6. The title "penalty" was deleted from Section 4 because that word does not accurately describe the contents of that Section, but the substance of the two initiatives is intact. In addition, the allegation that County's intent is to "frustrate the intent and undermine the actions of the voters" that the County deleted the severability clause. However, the severability clause is clearly set out in Section 5 of the Ordinance. Finally, in another allegation that the County seeks to invalidate the Ordinance. This is untrue. The County seeks review of the validity of the Ordinance due to legal issues it has identified as arising from it.

Margaret C. Magruder

Columbia County Commissioner

503-397-4322

503-709-9652 cell

Service ~ Engagement ~ Connection ~ Innovation



Sarah Hanson

From: CenturyLink Customer <tlob99@q.com>
Sent: Friday, June 4, 2021 11:24 PM
To: Sarah Hanson
Subject: Re: Inquiry about Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance

Sarah, thanks for reply. Casey called me and he helped me with my questions also. Thanks for the help.
Stay healthy
Lowell

From: Sarah <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
To: CenturyLink <tlob99@q.com>
Cc: Department-Counsel <department-counsel@columbiacountyor.gov>
Date: Friday, 4 June 2021 4:31 PM PDT
Subject: Re: Inquiry about Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance

Lowell,

I'm not aware that the Board has had any communication with out of county/state organizations related to the Ordinance or validation proceeding. I am sorry but I don't know where Everytown for Gun Safety is based.

The petition for validation is filed under ORS 33.710 to 33.720. This is called a "special proceeding" under Oregon law because it doesn't follow the normal litigation model where you have a plaintiff and a defendant. I've attached the petition which might help clarify the questions raised. The County isn't seeking to overturn the initiatives, only to get answers to the legal questions so that we can implement the initiatives.

My position is appointed by the Board of County Commissioners.

Please let me know if you have additional questions, and have a great weekend.

Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@columbiacountyor.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: CenturyLink Customer <tlob99@q.com>
Sent: Friday, June 4, 2021 11:30 AM
To: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: Re: Inquiry about Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance

Hi Sarah, Thanks for the response. Was the commissions decision to take this to court influenced by out of county/state groups or organizations? The commissions website states that an unusual provision was used to file the proceeding. What is that? Where is the group Everytown for Gun Safety based? I see the state is about to pass more restrictive firearms laws by partisan vote. Firearms need to be accessible at all times for self defense. Criminals do not abide by the law. The commissioners are not speaking for me or the voters of the county by their actions. Also, is your position an elected or hired position? Thank you for your reply and time on this matter.
Lowell

From: Sarah <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
To: tlob99 <tlob99@q.com>
Cc: Department-Counsel <department-counsel@columbiacountyor.gov>
Date: Friday, 4 June 2021 8:59 AM PDT
Subject: Inquiry about Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance

Dear Mr. Bentley: Commissioner Heimuller asked me to respond to your inquiry about the status of the Columbia County Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance. The Ordinance was adopted on March 31, 2021. It goes into effect at the end of June. The Ordinance has been submitted to the Circuit Court with a list of questions about the application of the Ordinance. That case is pending.

Please let me know if you have any additional questions. Thank you very much.

Best-

Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 |sarah.hanson@columbiacountyor.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

Sarah Hanson

From: Sarah Hanson
Sent: Friday, June 4, 2021 9:00 AM
To: tlob99@q.com
Cc: Department-Counsel
Subject: Inquiry about Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance

Dear Mr. Bentley: Commissioner Heimuller asked me to respond to your inquiry about the status of the Columbia County Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance. The Ordinance was adopted on March 31, 2021. It goes into effect at the end of June. The Ordinance has been submitted to the Circuit Court with a list of questions about the application of the Ordinance. That case is pending.

Please let me know if you have any additional questions. Thank you very much.

Best-

Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@columbiacountyor.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

Sarah Hanson

From: Sarah Hanson
Sent: Friday, June 4, 2021 4:31 PM
To: CenturyLink Customer
Cc: Department-Counsel
Subject: Re: Inquiry about Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance
Attachments: Petition Final.pdf

Lowell,

I'm not aware that the Board has had any communication with out of county/state organizations related to the Ordinance or validation proceeding. I am sorry but I don't know where Everytown for Gun Safety is based.

The petition for validation is filed under ORS 33.710 to 33.720. This is called a "special proceeding" under Oregon law because it doesn't follow the normal litigation model where you have a plaintiff and a defendant. I've attached the petition which might help clarify the questions raised. The County isn't seeking to overturn the initiatives, only to get answers to the legal questions so that we can implement the initiatives.

My position is appointed by the Board of County Commissioners.

Please let me know if you have additional questions, and have a great weekend.

Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@columbiacountyor.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: CenturyLink Customer <tlob99@q.com>
Sent: Friday, June 4, 2021 11:30 AM
To: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: Re: Inquiry about Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance

Hi Sarah, Thanks for the response. Was the commissions decision to take this to court influenced by out of county/state groups or organizations? The commissions website states that an unusual provision was used to file the proceeding. What is that? Where is the group Everytown for Gun Safety based? I see the state is about to pass more restrictive firearms laws by partisan vote.

Firearms need to be accessible at all times for self defense. Criminals do not abide by the law. The commissioners are not speaking for me or the voters of the county by their actions. Also, is your position an elected or hired position? Thank you for your reply and time on this matter.
Lowell

From: Sarah <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
To: tlob99 <tlob99@q.com>
Cc: Department-Counsel <department-counsel@columbiacountyor.gov>
Date: Friday, 4 June 2021 8:59 AM PDT
Subject: Inquiry about Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance

Dear Mr. Bentley: Commissioner Heimuller asked me to respond to your inquiry about the status of the Columbia County Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance. The Ordinance was adopted on March 31, 2021. It goes into effect at the end of June. The Ordinance has been submitted to the Circuit Court with a list of questions about the application of the Ordinance. That case is pending.

Please let me know if you have any additional questions. Thank you very much.

Best-

Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@columbiacountyor.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

Sarah Hanson

From: Springer, Dan <Dan.Springer@FOX.COM>
Sent: Thursday, June 3, 2021 10:27 AM
To: Sarah Hanson
Subject: RE: Fox News Inquiry: Second Amendment sanctuary

Sarah,

This is very helpful. Thanks for the thorough explanation. I'm going to be lining up interviews to do on the story and my plan is to travel down to Columbia County to shoot the whole story. I don't know when that will be yet, but I know I'd like to interview you so you can articulate some of the important legal questions that are out there.

Thanks,

Dan

From: Sarah Hanson [mailto:Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, May 19, 2021 12:53 PM
To: Springer, Dan <Dan.Springer@FOX.COM>
Cc: Department-Counsel <department-counsel@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: RE: Fox News Inquiry: Second Amendment sanctuary

Dan, I'm sorry I could not get back to you yesterday. In response to your questions:

No, that is not why the County is submitting the Ordinance to Court.

The County submitted its Ordinance to the Court under a special statutory proceeding under Oregon Law, for "Judicial Examination and Judgment of the Court as to the Regularity, Legality, Validity and Effect" of the Ordinance. The County Board has been clear that its desire is to uphold the will of the voters. That said, there are several legal questions that arise from the Ordinance. As an example, Oregon law generally does not permit the County to regulate within the City limits without consent. We have asked the Court to inform us whether the cities have consented. This and many other issues arising from the two voter passed initiatives and the Ordinance implementing them put the County and its residents in legal "limbo". The statutory process allows the county to get binding decisions from the Court which will allow us to move forward with the Ordinance in a form that is legal. This will give the Sheriff and DA certainty as to what can and cannot be prosecuted and what the County can budget. As an example of the budget issue, the initiatives and Ordinance provide that the County cannot budget funds in any way related to the enforcement of most criminal firearms laws. However, the County is required by law to provide court space for the Circuit Court and pay for it. We've asked the Court how we should handle that inconsistency. This proceeding will also inform residents of the County what firearms laws do apply to them so that no one is unwittingly found to violate a federal or state firearm law believing that they don't apply. We don't think anyone would want that result. So, to be clear, the County is not seeking to invalidate the Ordinance, only to get answers to the many legal questions raised by it. I'm attaching the Petition and Ordinance so that you can have a better idea of all the legal questions for which we are seeking clarity.

Related to your other questions, the Ordinance has no criminal penalties and we have no schedule from the Court at this point. I hope to have some direction about a briefing schedule soon.

Best- Sarah

Sent from Mail for Windows 10

From: Springer, Dan
Sent: Tuesday, May 18, 2021 10:42 AM
To: Sarah Hanson
Subject: RE: Fox News Inquiry: Second Amendment sanctuary

Sarah,

I just found the ballot measure 5-278. I see that fines could be up to \$2,000 for individuals and \$4,000 for a corporation. But I'm still a little unclear on the other questions.

From: Springer, Dan
Sent: Tuesday, May 18, 2021 1:08 PM
To: sarah.hanson@columbiacountyor.gov
Subject: Fox News Inquiry: Second Amendment sanctuary

Hi Sarah,

I'm the reporter for Fox News Channel covering the Pacific Northwest. I read an article on Columbia County's Second Amendment sanctuary ordinance and the pending court case. I had some questions before I pitch the story.

1. Did Columbia County initiate the court case in order to test its legality?
2. What are the fine amounts imposed on local law enforcement personnel who violate the Second Amendment sanctuary ordinance?
3. Does this ordinance make it a crime to enforce both state and federal gun laws?
4. When is a ruling expected? And will it have any legal authority outside of Oregon?

Thanks,

Dan Springer
Fox News Channel
Seattle Bureau correspondent
(206) 770-9642

This message and its attachments may contain legally privileged or confidential information. It is intended solely for the named addressee(s). If you are not an addressee indicated in this message (or responsible for delivery of the message to an addressee), you may not copy or deliver this message or its attachments to anyone. Rather, you should permanently delete this message and its attachments and kindly notify the sender by reply e-mail. Any content of this message and its attachments that does not relate to the official business of Fox Corporation, or its subsidiaries must be taken not to have been sent or endorsed by any of them. No representation is made that this email or its attachments are without defect.

This message and its attachments may contain legally privileged or confidential information. It is intended solely for the named addressee(s). If you are not an addressee indicated in this message (or responsible for delivery of the message to an addressee), you may not copy or deliver this message or its attachments to anyone. Rather, you should permanently delete this message and its attachments and kindly notify the sender by reply e-mail. Any content of this message and its attachments that does not relate to the official business of Fox Corporation, or its subsidiaries must be taken not to have been sent or endorsed by any of them. No representation is made that this email or its attachments are without defect.

Sarah Hanson

From: Casey Garrett
Sent: Thursday, June 3, 2021 9:21 AM
To: robgeneb@gmail.com
Subject: Ordinance 2021-1 In the Matter of Declaring a Second Amendment Sanctuary in Columbia County

Good morning Robert,

Here is the direct link to the ordinance that was passed. [Columbia County, Oregon Official Website - Second Amendment \(columbiacountyor.gov\)](https://www.columbiacountyor.gov/ordinance/2021-1)

Give it a look and don't hesitate to contact me if you have any additional questions or concerns.

Best regards,

Casey Garrett, Commissioner
Columbia County Oregon
503.397.4322 DIRECT
503.396.1944 MOBILE
casey.garrett@columbiacountyor.gov

Sarah Hanson

From: Sarah Hanson
Sent: Tuesday, June 1, 2021 6:33 PM
To: Tyler Smith
Cc: Department-Counsel
Subject: Re: Conferral on Motion to Intervene

Good evening, Tyler. I wanted to give you a heads up that I'll be filing a response to your motion to intervene in the next day or two. We won't be opposing the motion but will be responding to some of the inaccuracies in your motion.

Best-
Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 |sarah.hanson@columbiacountyor.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: Tyler Smith <tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com>
Sent: Friday, May 21, 2021 12:27 PM
To: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Cc: Tyler Smith <tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com>; Rob Olson <robert.jeffrey.olson@gmail.com>
Subject: Conferral on Motion to Intervene

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

Sarah,

Attached is the current draft of the Motion to Intervene. We would request that the County actually consent or stipulate to intervention so that there is a party to present the other side of this issue. You should realize that an invalidation of this ordinance will simply make the County revert to SASO and SAPO being in effect. Thus, the County may want to simply withdraw this validation proceeding and repeal Ordinance 2021-1.

We just found out about this, so we don't want to wait but are willing to wait until Monday if the County wants to consider consenting or stipulating to this Motion to Intervene. We will file Monday either way, unless you withdraw this.

Best wishes,
Tyler

Tyler Smith | Owner and Founding Attorney
Tyler Smith & Associates P.C.
503-266-5590 (work) | 503-266-5594 (work)
503-212-6392 (fax)
tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com

Our Law Firm: <http://www.RuralBusinessAttorneys.com>

The information contained in this e-mail is confidential and may also be attorney-client privileged. This information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. If you have received this communication and are not the intended recipient, please delete this message and contact our office immediately.

Sarah Hanson

From: no-reply@www.columbiacountyor.gov
Sent: Tuesday, June 1, 2021 6:28 PM
To: Margaret Magruder
Subject: Contact Commissioner Margaret Magruder - New Form Submission for Columbia County Oregon

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

A new submission has been received for Contact Commissioner Margaret Magruder at 06/01/2021 6:27 PM

First Name: Robert
Last Name: Bainbridge
Email Address: robgeneb@gmail.com

Company Name:

Phone: 5034106256
Address: 375 Metlako Way, Space 28
City: Columbia City
State/Province: OR
Country: US
Zip Code: 97018

Questions: Dear Margaret, I have a question regarding the 2A ordinances (SAPO, SASO) you are seemingly against. Why would you, an elected official, go against the will of the very voters that have kept you in office for the past (too many) years? Obviously, your backside has gotten way too comfortable holding down the taxpayer funded office chair that you seem to have mistaken for a throne. Do you have any idea how much discontent and anger that is brewing over this? You, as well as your other two colleges, are pulling a fast one on your constituents and the people (like myself) that have always supported you Margaret are pissed off and look forward to the next election. Your utter contempt for the will of the voters in our county will not be soon forgotten.

Sarah Hanson

From: Kathy Coddington
Sent: Tuesday, June 1, 2021 11:19 AM
To: Holly Miller; Phillip Barton; Matt Funk; Jim Sychowski; Jacyn Normine; Department-Counsel
Subject: PRR Brumbles/CC 27531111
Attachments: Brumbles CC 27531111.pdf; Public Records estimated cost1 (1).docx

Good morning IT and CC,

Please see the attached PRR.

Kathy Coddington, Board Office Specialist
Board of Commissioners Office
503-397-4322 ext. 8401



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

Sarah Hanson

From: no-reply@www.columbiacountyor.gov
Sent: Tuesday, June 1, 2021 6:25 PM
To: Henry Heimuller
Subject: Contact Commissioner Henry Heimuller - New Form Submission for Columbia County Oregon

A new submission has been received for Contact Commissioner Henry Heimuller at 06/01/2021 6:24 PM

First Name: Robert
Last Name: Bainbridge
Email Address: robgeneb@gmail.com
Company Name:
Phone: 5034106256
Address: 375 Metlako Way, Space 28
City: Columbia City
State/Province: OR
Country: US
Zip Code: 97018

Questions: Dear Henry, I have a question regarding the 2A ordinances (SAPO, SASO) you are seemingly against. Why would you, an elected official, go against the will of the very voters that have kept you in office for the past (too many) years? Obviously, your backside has gotten way too comfortable holding down the taxpayer funded office chair that you seem to have mistaken for a throne. Do you have any idea how much discontent and anger that is brewing over this? You, as well as your other two colleges, are pulling a fast one on your constituents and the people (like myself) that have always supported you Henry are pissed off and look forward to the next election. Your utter contempt for the will of the voters in our county will not be soon forgotten.

Sarah Hanson

From: Kathy Coddington
Sent: Tuesday, June 1, 2021 11:23 AM
To: Holly Miller; Phillip Barton; Matt Funk; Jim Sychowski; Department-Counsel
Subject: PRR Brumbles/BOC 27531145
Attachments: Brumbles BOC 27531145.pdf; Public Records estimated cost1 (1).docx

IT, CC, and BOC by blind,

Please see the attached PRR.

Kathy Coddington, Board Office Specialist
Board of Commissioners Office
503-397-4322 ext. 8401



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

Sarah Hanson

From: no-reply@www.columbiacountyor.gov
Sent: Tuesday, June 1, 2021 6:32 PM
To: Casey Garrett
Subject: Contact Commissioner Casey Garrett - New Form Submission for Columbia County Oregon

A new submission has been received for Contact Commissioner Casey Garrett at 06/01/2021 6:31 PM

First Name: Robert
Last Name: Bainbridge
Email Address: robgeneb@gmail.com
Company Name:
Phone: 5034106256
Address: 375 Metlako Way, Space 28
City: Columbia City
State/Province: OR
Country: US
Zip Code: 97018

Questions:

Dear Casey, I have a question regarding the 2A ordinances (SAPO, SASO) you are seemingly against. Why would you, an elected official, go against the will of the very voters that put you in office? Obviously, your backside has already gotten way too comfortable holding down the taxpayer funded office chair that you seem to have mistaken for a throne. Do you have any idea how much discontent and anger that is brewing over this? You, as well as your other two colleges, are pulling a fast one on your constituents and the people (like myself) that supported you Casey are pissed off and look forward to the next election. Your utter contempt for the will of the voters in our county will not be soon forgotten.

Sarah Hanson

From: Jacyn Normine
Sent: Tuesday, June 1, 2021 9:09 AM
To: Kathy Coddington
Subject: Fw: Columbia County - Media Coverage

Daily mail. Please.


Jacyn Normine, Board Office Administrator
Board of Columbia County Commissioners
503-397-4322 Ext 8400



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: Mike James <mike@yournewsinc.net>
Sent: Monday, May 31, 2021 8:04 AM
To: Mike James <mike@yournewsinc.net>
Subject: Columbia County - Media Coverage

Columbia County - Media Coverage

-  **The Chief News** Online Only Market: Portland, OR (21)
Behind the Wheel: Specialized law enforcement training May 29 2021 12:11AM PT
Behind the Wheel: Specialized law enforcement training Support local journalism by subscribing today! Click Here to see our current offers. The **COLUMBIA COUNTY** Sheriff's deputies patrolling Clatskanie do so ready to respond quickly to any emergency. Often, when the deputies are dispatched to a crime in progress, or a life and safety call, they must utilize specialize driving techniques. Safe and effective high speed driving techniques are part of the training for **COLUMBIA COUNTY** Sheriff's (CCSO) deputies. Through a partnership with the Washington County Sheriff's Office, **COLUMBIA COUNTY** deputies got the behind the wheel training this week. The training includes specialize maneuvering techniques at various speeds. **COLUMBIA COUNTY** Sheriff Brian Pixley said the collaboration is vitally important in law enforcement and he has worked diligent to develop relationships with agencies throughout the state. Pixley said the relationships allowed CCSO personnel to participate in Emergency Vehicle Operations (EVO) training in a collaborative

training effort May 26 with Washington County Sheriff's Office. "EVO training allows our deputies to refresh their driving skills through several different courses," Pixley said.

[View](#)

Unique Visitors: 1,453
Calc Publicity Value: \$90

CPM: \$11.38

Estimated Ad Value: \$30

2.  **Pamplin Tribune** Online Only Market: Portland, OR (21)

Gun-rights advocates challenge firearm proceedings

May 30 2021 08:07AM PT

Gun-rights advocates challenge firearm proceedings Log In In a legal filing, the SASO chief petitioner argues **COLUMBIA COUNTY** has no right to request judicial review. Gun-rights proponents have filed a motion to intervene in the judicial review of a **COLUMBIA COUNTY** ordinance prohibiting enforcement of most firearm laws. Chris Brumbles, the chief petitioner of the two ballot measures that are now under review, filed the motion on Monday through his attorney, Tyler Smith. Smith wrote that Brumbles and the other gun rights proponents "object to the tactics used by the County to bring this matter to this Court," and claimed that the county "seeks to undermine" the ordinances by filing the petition for judicial validation. "To be clear, the County is not seeking to invalidate the Ordinance, only to get answers to the many legal questions raised by it," county counsel Sarah Hanson stated in a May 25 press release. The county ordinance adopted earlier this year combined two ballot measures that had been approved by **COLUMBIA COUNTY** voters in 2020 and 2018 elections. **A D V E R T I S I N G** | Continue reading below The 2020 measure, called the Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance, prohibits the county from using any resources, including the **COLUMBIA COUNTY** Sheriff's Office, to enforce most laws regulating firearms. The 2018 measure, the Second Amendment Preservation Ordinance, affirms that **COLUMBIA COUNTY** residents have the right to buy, sell, make, keep and bear firearms and ammunition. Similar measures to those two have been approved by voters in several other predominantly rural Oregon counties in recent years. Smith, the attorney, argued that **COLUMBIA COUNTY** filing a petition for judicial validation was in and of itself a violation of the voter-approved 2020 ordinance. "The Board's petition violates this prohibition, by using county resources to seek this Court's permission to enforce gun control laws," Smith wrote. State law allows for governmental bodies to request judicial review of government action under some circumstances, essentially preempting any lawsuit that could arise from the action. **A D V E R T I S I N G** | Continue reading below A decision from **COLUMBIA COUNTY** Circuit Court Judge Ted Grove "will provide the Sheriff and District Attorney with certainty as to what can and cannot be prosecuted. It will also safeguard the County in the event other legal matters, like lawsuits, are raised against the Ordinance in the future," the county's press release explained. Government bodies can and do request judicial review regardless of whether elected leaders support the action in question. In Multnomah County, the board of commissioners filed a petition for judicial validation after voters approved a campaign finance reform measure in 2017. The board later filed an argument in support of the measure. **COLUMBIA COUNTY'S BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS** haven't filed an argument in support or against the firearm ordinance, as of Thursday, May 27. "This proceeding will also inform residents of the County what firearm laws do apply to them so that no one is unwittingly found to violate a federal or state firearm law that they believe doesn't apply because of the initiative measures," Hanson said in the press release. "We don't think anyone would want that result." The motion to intervene was filed on behalf of Brumbles; Keith Forsythe, owner of a local metal products manufacturer; Larry Ericksen, a Port of **COLUMBIA COUNTY COMMISSIONER**; Ruth Nelson; Gun Owners of America, Inc;

[View](#)

Unique Visitors: 118,231
Calc Publicity Value: \$828

CPM: \$11.38

Estimated Ad Value: \$276

Report Generated: 31 May 2021 15:04:52 UTC
Story Count: 2
Audience: 119,684
Unique Visitors: 119,684
Calc Ad Value: \$306
Calc Publicity Value: \$918

This report contains copyrighted material and may be used for file and reference purposes only. Any reproduction, sale or distribution is prohibited.

Portions © 2019 Nielsen Media Research, Inc.

Applicable ratings data contained herein are the copyrighted property of Nielsen Media Research, Inc.

All Rights Reserved.

Portions © 2019 Compete, Inc.

Applicable ratings data contained herein are for use pursuant to a license from Compete, Inc.

All Rights Reserved.


Portions © 2019 SimilarWeb LTD

Applicable ratings data contained herein are for use pursuant to a license from SimilarWeb LTD

All Rights Reserved.

From: Mike James <mike@yournewsinc.net>
Sent: Monday, May 31, 2021 8:05 AM
To: Mike James
Subject: Columbia County - Media Coverage


Columbia County - Media Coverage

1.  **The Chief News** Online Only Market: Portland, OR (21)
Behind the Wheel: Specialized law enforcement training May 29 2021 12:11AM PT

Behind the Wheel: Specialized law enforcement training Support local journalism by subscribing today! Click Here to see our current offers. The **COLUMBIA COUNTY** Sheriff's deputies patrolling Clatskanie do so ready to respond quickly to any emergency. Often, when the deputies are dispatched to a crime in progress, or a life and safety call, they must utilize specialize driving techniques. Safe and effective high speed driving techniques are part of the training for **COLUMBIA COUNTY** Sheriff's (CCSO) deputies. Through a partnership with the Washington County Sheriff's Office, **COLUMBIA COUNTY** deputies got the behind the wheel training this week. The training includes specialize maneuvering techniques at various speeds. **COLUMBIA COUNTY** Sheriff Brian Pixley said the collaboration is vitally important in law enforcement and he has worked diligent to develop relationships with agencies throughout the state. Pixley said the relationships allowed CCSO personnel to participate in Emergency Vehicle Operations (EVO) training in a collaborative training effort May 26 with Washington County Sheriff's Office. "EVO training allows our deputies to refresh their driving skills through several different courses," Pixley said.

[View](#) ▶

Unique Visitors: 1,453 CPM: \$11.38 Estimated Ad Value: \$30
Calc Publicity Value: \$90

2.  **Pamplin Tribune** Online Only Market: Portland, OR (21)
Gun-rights advocates challenge firearm proceedings May 30 2021 08:07AM PT

Gun-rights advocates challenge firearm proceedings Log InIn a legal filing, the SASO chief petitioner argues **COLUMBIA COUNTY** has no right to request judicial review. Gun-rights proponents have filed a motion to intervene in the judicial review of a **COLUMBIA COUNTY** ordinance prohibiting enforcement of most firearm laws. Chris Brumbles, the chief petitioner of the two ballot measures that are now under review, filed the motion on Monday through his attorney, Tyler Smith. Smith wrote that Brumbles and the other gun rights proponents "object to the tactics used by the County to bring this matter to this Court," and claimed that the county "seeks to undermine" the ordinances by filing the petition for judicial validation. "To be clear, the County is not seeking to invalidate the Ordinance, only to get answers to the many legal questions raised by it," county counsel Sarah Hanson stated in a May 25 press release. The county ordinance adopted earlier this year combined two ballot measures that had been approved by **COLUMBIA COUNTY** voters in 2020 and 2018 elections. A D V E R T I S I N G | Continue reading below The 2020 measure, called the Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance, prohibits the county from using any resources, including the **COLUMBIA COUNTY** Sheriff's Office, to enforce most laws regulating firearms. The 2018 measure, the Second Amendment Preservation Ordinance, affirms that **COLUMBIA COUNTY** residents have the right to buy, sell, make, keep and bear firearms and ammunition. Similar measures to those two have been approved by

voters in several other predominantly rural Oregon counties in recent years. Smith, the attorney, argued that **COLUMBIA COUNTY** filing a petition for judicial validation was in and of itself a violation of the voter-approved 2020 ordinance. "The Board's petition violates this prohibition, by using county resources to seek this Court's permission to enforce gun control laws," Smith wrote. State law allows for governmental bodies to request judicial review of government action under some circumstances, essentially preempting any lawsuit that could arise from the action. **A D V E R T I S I N G** | Continue reading below A decision from **COLUMBIA COUNTY** Circuit Court Judge Ted Grove "will provide the Sheriff and District Attorney with certainty as to what can and cannot be prosecuted. It will also safeguard the County in the event other legal matters, like lawsuits, are raised against the Ordinance in the future," the county's press release explained. Government bodies can and do request judicial review regardless of whether elected leaders support the action in question. In Multnomah County, the board of commissioners filed a petition for judicial validation after voters approved a campaign finance reform measure in 2017. The board later filed an argument in support of the measure. **COLUMBIA COUNTY'S BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS** haven't filed an argument in support or against the firearm ordinance, as of Thursday, May 27. "This proceeding will also inform residents of the County what firearm laws do apply to them so that no one is unwittingly found to violate a federal or state firearm law that they believe doesn't apply because of the initiative measures," Hanson said in the press release. "We don't think anyone would want that result." The motion to intervene was filed on behalf of Brumbles; Keith Forsythe, owner of a local metal products manufacturer; Larry Ericksen, a Port of **COLUMBIA COUNTY COMMISSIONER**; Ruth Nelson; Gun Owners of America, Inc;

[View](#) ▶

Unique Visitors: 118,231
Calc Publicity Value: \$828

CPM: \$11.38

Estimated Ad Value: \$276

Report Generated:	31 May 2021 15:04:52 UTC
Story Count:	2
Audience:	119,684
Unique Visitors:	119,684
Calc Ad Value:	\$306
Calc Publicity Value:	\$918

This report contains copyrighted material and may be used for file and reference purposes only. Any reproduction, sale or distribution is prohibited.

Portions © 2019 Nielsen Media Research, Inc.

Applicable ratings data contained herein are the copyrighted property of Nielsen Media Research, Inc.

All Rights Reserved.

Portions © 2019 Compete, Inc.

Applicable ratings data contained herein are for use pursuant to a license from Compete, Inc.

All Rights Reserved.

Portions © 2019 SimilarWeb LTD

Applicable ratings data contained herein are for use pursuant to a license from SimilarWeb LTD

All Rights Reserved.

Sarah Hanson

From: Senator Brian Boquist <Senator.BrianBoquist@public.govdelivery.com>
Sent: Saturday, May 29, 2021 1:00 PM
To: Henry Heimuller
Subject: Weekly Clips - Memorial Day Remembrance Week in Review (Citizen links)

Readers:

Welcome to this week's limited clips as most of the volunteers are celebrating spring and memorializing veterans for the next ten plus days. I spent yesterday at six cemeteries honoring the deceased on what was historically titled Decoration Day until 1971 then becoming Memorial Day as Congress and States re-wrote history.

*Legislature is moving quickly to spend the extra billions in tax collections and federal hand outs we all get to pay for in the future then bolt before the public is allowed back inside the closed Oregon State Capitol. You can track **5000 series budget bills** in the all powerful **Joint Ways and Means Committee** 100% controlled by Peter Courtney (D) and Tina Kotek (D).*

***SB 865** the Republican bill to strip away your freedom of assembly and freedom of speech sponsored by Senator Hansell (R-Athena) and Senator Findley (R-Vale) remains in waiting in Senator Wagner's Senate Rules Committee for one-hour notice. Testimony you all provided was deleted from OLIS on leadership instructions. So much for public records, transparency and public testimony. Also, it was confirmed in writing that testimony citizens submit, even if left posted, may take a business day to post meaning very likely committee members will never see it before the bill is passed. The majority staff admitted to removing testimony online as well. Another illusion of the fake legislature. No wonder Antifa, Black Lives Matter, and groups of all political spectrums left and right are protesting the decades old Democrat establishment. No amount of virtual signaling changes the historical fact the Democrats own every policy and problem in state government.*

***HB 3284** the vaccine passport enforcement bill is on the move to the Senate Floor with the work session approval of Senator President Courtney. You may look at the Attorney General babble on behalf of the Governor placed in the record by Senator Prozanski but the **text of the bill** used by the Democrat courts is exceptionally clear. The text removes "reasonable measures to ensure that personal health data does not and cannot personally identify resident individuals" out of the law. This 100% establishment Democrat bill is right out of the medical and judicial history of fascist Germany as doctors and lawyers pressed the establishment fascists for the extermination of medical undesirables, mentally ill, handicap, and their political opponents using police powers given by the courts. Doctors asked for these so-called mercy killings.*

Police reform bills of any real substance other than virtual signaling by the establishment government remain absent from passage. Remember, the so-called upholders of the law is the establishment Democrats like the establishment Democrat Governor, establishment Democrat Attorney General wanting vaccine passports, establishment Democrat appointed Judges, establishment District Attorneys, and establishment Sheriffs, and establishment police. The same establishment police who are notorious in the news for being mask raiders, business closers, free assembly blockers, and free speech censures. Now historical facts. Forget about constitutional oaths as this happens in every socialist or communist revolution as police illegally follow orders to keep their jobs. The old verbiage the 'fox in the hen house.'" Ask yourself, why large swaths of former exceptional law enforcement officers left the profession, quickly retired, or are seeking retirement right now?

HJR 18 to remove the emergency powers of the Governor as the Oregon Supreme Court would be required was defeated on the House Floor by the establishment Democrats to retain totalitarian power.

SR 2 remains in the Senate Committee on Rules. It would end the Governor's emergency powers regarding symptomatic Covid-19 as the Oregon Supreme Court required. It puts the Legislature back as an equal branch of power, which the Democrats control. It would open the Capitol to the people once again. The Democrat Committee Chair has so far refused a hearing.

OLIS. You can track upcoming bills the Democrats will pass with the Capital closed on OLIS. Given the level of censorship being pressed by the super majority Democrat leadership anything can happen in the next few weeks. Remember, any day Senate President Courtney will declare one-hour notice so the Democrats can pass bills out of Committee's in a single hour. Forget about public testimony or transparency.

And sign up for bill alerts in OLIS. You have to go to the each bill then the sign up follow the bill is in the upper right corner of the online page. Link example.

Rumors abound about deals being cut between Senator Fred Girod (R) and Senate President Peter Courtney long time friends to end Session.

Below are unedited links that have been provided to me by a variety of citizens this week if you are interested:

Limited Weekly Clips – Memorial Day Remembrance Week in Review (Citizen links)

Violation of interstate commerce as an Oregon woman is arrested in Hawaii for not following their communist quarantine rules

Anti Democrat anti-fascist protesters against the establishment Democrats vandalized Portland.

Police declare riot in Portland - Five people were arrested and booked into jail on various charges.

People who refuse to go back to "normal" even with the political pandemic vaccine

Christian college forced to allow non-biological males in private womens spaces

Vaccine passports are illegal: know your rights

Michigan Restaurant Owner Jailed For Violating Pandemic Restrictions Says Whitmer Should Face Penalties For Flouting Her Own Rules

Newsom Ordered to Pay \$1.35 Million in Settlement With Church over Pandemic Restrictions (he's probably paying it because of the recall election that the media is trying to downplay)

In what could be a huge win to force China to account for its lies during the political pandemic (must listen to podcast), the Biden Admin is folding. U.S. Will Defer to WHO on Further Investigation into COVID-19 Origins, Psaki Says

Biden then backtracked and said it would need a report in 90 days. How did he finagle this with China?

Ontario Congregation Charged For Meeting Outdoors After Police Lock Church. The quotes from the police are startling: "I absolutely do not [have sympathy]," said Hovart. "There are certain things that governments take a position on for the betterment of the community and the safety of the community and,..." .

Fauci Didn't Alert White House When Gain-Of-Function Research Ban Lifted; Said Worth Pandemic Risk In 2012: Report.

Black leaders on NRA history to protect freed slaves against the Dixiecrats and Ku Klux Klan .

Brown gets blowback on vaccination card requirements. Enforcement of the mandate will officially fall to the Oregon Occupational Safety and Health Division, state officials have said they expect businesses and employees to check for vaccine documentation. Making front line workers the gatekeepers and enforcers of state policy.

'Second Amendment Sanctuary' order invalid' argues Oregon Attorney General Ellen Rosenblum saying Columbia County's Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance should be declared invalid even though voters approved a version of the Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance last year.

Teacher Compares Disputing 'Anti-Racism' To Sexually Abusing Children in Beaverton School District.

Please note Readers continue to complain Google, You Tube and other tech companies constantly censure links while Senate President Peter Courtney spent the week trying to censure debate on Democrat Carried bills on the Senate Floor and in Committees blocking testimony from the public. Reality appears to support their claims.

Recall last week my italic closing asked you to think about three things: Boomers & Silent Generation switching from 1960s & 70s anti-establishment protesters to being the fascist establishment, the anti-fascist protest movements like Antifa and others being against establishment Democrat police state, and the environmental activist & global warming protesters being against the establishment Democrats who have ruled Oregon for more than five decades.

So who are the other decades old elected officials who have helped establishment Democrat state government of more than five decades keep in place the eighteen (18) month police power lockdown of what used be the free citizens of Oregon?

Sheriffs. *Every reader needs to remember Sheriff's are locally elected to balance, support and defend constitutional law. Certainly not what has happened per the news which reports deputies alongside of police shoulder to shoulder as armed mask raiders, business closers, free assembly blockers, and free speech censures. Where were the Sheriffs as over 1200 seniors were locked in congregated care to die: manslaughter, crimes against humanity and genocide? Not one arrest? Even local corporate media is asking the question now. Even a bold Senate Democrat has railed on this issue in the Legislature. **Contact your local Sheriff in hiding.** Vote them out of office if they fail to act.*

District Attorneys. *I suggested several weeks ago you contact your elected District Attorney for allowing eighteen months of gross criminal actions by state government in all 36 counties to go unchecked. OHA's report as of minutes ago lists 2665 Covid 19 deaths in Oregon. Half are seniors intentionally locked in centers to die which is manslaughter under Oregon law. OHA's COVID-19 outbreak report shows 32 active COVID-19 outbreaks in senior living communities and congregate living facilities, with three or more confirmed cases and one or more COVID-19 related deaths. The evidence is overwhelming. To be fair there does not appear to be any senior home deaths in Sherman, Wheeler and Gilliam County but has ANYONE heard of a District*

Attorney investigation even one of the 1200 plus locked in seniors? Even one? Then ask yourself about the continued child abuse being promoted against children and in schools by Brown, Allen, Sidelinger and OHA including the **new OHA rule to vaccinate children without parental consent**. Notice I have not mentioned the list of criminal violations District Attorneys have turned a blind eye to by the Oregon Health Authority, Oregon Medical Board, Oregon Board of Pharmacy, OSHA, or police criminals acting as armed billy stick wielding mask raiders, business closers, free assembly blockers, and free speech censures on private and business property. Guess since stealing public funds to pay for sexual harassment privileges is OK we can forget about criminal official misconduct charges unless you oppose the establishment Democrats? **Contact your local District Attorney in hiding**. Vote them out of office if they fail to act.

Judges. **Elkhorn v. Brown (2020) by the Oregon Supreme Court** like **Dread Scott (slavery)** and **Korematsu v. United States (citizen internment)** gave unconstitutional dictatorship power to Kate Brown by Judges. This despite two Oregon Supreme Court Justices concurring but opining on limitation and technical grounds while the Chief Justice recused herself. Like slavery being upheld by the Courts this will be reversed by the People. Two bold Circuit Court Judges in Baker City and Hillsboro stood up only to be slapped down by other lawyers ... where were the other 200 judges? Hope does remain as none of the Justices at the Oregon Court of Appeals have had a case to opine upon and rule as the other lower court cases have been blocked mostly on technicalities. Like Judges ruling you as a mere aggrieved citizen has no standing in court against establishment state bureaucrats and heavily armed police mask raiders, business closers, free assembly blockers, and free speech censures attacking you in your homes and private businesses. **Contact your local elected Judge**.

So there is no confusion, **crimes against humanity and genocide** can be and have been levied against politicians, **judges, lawyers, doctors, state bureaucrats, and law enforcement** leading to death penalty convictions.

Lastly, heard a very good quote this week which is worth repeating; 'in the history of mankind "all sheep are fleeced and then slaughtered in the end."'

Sun Tse made a very good observation 1000s of years ago you may want to ponder regarding the 'sheep' quote in that he wrote "the enemy of my enemy is my friend." While Sun Tse's quote is certainly situational ethics he was not 'fleeced and then slaughtered.'

In closing, please take a couple of minutes on this government paid holiday weekend to say a short prayer to the American combat veterans who gave their lives to defend the freedom they cherished at the time of their deaths. Even better, like our family, visit and decorate their graves.

De oppresso liber ... free the oppressed.

Sincerely,

Brian Boquist

Oregon State Senator

"Government serves government not the People"

Remember you may unsubscribe below at Manage Preferences.

SUBSCRIBER SERVICES OPTIONS:

- To **stop** receiving this newsletter, **add** new newsletters, or **modify** your subscription services click [Manage Preferences](#)
- For questions about subscriber services, please email [Help](#)
- To **delete your profile** and stop receiving all messages and information from the Oregon State Legislature, click [Delete Profile](#)

Information provided may not be final and is subject to change.

This email was sent to henry.heimuller@columbiacountyor.gov using GovDelivery · 707 17th St, Suite 4000 · Denver, CO 80202 · 1-800-439-1420



From: Mike James <mike@yournewsinc.net>
Sent: Friday, May 28, 2021 8:04 AM
To: Mike James
Subject: Columbia County - Media Coverage

Columbia County - Media Coverage

1.  **KGW-NBC Television** Market: Portland, OR (21)

KGW News at 11PM

May 27
2021 11:00PM PT

[11:15:25 PM] [0:47] I had some glimpses of sun during the day today in between those showers that raked through the region. It never really turned into a fantastic sunset but there were some good breaks in the clouds along with that good strong west wind right now on doppler radar we're mainly dry across the metro area and the valleys there are some sprinkles along the Western side of the valley and. A lot more rain to the north up in **COLUMBIA COUNTY** and then across Western Washington we're still getting some pretty decent rainfall in areas and you look at the rainfall distribution totally reflects what I just saw you showed you on doppler radar more to the north, four tenths at Tillamook. Fourteen hundreds in Portland although troutdale had a quarter of an inch but up around the puget sound about a half an it's now on the coast of Washington over an inch of rain stampede past got some snow and rain mixed in there in the central Washington cascades as we.

[View](#) ▶

Nielsen Audience: 29,604	Ad Value: \$685	Calc Ad Value: \$1,073
Calc Publicity Value: \$3,220	Runtime: 0:47	

2.  **KOIN-TV [CBS 6] Television** Market: Portland, OR (21)

'Second Amendment Sanctuary' order invalid, argues Oregon AG

May 27
2021 10:15PM PT

'Second Amendment Sanctuary' order invalid, argues Oregon AG Oregon Attorney General Ellen Rosenblum says **COLUMBIA COUNTY'S** Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance should be declared invalid (PORTLAND TRIBUNE) - Oregon's attorney general and a group of **COLUMBIA COUNTY** residents are getting involved in the ongoing legal proceedings over the county's Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance. Attorney General Ellen Rosenblum, through senior assistant attorney general Brian Simmonds Marshall, filed a document in the case, requesting that the ordinance be declared invalid. Rosenblum wrote that the county ordinance and the two ballot measures it was based on "conflict with and are incompatible with the state's criminal laws. the duties of the **COLUMBIA COUNTY** sheriff, the duties of the **COLUMBIA COUNTY** district attorney, and the duties of other offers and departments of **COLUMBIA COUNTY**. Four **COLUMBIA COUNTY** residents also filed to appear as "interested parties" in opposition to the ordinance. The four residents are Brandee Dudzic, a 2020 candidate for the county board of commissioners; Shana Cavanaugh, the founder of the progressive group Moving Forward **COLUMBIA COUNTY**; Robert Pile, a member of the gun control organization Moms Demand Action; and Joe Lewis, a former Scappoose School Board member who was one of nine people injured at the Kent State shooting in 1970, when Ohio National Guard members fatally shot four people protesting the Vietnam War. The four are represented by attorneys from the Oregon

firm Stoll Berne, but are asking the court to allow two New York-based attorneys to join the case. The attorneys, Mark Weiner and Len Kamdang, are with Everytown Law, the litigation arm of the gun control nonprofit Everytown for Gun Safety. "We have a range of opinions in **COLUMBIA COUNTY** on many issues, including gun laws, but tying the hands of law enforcement officials here in **COLUMBIA COUNTY** is not the answer," Pile said in a press release from Everytown Law. Eric Tirschwell, managing director for Everytown Law, said in a press release that Oregon law and the U.S. constitution "make clear that local governments don't have the legal authority to pick and choose which public safety laws apply within their borders." "Groups that oppose state and federal gun laws have every. right to try to change them in the statehouse and Congress, but claiming to nullify them at the local level is both unconstitutional and dangerous. That's not how our democracy works," Tirschwell said. In a Jan. 21 letter to **COLUMBIA COUNTY** attorney Sarah Hanson, attorneys from Stoll Berne and Everytown Law argued that the county ordinance was not permissible under state and federal law, and recommended that the county use the state's procedure for judicial validation proceedings. Chris Brumbles, a **COLUMBIA COUNTY** resident and gun rights activist who filed both ballot measures, said that he disagrees with the county's choice to pursue judicial validation. "I think they did this so that they could get it in front of a judge, so the judge could throw it out. I hope I'm wrong."

[View](#) ▶

Unique Visitors: 204,992

CPM: \$11.38

Estimated Ad Value: \$589

Calc Publicity Value: \$1,767

3.  **Pamplin Tribune** Online Only Market: Portland, OR (21)

'Second Amendment Sanctuary'decree invalid, argues Oregon AG

May 27

2021 07:29PM PT

'Second Amendment Sanctuary'decree invalid, argues Oregon AG Oregon Attorney General Ellen Rosenblum says **COLUMBIA COUNTY'S** Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance should be declared invalid. FILE - Oregon Attorney General Ellen Rosenblum. FILE - Oregon Attorney General Ellen Rosenblum. Oregon's attorney general and a group of **COLUMBIA COUNTY** residents are getting involved in the ongoing legal proceedings over the county's Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance. Attorney General Ellen Rosenblum, through senior assistant attorney general Brian Simmonds Marshall, filed a document in the case, requesting that the ordinance be declared invalid. Rosenblum wrote that the county ordinance and the two ballot measures it was based on "conflict with and are incompatible with the state's criminal laws. the duties of the **COLUMBIA COUNTY** sheriff, the duties of the **COLUMBIA COUNTY** district attorney, and the duties of other offers and departments of **COLUMBIA COUNTY**. Four **COLUMBIA COUNTY** residents also filed to appear as "interested parties" in opposition to the ordinance. The four residents are Brandee Dudzic, a 2020 candidate for the county board of commissioners; Shana Cavanaugh, the founder of the progressive group Moving Forward **COLUMBIA COUNTY**; Robert Pile, a member of the gun control organization Moms Demand Action; and Joe Lewis, a former Scappoose School Board member who was one of nine people injured at the Kent State shooting in 1970, when Ohio National Guard members fatally shot four people protesting the Vietnam War. A D V E R T I S I N G | Continue reading below The four are represented by attorneys from the Oregon firm Stoll Berne, but are asking the court to allow two New York-based attorneys to join the case. The attorneys, Mark Weiner and Len Kamdang, are with Everytown Law, the litigation arm of the gun control nonprofit Everytown for Gun Safety. "We have a range of opinions in **COLUMBIA COUNTY** on many issues, including gun laws, but tying the hands of law enforcement officials here in **COLUMBIA COUNTY** is not the answer," Pile said in a press release from Everytown Law. Eric Tirschwell, managing director for Everytown Law, said in a press release that Oregon law and the U.S. constitution "make clear that local governments don't have the legal authority to pick and choose which public safety laws apply within their borders." "Groups that oppose state and federal gun laws have every. right to try to change

them in the statehouse and Congress, but claiming to nullify them at the local level is both unconstitutional and dangerous. That's not how our democracy works," Tirschwell said. In a Jan. 21 letter to **COLUMBIA COUNTY** attorney Sarah Hanson, attorneys from Stoll Berne and Everytown Law argued that the county ordinance was not permissible under state and federal law, and recommended that the county use the state's procedure for judicial validation proceedings. Chris Brumbles, a **COLUMBIA COUNTY** resident and gun rights activist who filed both ballot measures, said that he disagrees with the county's choice to pursue judicial validation. **A D V E R T I S I N G** | Continue reading below "I think they did this so that they could get it in front of a judge, so the judge could throw it out. I hope I'm wrong.

View ►

Instead, it laid out the issues to consider in evaluating the legality and constitutionality of the ordinance. Voters approved a version of the Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance last year. The ordinance would effectively bar local law enforcement from enforcing almost any restrictions on gun sales or ownership within **COLUMBIA COUNTY**. You count on us to stay informed and we depend on you to fund our efforts. Quality local journalism takes time and money.

View ►

Unique Visitors: 118,231
Calc Publicity Value: \$828

CPM: \$11.38

Estimated Ad Value: \$276

4.  **KATU-TV [ABC 2]** **Television** Market: Portland, OR (21)

Green Berets train in Columbia County Businesses prepare for a jam-packed weekend

May 28

2021 05:12AM PT

Green Berets train in **COLUMBIA COUNTY** Businesses prepare for a jam-packed weekend, advise people to pack patience Businesses prepare for a jam-packed weekend, advise people to pack patience U.S. Army Special Forces Soldiers with 3rd Battalion, 1st Special Forces Group (Airborne), perform maritime operations training around the Columbia River. Oregon, May 2021. Special Forces Operational Detachment Alphas routinely train on a variety of infiltration platforms that include sea, land and air, to insert into isolated or denied areas overseas and respond aggressively to national threats of the 21st century. The training along the Columbia River presents a more diverse and unique training environment that isn't available at nearby military installations. (U.S. Army still image from video) **COLUMBIA COUNTY**, Ore. If you heard big helicopters flying around in the middle of the night this week, it was just some Green Berets out from some training. They're based out of Joint Base Lewis-McChord and they landed in **COLUMBIA COUNTY** just northeast of Clatskanie on Tuesday and Wednesday. Video released by the U.S. Army showed soldiers appearing to board and search a boat or ship. A Chinook helicopter dropped a soldier onto a pier and then later hoisted a litter to the helicopter, possibly with a person on it. And they also performed dive exercises from a boat. Watch the video: More Videos Volume 90% Press shift question mark to access a list of keyboard shortcuts Keyboard Shortcuts Enabled Disabled Play/Pause SPACE Increase Volume Decrease Volume Seek Forward Seek Backward Captions On/Off c Fullscreen/Exit Fullscreen f Mute/Unmute m Seek % 0-9 Next Up Businesses prepare for. a jam-packed weekend, advise people to pack patience Email facebook twitter Link <https://katu.com/news/local/green-berets-train-in-COLUMBIA-COUNTY?video=9c51a2614b94440d92fae179f2388a61&jwsourc=cl> Copied Embed Copied Live 00:00 00:00 00:00 (Video: U.S. Department of Defense) The military says the Columbia River offers unique training ground not readily available at most military bases. It's a perfect storm of tourism: A holiday weekend, nice weather and lower COVID-19 restrictions for many Northwest counties.

View ►

They don't control the policies within their company, or within the state. And I ask travelers to treasure the staff and the employees, just as you treasure the beach." More Videos Volume 90% Press shift question mark to access a list of keyboard shortcuts Keyboard Shortcuts Enabled Disabled Play/Pause SPACE Increase

Volume Decrease Volume Seek Forward Seek Backward Captions On/Off c Fullscreen. Exit Fullscreen f Mute/Unmute m Seek % 0-9 Next Up Green Berets train in **COLUMBIA COUNTY** Email facebook twitter Link [https://katu.com/news/local/green-berets-train-in-COLUMBIA-](https://katu.com/news/local/green-berets-train-in-COLUMBIA-COUNTY?video=f59c694279964e8da521e45c8a1c6739&jwsourc=cl)

COUNTY?video=f59c694279964e8da521e45c8a1c6739&jwsourc=cl Copied Embed Copied Live 00:00 00:00 00:00 Businesses prepare for a jam-packed weekend, advise people to pack patience (KATU - 5 PM) Packing your patience will be a good piece of advice no matter where you're headed. In the Columbia River Gorge, locals say it's hard to even get a parking spot anymore.

View ▶

the view will be much better, so they headed out to the Gorge on Thursday. If you are headed to the Gorge over the holiday weekend, or anytime really, remember that some of the hiking trails are still closed because of the wildfire damage, and there's no parking on the side of much of the scenic Columbia River Highway. More Videos Volume 90% Press shift question mark to access a list of keyboard shortcuts Keyboard Shortcuts Enabled Disabled Play/Pause SPACE Increase Volume Decrease Volume Seek Forward Seek Backward Captions On/Off c Fullscreen/Exit Fullscreen f Mute/Unmute m Seek % 0-9 Next Up Green Berets train in **COLUMBIA COUNTY**. Email facebook twitter Link <https://katu.com/news/local/green-berets-train-in-COLUMBIA-COUNTY?video=268c4d756bed4e30ac0b6b00daecc8f3&jwsourc=cl> Copied Embed Copied Live 00:00 00:00 00:00 Businesses prepare for a jam-packed weekend, advise people to pack patience{ } (KATU - 4 PM) It's a perfect storm of tourism: A holiday weekend, nice weather and lower COVID-19 restrictions for many Northwest counties. Keep Up-to-Date with Holiday Weekend Weather It adds up to a lot more people headed out for a break, possibly record-breaking crowds.

View ▶

They don't control the policies within their company, or within the state. And I ask travelers to treasure the staff and the employees, just as you treasure the beach." More Videos Volume 90% Press shift question mark to access a list of keyboard shortcuts Keyboard Shortcuts Enabled Disabled Play/Pause SPACE Increase Volume Decrease Volume Seek Forward Seek Backward Captions On/Off c Fullscreen. Exit Fullscreen f Mute/Unmute m Seek % 0-9 Next Up Green Berets train in **COLUMBIA COUNTY** Email facebook twitter Link [https://katu.com/news/local/green-berets-train-in-COLUMBIA-](https://katu.com/news/local/green-berets-train-in-COLUMBIA-COUNTY?video=f59c694279964e8da521e45c8a1c6739&jwsourc=cl)

COUNTY?video=f59c694279964e8da521e45c8a1c6739&jwsourc=cl Copied Embed Copied Live 00:00 00:00 00:00 Businesses prepare for a jam-packed weekend, advise people to pack patience (KATU - 5 PM) Packing your patience will be a good piece of advice no matter where you're headed. In the Columbia River Gorge, locals say it's hard to even get a parking spot anymore.

View ▶

the view will be much better, so they headed out to the Gorge on Thursday. If you are headed to the Gorge over the holiday weekend, or anytime really, remember that some of the hiking trails are still closed because of the wildfire damage, and there's no parking on the side of much of the scenic Columbia River Highway. More Videos Volume 90% Press shift question mark to access a list of keyboard shortcuts Keyboard Shortcuts Enabled Disabled Play/Pause SPACE Increase Volume Decrease Volume Seek Forward Seek Backward Captions On/Off c Fullscreen/Exit Fullscreen f Mute/Unmute m Seek % 0-9 Next Up Green Berets train in **COLUMBIA COUNTY**. Email facebook twitter Link <https://katu.com/news/local/green-berets-train-in-COLUMBIA-COUNTY?video=268c4d756bed4e30ac0b6b00daecc8f3&jwsourc=cl> Copied Embed Copied Live 00:00 00:00 00:00 Businesses prepare for a jam-packed weekend, advise people to pack patience{ } (KATU - 4 PM) Author: Katu Staff

View ▶

Unique Visitors: 332,156

CPM: \$11.38

Estimated Ad Value: \$815

Calc Publicity Value: \$2,445

5. **The Chief News** Online Only Market: Portland, OR (21)

COVID-19: **COLUMBIA COUNTY'S** next steps to move out of High Risk Support local journalism by subscribing today! Click Here to see our current offers. Counties surrounding **COLUMBIA COUNTY** have moved to Moderate and Lower Risk classifications under the state's pandemic health and safety guidelines but Columbia isn't there yet. More **COLUMBIA COUNTY** residents need to be vaccinated before the county is allowed to move out of the state's High Risk classification and see health and safety restrictions lifted. While Oregon nears Governor Kate Brown's goal of a 70% vaccination rate allowing COVID-19 restrictions to be lifted, state health officials are monitoring key factors. The Oregon Health Authority's (OHA) COVID-19 Weekly Report is one of the main factors under review.

[View ▶](#)

People 70 years of age and older have accounted for 38% of COVID-19 related hospitalizations and 75% of COVID-19 related deaths. The COVID-19 Weekly Outbreak Report shows 32 active COVID-19 outbreaks in senior living communities and congregate living settings, with three or more confirmed cases and one or more COVID-19 related deaths. **COLUMBIA COUNTY'S** classification **COLUMBIA COUNTY'S** COVID-19 cases have slowly decreased over the past week but the county remains in the state's High Risk classification. The High Risk classification includes restrictions on indoor restaurant dining, fitness centers and gyms, indoor theaters, concert halls, museums, faith institutions, funeral homes, and retail stores. **COLUMBIA COUNTY** Public Health Director Michael Paul told The Chief that for the county to move out of risk classifications and allow restrictions to be lifted, more residents need to be vaccinated. Under the state's health and safety plan to lift the pandemic restrictions, counties must reach 65% of the county population 16 or older with a first vaccine dose and submit a complete plan to the Oregon Health Authority which details how the county will close the equity gaps in its vaccination rates. Paul said **COLUMBIA COUNTY** Health is finalizing its equity plan and according to data from the OHA, slightly more than 20,000 **COLUMBIA COUNTY** residents have received at least one COVID-19 dose. Author: Jeremy C. Ruark

[View ▶](#)

Unique Visitors: 1,453
Calc Publicity Value: \$90

CPM: \$11.38

Estimated Ad Value: \$30

Report Generated:	28 May 2021 15:04:13 UTC
Story Count:	5
Audience:	686,436
Nielsen Audience:	29,604
Unique Visitors:	656,832
Ad Value:	\$685
Calc Ad Value:	\$2,783
Calc Publicity Value:	\$8,350
Runtime:	0:47

This report contains copyrighted material and may be used for file and reference purposes only. Any reproduction, sale or distribution is prohibited.

Portions © 2019 Nielsen Media Research, Inc.
Applicable ratings data contained herein are the copyrighted property of Nielsen Media Research, Inc.
All Rights Reserved.
Portions © 2019 Compete, Inc.
Applicable ratings data contained herein are for use pursuant to a license from Compete, Inc.
All Rights Reserved.
Portions © 2019 SimilarWeb LTD
Applicable ratings data contained herein are for use pursuant to a license from SimilarWeb LTD
All Rights Reserved.

Sarah Hanson

From: Lydia Anderson-Dana <landersondana@stollberne.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 27, 2021 9:59 AM
To: Sarah Hanson; Marshall Brian S
Cc: Steve Berman; Mark Weiner; Len Hong Kamdang; Graciela Seaman
Subject: Columbia County Validation Proceeding- draft of 2nd letter to the Court
Attachments: 2nd ltr to Court re scheduling, 3.docx

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

Sarah and Brian,

Please see attached for a draft of the second letter to the Court regarding scheduling. If this works for you, we'll get it filed.

Thanks,
Lydia

Lydia Anderson-Dana
landersondana@stollberne.com
Pronouns: she/her/hers

Stoll Berne • 209 SW Oak Street, Suite 500 • Portland, OR 97204
stollberne.com • Phone: (503) 227-1600 • Fax: (503) 227-6840

This electronic mail transmission contains information from the law firm of Stoll Berne that may be confidential or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of this information is prohibited. If you believe that you have received this message in error, please notify the sender by telephone at (503) 227-1600 or by electronic mail and delete the message without copying or disclosing it. Thank you.

Sarah Hanson

From: Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us>
Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2021 2:34 PM
To: Kelly Johnson
Subject: Accepted: Conferral on Motion to Intervene (SASO)

*****CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE*****

This e-mail may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this e-mail in error, please advise me immediately by reply e-mail, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

Sarah Hanson

From: Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2021 2:48 PM
To: Kelly Johnson
Subject: Accepted: Conferral on Motion to Intervene (SASO)

Sarah Hanson

From: Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us>
Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2021 11:48 AM
To: Sarah Hanson; Lydia Anderson-Dana; Steve Berman
Cc: Department-Counsel
Subject: RE: Conferral on Motion to Intervene

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

I'd like to join that conversation tomorrow. Thanks.

Brian Simmonds Marshall

Oregon Department of Justice
503.507.5014 (mobile)

From: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2021 11:41 AM
To: Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us>; Lydia Anderson-Dana <landersondana@stollberne.com>; Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>
Cc: Department-Counsel <department-counsel@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: Re: Conferral on Motion to Intervene

CAUTION EXTERNAL EMAIL This email originated from outside of DOJ. Treat attachments and links with caution. ***CAUTION EXTERNAL EMAIL***

Brian, OJCIN shows the motion was filed yesterday. I haven't had any follow up conversations with Smith. Steve and I are talking tomorrow at 9. You are welcome to participate in that conversation. I am interested to know what if any response to the Motion to Intervene you are planning. Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@columbiacountyor.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us>
Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2021 11:23 AM
To: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>; Lydia Anderson-Dana <landersondana@stollberne.com>; Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>
Cc: Department-Counsel <department-counsel@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: RE: Conferral on Motion to Intervene

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

No one has conferred with us on this motion either. Sarah, do you have an update on when the proposed-intervenors plans to file? Have you discussed with them the schedule we proposed to the court?

Brian Simmonds Marshall
Oregon Department of Justice
503.507.5014 (mobile)

From: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Sent: Friday, May 21, 2021 2:07 PM
To: Lydia Anderson-Dana <landersondana@stollberne.com>; Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>; Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us>
Cc: Department-Counsel <department-counsel@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: Re: Conferral on Motion to Intervene

CAUTION EXTERNAL EMAIL This email originated from outside of DOJ. Treat attachments and links with caution. ***CAUTION EXTERNAL EMAIL***

Thank you Lydia. Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@columbiacountyor.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: Lydia Anderson-Dana <landersondana@stollberne.com>
Sent: Friday, May 21, 2021 1:26 PM
To: Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>; Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>; Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us>
Cc: Department-Counsel <department-counsel@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: RE: Conferral on Motion to Intervene

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

Sarah- please see attached for an order from a validation proceeding in Multnomah County on this issue.

Thanks,
Lydia

From: Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>
Sent: Friday, May 21, 2021 1:21 PM
To: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>; Lydia Anderson-Dana <landersondana@stollberne.com>; Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us>
Cc: Department-Counsel <department-counsel@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: RE: Conferral on Motion to Intervene

Thanks Sarah,

Mr. Smith has not conferred with us.

From: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Sent: Friday, May 21, 2021 1:15 PM
To: Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>; Lydia Anderson-Dana <landersondana@stollberne.com>; Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us>
Cc: Department-Counsel <department-counsel@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: Fw: Conferral on Motion to Intervene

#External Email

Steve, Lydia and Brian: I'm forwarding a draft motion to intervene. The County has been asked to consent to the intervention. I am conferring with my Board. Has Mr. Smith conferred with you? Thank you.

Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@columbiacountyor.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: Tyler Smith <tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com>
Sent: Friday, May 21, 2021 12:27 PM
To: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Cc: Tyler Smith <tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com>; Rob Olson <robert.jeffrey.olson@gmail.com>
Subject: Conferral on Motion to Intervene

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

Sarah,

Attached is the current draft of the Motion to Intervene. We would request that the County actually consent or stipulate to intervention so that there is a party to present the other side of this issue. You should realize that an invalidation of this ordinance will simply make the County revert to SASO and SAPO being in effect. Thus, the County may want to simply withdraw this validation proceeding and repeal Ordinance 2021-1.

We just found out about this, so we don't want to wait but are willing to wait until Monday if the County wants to consider consenting or stipulating to this Motion to Intervene. We will file Monday either way, unless you withdraw this.

Best wishes,
Tyler

Tyler Smith | Owner and Founding Attorney
Tyler Smith & Associates P.C.
503-266-5590 (work) | 503-266-5594 (work)
503-212-6392 (fax)
tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com

Our Law Firm: <http://www.RuralBusinessAttorneys.com>

The information contained in this e-mail is confidential and may also be attorney-client privileged. This information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. If you have received this communication and are not the intended recipient, please delete this message and contact our office immediately.

*****CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE*****

This e-mail may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this e-mail in error, please advise me immediately by reply e-mail, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

Sarah Hanson

From: Jacyn Normine
Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2021 10:56 AM
To: Public Information;Kathy Coddington
Subject: Re: PRESS RELEASE - Columbia County Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance submitted to Judge Ted Grove for Judicial Examination and Judgment

Thank you,
This has been posted on the web page and shared with Columbia County staff

Jacyn Normine, Board Office Administrator
Board of Columbia County Commissioners
503-397-4322 Ext 8400



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: Public Information <public.info@columbiacountyor.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2021 9:41 AM
To: Anna Del Savio <annad@pamplinmedia.com>; Chronicle Classifieds <chronicleclassifieds@countrymedia.net>; Chronicle News <chroniclenews@countrymedia.net>; Jeremy Ruark <jruark@countrymedia.net>; KATU <newstips@katu.com>; KOIN <news@koin.com>; KPTV <kptvnews@kptv.com>; Oregonian Public Interest Editor <lgunderson@oregonian.com>; TDN Community Editor <nedwards@tdn.com>; TDN Editor <bholtzclaw@tdn.com>
Cc: Jacyn Normine <Jacyn.Normine@columbiacountyor.gov>; Margaret Magruder <Margaret.Magruder@columbiacountyor.gov>; Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: PRESS RELEASE - Columbia County Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance submitted to Judge Ted Grove for Judicial Examination and Judgment

Morning all,

Please see the press release attached and/or copied below.

Best,

Julie Thompson
Public Information Officer
971-757-4051

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:

May 25, 2021

Columbia County Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance submitted to Judge Ted Grove for Judicial Examination and Judgment

(Columbia County, Oregon) – The Columbia County Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance, recently adopted by the Columbia County Board of Commissioners to implement the intent of the voters as demonstrated by the passage of the “Second Amendment Preservation Ordinance” in 2018 and the “Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance” in 2020, has now been passed to Judge Ted Grove for ‘Judicial Examination and Judgement of the Court as to the Regularity, Legality, Validity and Effect’ of the ordinance via a petition for validation of local government action.

Under ORS 33.710, the Columbia County Circuit Court is authorized to conduct an examination of the ordinance and to provide a judgement as to the legality of the authority of a county governing body to enact the Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance.

The County filed the petition to clear up several important legal questions about what firearm regulations can be enforced in Columbia County. Through this process, Judge Grove can provide clarity on the matter.

“To be clear, the County is not seeking to invalidate the Ordinance, only to get answers to the many legal questions raised by it,” County Counsel Sarah Hanson said. “As an example, Oregon law generally does not permit the County to regulate within the City limits without consent. We have asked the Court to inform us whether the cities have consented.

Hanson said this and many other questions arising from the two voter-passed initiatives and the Ordinance implementing them put the County and its residents in legal “limbo,” so the Ordinance has been put before the Court for answers. The statutory process will enable the County to get binding decisions from the Court which will allow the Ordinance to move forward in a form that is legal. It will provide the Sheriff and District Attorney with certainty as to what can and cannot be prosecuted. It will also safeguard the County in the event other legal matters, like lawsuits, are raised against the Ordinance in the future.

“This proceeding will also inform residents of the County what firearm laws do apply to them so that no one is unwittingly found to violate a federal or state firearm law that they believe doesn’t apply because of the initiative measures,” Hanson said. “We don’t think anyone would want that result.”

The County expects a briefing schedule to be approved by the Court soon and hopes to have a hearing before the end of June, 2021.

Sarah Hanson

From: Public Information
Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2021 9:41 AM
To: Anna Del Savio;Chronicle Classifieds;Chronicle News;Jeremy Ruark;KATU;KOIN;KPTV;Oregonian Public Interest Editor;TDN Community Editor;TDN Editor
Cc: Jacyn Normine;Margaret Magruder;Sarah Hanson
Subject: PRESS RELEASE - Columbia County Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance submitted to Judge Ted Grove for Judicial Examination and Judgment
Attachments: PR - Columbia County Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance submitted to Judge Ted Grove for Judicial Examination and Judgment.docx

Morning all,

Please see the press release attached and/or copied below.

Best,

Julie Thompson
Public Information Officer
971-757-4051

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:
May 25, 2021

Columbia County Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance submitted to Judge Ted Grove for Judicial Examination and Judgment

(Columbia County, Oregon) – The Columbia County Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance, recently adopted by the Columbia County Board of Commissioners to implement the intent of the voters as demonstrated by the passage of the “Second Amendment Preservation Ordinance” in 2018 and the “Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance” in 2020, has now been passed to Judge Ted Grove for ‘Judicial Examination and Judgement of the Court as to the Regularity, Legality, Validity and Effect’ of the ordinance via a petition for validation of local government action.

Under ORS 33.710, the Columbia County Circuit Court is authorized to conduct an examination of the ordinance and to provide a judgement as to the legality of the authority of a county governing body to enact the Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance.

The County filed the petition to clear up several important legal questions about what firearm regulations can be enforced in Columbia County. Through this process, Judge Grove can provide clarity on the matter.

“To be clear, the County is not seeking to invalidate the Ordinance, only to get answers to the many legal questions raised by it,” County Counsel Sarah Hanson said. “As an example, Oregon law generally does not permit the County to regulate within the City limits without consent. We have asked the Court to inform us whether the cities have consented.

Hanson said this and many other questions arising from the two voter-passed initiatives and the Ordinance implementing them put the County and its residents in legal “limbo,” so the Ordinance has been put before the Court for answers. The statutory process will enable the County to get binding decisions from the Court which will allow the Ordinance to move forward in a form that is legal. It will provide the Sheriff and District Attorney with certainty as to what can and cannot be prosecuted. It will also safeguard the County in the event other legal matters, like lawsuits, are raised against the Ordinance in the future.

“This proceeding will also inform residents of the County what firearm laws do apply to them so that no one is unwittingly found to violate a federal or state firearm law that they believe doesn’t apply because of the initiative measures,” Hanson said. “We don’t think anyone would want that result.”

The County expects a briefing schedule to be approved by the Court soon and hopes to have a hearing before the end of June, 2021.

Sarah Hanson

From: Alexander Reed <conspiracy4@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2021 3:18 PM
To: Henry Heimuller
Subject: Help me understand

Commissioner Heimuller,

Help me understand how you and others can change the SASO measure that was passed by voters?

Are you more superior then the will of the voters?

Help me understand why you have become a worthless commissioner

Thanks
Alex Reed
503-267-1771

From: Board of Commissioners Office
Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2021 10:55 AM
To: Columbia County
Subject: FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: May 25, 2021 ***Columbia County Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance submitted to Judge Ted Grove for Judicial Examination and Judgment

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:
May 25, 2021

Columbia County Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance submitted to Judge Ted Grove for Judicial Examination and Judgment

(Columbia County, Oregon) – The Columbia County Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance, recently adopted by the Columbia County Board of Commissioners to implement the intent of the voters as demonstrated by the passage of the “Second Amendment Preservation Ordinance” in 2018 and the “Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance” in 2020, has now been passed to Judge Ted Grove for ‘Judicial Examination and Judgement of the Court as to the Regularity, Legality, Validity and Effect’ of the ordinance via a petition for validation of local government action.

Under ORS 33.710, the Columbia County Circuit Court is authorized to conduct an examination of the ordinance and to provide a judgement as to the legality of the authority of a county governing body to enact the Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance.

The County filed the petition to clear up several important legal questions about what firearm regulations can be enforced in Columbia County. Through this process, Judge Grove can provide clarity on the matter.

“To be clear, the County is not seeking to invalidate the Ordinance, only to get answers to the many legal questions raised by it,” County Counsel Sarah Hanson said. “As an example, Oregon law generally does not permit the County to regulate within the City limits without consent. We have asked the Court to inform us whether the cites have consented.

Hanson said this and many other questions arising from the two voter-passed initiatives and the Ordinance implementing them put the County and its residents in legal “limbo,” so the Ordinance has been put before the Court for answers. The statutory process will enable the County to get binding decisions from the Court which will allow the Ordinance to move forward in a form that is legal. It will provide the Sheriff and District Attorney with certainty as to what can and cannot be prosecuted. It will also safeguard the County in the event other legal matters, like lawsuits, are raised against the Ordinance in the future.

“This proceeding will also inform residents of the County what firearm laws do apply to them so that no one is unwittingly found to violate a federal or state firearm law that they believe doesn’t apply because of the initiative measures,” Hanson said. “We don’t think anyone would want that result.”

The County expects a briefing schedule to be approved by the Court soon and hopes to have a hearing before the end of June, 2021.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

Sarah Hanson

From: Sarah Hanson
Sent: Friday, May 21, 2021 10:32 AM
To: Michael McGlothlin
Cc: Department-Counsel
Subject: Re: Columbia County Validation Petition - Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance

Thank you, Michael.

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 |sarah.hanson@columbiacountyor.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: Michael McGlothlin <MMcGlothlin@columbia-city.org>
Sent: Friday, May 21, 2021 9:50 AM
To: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: Columbia County Validation Petition - Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance

Good morning Sarah,

Our City Council discussed the above matter at their meeting last night and have decided not to answer the Petition.

Thank you for providing the opportunity to research, deliberate and provide this response.

Best regards,

Mike

Michael S. McGlothlin, MBA-PA
City Administrator/Recorder
City of Columbia City
Po Box 189/1840 Second Street
Columbia City, Oregon 97018
Phone: 503-397-4010
Fax: 503-366-3870

Sarah Hanson

From: Tyler Smith <tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com>
Sent: Friday, May 21, 2021 12:27 PM
To: Sarah Hanson
Cc: Tyler Smith; Rob Olson
Subject: Conferral on Motion to Intervene
Attachments: Motion to Intervene 5-21-21.pdf

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

Sarah,

Attached is the current draft of the Motion to Intervene. We would request that the County actually consent or stipulate to intervention so that there is a party to present the other side of this issue. You should realize that an invalidation of this ordinance will simply make the County revert to SASO and SAPO being in effect. Thus, the County may want to simply withdraw this validation proceeding and repeal Ordinance 2021-1.

We just found out about this, so we don't want to wait but are willing to wait until Monday if the County wants to consider consenting or stipulating to this Motion to Intervene. We will file Monday either way, unless you withdraw this.

Best wishes,
Tyler

Tyler Smith | Owner and Founding Attorney
Tyler Smith & Associates P.C.
503-266-5590 (work) | 503-266-5594 (work)
503-212-6392 (fax)
tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com

Our Law Firm: <http://www.RuralBusinessAttorneys.com>

The information contained in this e-mail is confidential and may also be attorney-client privileged. This information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. If you have received this communication and are not the intended recipient, please delete this message and contact our office immediately.

Sarah Hanson

From: Sarah Hanson
Sent: Friday, May 21, 2021 2:07 PM
To: Lydia Anderson-Dana; Steve Berman; Marshall Brian S
Cc: Department-Counsel
Subject: Re: Conferral on Motion to Intervene

Thank you Lydia. Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@columbiacountyor.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: Lydia Anderson-Dana <landersondana@stollberne.com>
Sent: Friday, May 21, 2021 1:26 PM
To: Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>; Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>; Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us>
Cc: Department-Counsel <department-counsel@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: RE: Conferral on Motion to Intervene

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

Sarah- please see attached for an order from a validation proceeding in Multnomah County on this issue.

Thanks,
Lydia

From: Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>
Sent: Friday, May 21, 2021 1:21 PM
To: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>; Lydia Anderson-Dana <landersondana@stollberne.com>; Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us>
Cc: Department-Counsel <department-counsel@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: RE: Conferral on Motion to Intervene

Thanks Sarah,

Mr. Smith has not conferred with us.

From: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>

Sent: Friday, May 21, 2021 1:15 PM

To: Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>; Lydia Anderson-Dana <landersondana@stollberne.com>; Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us>

Cc: Department-Counsel <department-counsel@columbiacountyor.gov>

Subject: Fw: Conferral on Motion to Intervene

#External Email

Steve, Lydia and Brian: I'm forwarding a draft motion to intervene. The County has been asked to consent to the intervention. I am conferring with my Board. Has Mr. Smith conferred with you? Thank you.

Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@columbiacountyor.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: Tyler Smith <tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com>

Sent: Friday, May 21, 2021 12:27 PM

To: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>

Cc: Tyler Smith <tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com>; Rob Olson <robert.jeffrey.olson@gmail.com>

Subject: Conferral on Motion to Intervene

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

Sarah,

Attached is the current draft of the Motion to Intervene. We would request that the County actually consent or stipulate to intervention so that there is a party to present the other side of this issue. You should realize that an invalidation of this ordinance will simply make the County revert to SASO and SAPO being in effect. Thus, the County may want to simply withdraw this validation proceeding and repeal Ordinance 2021-1.

We just found out about this, so we don't want to wait but are willing to wait until Monday if the County wants to consider consenting or stipulating to this Motion to Intervene. We will file Monday either way, unless you withdraw this.

Best wishes,
Tyler

Tyler Smith | Owner and Founding Attorney

Tyler Smith & Associates P.C.
503-266-5590 (work) | 503-266-5594 (work)
503-212-6392 (fax)
tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com

Our Law Firm: <http://www.RuralBusinessAttorneys.com>

The information contained in this e-mail is confidential and may also be attorney-client privileged. This information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. If you have received this communication and are not the intended recipient, please delete this message and contact our office immediately.

Sarah Hanson

From: Sarah Hanson
Sent: Friday, May 21, 2021 8:57 AM
To: Tyler Smith
Cc: Department-Counsel
Subject: Re: Conferral under ORCP 33

Tyler- My Board is still considering your request. They would like to see the motion/declarations you intend to file. If you can get those to me this morning I should be able to get you an answer today. Thank you. Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@columbiacountyor.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: Tyler Smith <tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 18, 2021 4:56 PM
To: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Cc: Department-Counsel <department-counsel@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: RE: Conferral under ORCP 33

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

My clients did not know about the validation proceeding. Sorry but people don't read the public notices in newspapers anymore....

Tyler Smith | Owner and Founding Attorney
Tyler Smith & Associates P.C.
503-266-5590 (work) | 503-266-5594 (work)
503-212-6392 (fax)
tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com

Our Law Firm: <http://www.RuralBusinessAttorneys.com>

The information contained in this e-mail is confidential and may also be attorney-client privileged. This information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. If you have received this communication and are not the intended recipient, please delete this message and contact our office immediately.

From: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, May 18, 2021 4:55 PM
To: Tyler Smith <tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com>
Cc: Department-Counsel <department-counsel@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: Re: Conferral under ORCP 33

Thank you, Tyler, I will let you know but it won't be by tomorrow. Of course, you know these proceedings are in rem and there is no requirement that anyone appear to defend the ordinance. I expect my Board will probably ask why you didn't appear within the validation proceeding deadline. What shall I tell them? Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@columbiacountyor.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: Tyler Smith <tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 18, 2021 4:36 PM
To: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Cc: Tyler Smith <tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com>
Subject: Conferral under ORCP 33

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

Sarah,

I appreciate you calling me back to discuss the Motion to Intervene that my clients are filing. I understand that you claim the County is neutral on this matter. While I dispute that based on the language and arguments in the Petition, even if your client is neutral, then there is no party that is going to be defending the County Ordinance and the SASO law. Thus, my clients and this case and the legal matters to be decided therein should be allowed to intervene to defend the law under ORCP 33 and we will file the motion tomorrow.

Please let me know if your client changes their mind and actually remains neutral and truly does want all sides to be represented on this dispute. Otherwise, there is essentially no "case and controversy" if your client is neutral and the anti-gun group is asserting the ordinance is invalid.

Best wishes,
Tyler

Tyler Smith | Owner and Founding Attorney
Tyler Smith & Associates P.C.
503-266-5590 (work) | 503-266-5594 (work)

503-212-6392 (fax)

tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com

Our Law Firm: <http://www.RuralBusinessAttorneys.com>

The information contained in this e-mail is confidential and may also be attorney-client privileged. This information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. If you have received this communication and are not the intended recipient, please delete this message and contact our office immediately.

Sarah Hanson

From: Springer, Dan <Dan.Springer@FOX.COM>
Sent: Tuesday, May 18, 2021 10:42 AM
To: Sarah Hanson
Subject: RE: Fox News Inquiry: Second Amendment sanctuary

Sarah,

I just found the ballot measure 5-278. I see that fines could be up to \$2,000 for individuals and \$4,000 for a corporation. But I'm still a little unclear on the other questions.

From: Springer, Dan
Sent: Tuesday, May 18, 2021 1:08 PM
To: sarah.hanson@columbiacountyor.gov
Subject: Fox News Inquiry: Second Amendment sanctuary

Hi Sarah,

I'm the reporter for Fox News Channel covering the Pacific Northwest. I read an article on Columbia County's Second Amendment sanctuary ordinance and the pending court case. I had some questions before I pitch the story.

1. Did Columbia County initiate the court case in order to test its legality?
2. What are the fine amounts imposed on local law enforcement personnel who violate the Second Amendment sanctuary ordinance?
3. Does this ordinance make it a crime to enforce both state and federal gun laws?
4. When is a ruling expected? And will it have any legal authority outside of Oregon?

Thanks,

Dan Springer
Fox News Channel
Seattle Bureau correspondent
(206) 770-9642

This message and its attachments may contain legally privileged or confidential information. It is intended solely for the named addressee(s). If you are not an addressee indicated in this message (or responsible for delivery of the message to an addressee), you may not copy or deliver this message or its attachments to anyone. Rather, you should permanently delete this message and its attachments and kindly notify the sender by reply e-mail. Any content of this message and its attachments that does not relate to the official business of Fox Corporation, or its subsidiaries must be taken not to have been sent or endorsed by any of them. No representation is made that this email or its attachments are without defect.

Sarah Hanson

From: Tyler Smith <tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 18, 2021 4:37 PM
To: Sarah Hanson
Cc: Tyler Smith
Subject: Conferral under ORCP 33

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

Sarah,

I appreciate you calling me back to discuss the Motion to Intervene that my clients are filing. I understand that you claim the County is neutral on this matter. While I dispute that based on the language and arguments in the Petition, even if your client is neutral, then there is no party that is going to be defending the County Ordinance and the SASO law. Thus, my clients and this case and the legal matters to be decided therein should be allowed to intervene to defend the law under ORCP 33 and we will file the motion tomorrow.

Please let me know if your client changes their mind and actually remains neutral and truly does want all sides to be represented on this dispute. Otherwise, there is essentially no "case and controversy" if your client is neutral and the anti-gun group is asserting the ordinance is invalid.

Best wishes,
Tyler

Tyler Smith | Owner and Founding Attorney
Tyler Smith & Associates P.C.
503-266-5590 (work) | 503-266-5594 (work)
503-212-6392 (fax)
tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com

Our Law Firm: <http://www.RuralBusinessAttorneys.com>

The information contained in this e-mail is confidential and may also be attorney-client privileged. This information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. If you have received this communication and are not the intended recipient, please delete this message and contact our office immediately.

Sarah Hanson

From: Sarah Hanson
Sent: Tuesday, May 18, 2021 4:59 PM
To: Tyler Smith
Cc: Department-Counsel
Subject: Re: Conferral under ORCP 33

Thank you for the explanation. Have a good evening. Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@columbiacountyor.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: Tyler Smith <tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 18, 2021 4:56 PM
To: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Cc: Department-Counsel <department-counsel@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: RE: Conferral under ORCP 33

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

My clients did not know about the validation proceeding. Sorry but people don't read the public notices in newspapers anymore....

Tyler Smith | Owner and Founding Attorney
Tyler Smith & Associates P.C.
503-266-5590 (work) | 503-266-5594 (work)
503-212-6392 (fax)
tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com

Our Law Firm: <http://www.RuralBusinessAttorneys.com>

The information contained in this e-mail is confidential and may also be attorney-client privileged. This information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. If you have received this communication and are not the intended recipient, please delete this message and contact our office immediately.

From: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, May 18, 2021 4:55 PM

To: Tyler Smith <tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com>
Cc: Department-Counsel <department-counsel@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: Re: Conferral under ORCP 33

Thank you, Tyler, I will let you know but it won't be by tomorrow. Of course, you know these proceedings are in rem and there is no requirement that anyone appear to defend the ordinance. I expect my Board will probably ask why you didn't appear within the validation proceeding deadline. What shall I tell them? Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@columbiacountyor.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: Tyler Smith <tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 18, 2021 4:36 PM
To: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Cc: Tyler Smith <tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com>
Subject: Conferral under ORCP 33

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

Sarah,

I appreciate you calling me back to discuss the Motion to Intervene that my clients are filing. I understand that you claim the County is neutral on this matter. While I dispute that based on the language and arguments in the Petition, even if your client is neutral, then there is no party that is going to be defending the County Ordinance and the SASO law. Thus, my clients and this case and the legal matters to be decided therein should be allowed to intervene to defend the law under ORCP 33 and we will file the motion tomorrow.

Please let me know if your client changes their mind and actually remains neutral and truly does want all sides to be represented on this dispute. Otherwise, there is essentially no "case and controversy" if your client is neutral and the anti-gun group is asserting the ordinance is invalid.

Best wishes,
Tyler

Tyler Smith | Owner and Founding Attorney
Tyler Smith & Associates P.C.
503-266-5590 (work) | 503-266-5594 (work)
503-212-6392 (fax)
tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com

Our Law Firm: <http://www.RuralBusinessAttorneys.com>

The information contained in this e-mail is confidential and may also be attorney-client privileged. This information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. If you have received this communication and are not the intended recipient, please delete this message and contact our office immediately.

Sarah Hanson

From: Sarah Hanson
Sent: Tuesday, May 18, 2021 4:55 PM
To: Tyler Smith
Cc: Department-Counsel
Subject: Re: Conferral under ORCP 33

Thank you, Tyler, I will let you know but it won't be by tomorrow. Of course, you know these proceedings are in rem and there is no requirement that anyone appear to defend the ordinance. I expect my Board will probably ask why you didn't appear within the validation proceeding deadline. What shall I tell them? Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@columbiacountyor.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: Tyler Smith <tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 18, 2021 4:36 PM
To: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Cc: Tyler Smith <tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com>
Subject: Conferral under ORCP 33

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

Sarah,

I appreciate you calling me back to discuss the Motion to Intervene that my clients are filing. I understand that you claim the County is neutral on this matter. While I dispute that based on the language and arguments in the Petition, even if your client is neutral, then there is no party that is going to be defending the County Ordinance and the SASO law. Thus, my clients and this case and the legal matters to be decided therein should be allowed to intervene to defend the law under ORCP 33 and we will file the motion tomorrow.

Please let me know if your client changes their mind and actually remains neutral and truly does want all sides to be represented on this dispute. Otherwise, there is essentially no "case and controversy" if your client is neutral and the anti-gun group is asserting the ordinance is invalid.

Best wishes,
Tyler

Tyler Smith | Owner and Founding Attorney
Tyler Smith & Associates P.C.
503-266-5590 (work) | 503-266-5594 (work)
503-212-6392 (fax)
tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com

Our Law Firm: <http://www.RuralBusinessAttorneys.com>

The information contained in this e-mail is confidential and may also be attorney-client privileged. This information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. If you have received this communication and are not the intended recipient, please delete this message and contact our office immediately.

Sarah Hanson

From: Tyler Smith <tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 18, 2021 4:57 PM
To: Sarah Hanson
Cc: Department-Counsel
Subject: RE: Conferral under ORCP 33

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

My clients did not know about the validation proceeding. Sorry but people don't read the public notices in newspapers anymore....

Tyler Smith | Owner and Founding Attorney
Tyler Smith & Associates P.C.
503-266-5590 (work) | 503-266-5594 (work)
503-212-6392 (fax)
tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com

Our Law Firm: <http://www.RuralBusinessAttorneys.com>

The information contained in this e-mail is confidential and may also be attorney-client privileged. This information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. If you have received this communication and are not the intended recipient, please delete this message and contact our office immediately.

From: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, May 18, 2021 4:55 PM
To: Tyler Smith <tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com>
Cc: Department-Counsel <department-counsel@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: Re: Conferral under ORCP 33

Thank you, Tyler, I will let you know but it won't be by tomorrow. Of course, you know these proceedings are in rem and there is no requirement that anyone appear to defend the ordinance. I expect my Board will probably ask why you didn't appear within the validation proceeding deadline. What shall I tell them? Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@columbiacountyor.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: Tyler Smith <tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 18, 2021 4:36 PM
To: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Cc: Tyler Smith <tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com>
Subject: Conferral under ORCP 33

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

Sarah,

I appreciate you calling me back to discuss the Motion to Intervene that my clients are filing. I understand that you claim the County is neutral on this matter. While I dispute that based on the language and arguments in the Petition, even if your client is neutral, then there is no party that is going to be defending the County Ordinance and the SASO law. Thus, my clients and this case and the legal matters to be decided therein should be allowed to intervene to defend the law under ORCP 33 and we will file the motion tomorrow.

Please let me know if your client changes their mind and actually remains neutral and truly does want all sides to be represented on this dispute. Otherwise, there is essentially no "case and controversy" if your client is neutral and the anti-gun group is asserting the ordinance is invalid.

Best wishes,
Tyler


Tyler Smith | Owner and Founding Attorney
Tyler Smith & Associates P.C.
503-266-5590 (work) | 503-266-5594 (work)
503-212-6392 (fax)
tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com

Our Law Firm: <http://www.RuralBusinessAttorneys.com>

The information contained in this e-mail is confidential and may also be attorney-client privileged. This information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. If you have received this communication and are not the intended recipient, please delete this message and contact our office immediately.

From: Mike James <mike@yournewsinc.net>
Sent: Tuesday, May 18, 2021 8:06 AM
To: Mike James
Subject: Columbia County - Media Coverage


Columbia County - Media Coverage

1.  **KATU-TV [ABC 2] Television** Market: Portland, OR (21)
Protester heckles Gov. Inslee during bill signing ceremony in Shoreline May 17
NBA play 2021 10:56PM PT

The man was yelling and asking why Inslee would sell them out as the governor tried to speak over the protester, who was eventually ushered out of the room "Why would you sell us out!" the man said, accusing Inslee of stabbing supporters of the legislation in the back. More Videos Volume 90% Press shift question mark to access a list of keyboard shortcuts Keyboard Shortcuts Enabled Disabled Play/Pause SPACE Increase Volume Decrease Volume Seek Forward Seek Backward Captions On/Off c Fullscreen/Exit Fullscreen f Mute/Unmute m Seek % 0-9 Next Up Race for **COLUMBIA COUNTY COMMISSIONER** seat. creates buzz as election nears Email facebook twitter Link <https://katu.com/news/local/protester-heckles-gov-inslee-during-bill-signing-event-in-shoreline?video=e76b3bea1e2d4473876cb957f27c2571&jwsource=cl> Copied Embed Copied Live 00:00 00:00 00:00 The governor was interrupted by a protester during a bill signing ceremony in Shoreline.

[View](#)


Unique Visitors: 332,156 CPM: \$11.38 Estimated Ad Value: \$815
Calc Publicity Value: \$2,445

2.  **KGW-NBC Television** Market: Portland, OR (21)
KGW News at Noon May 17
2021 12:00PM PT

[12:08:00 PM] [0:34] The first court battle over whether local governments can ban the police from enforcing certain gun laws will play out this week in Oregon. **COLUMBIA COUNTY** is one of the many counties in the us that's declaring itself a second amendment sanctuary, a ballot measure that was approved by voters last year prohibits local officials from enforcing most federal and state gun laws. This has been a divisive issue in **COLUMBIA COUNTY** and some people including a group called everytown for gun safety are urging the judge to invalidate the ordinance.

[View](#)

Nielsen Audience: 26,804 Ad Value: \$180 Calc Ad Value: \$204
Calc Publicity Value: \$612 Runtime: 0:34

3.  **KGW-NBC Television** Market: Portland, OR (21)
KGW News at 4PM May 17
2021 04:00PM PT

[4:09:51 PM] [0:24] **COLUMBIA COUNTY** is one of many counties across the country that's declared itself a second amendment sanctuary, a ballot measure that was approved by voters last year prohibits local officials from enforcing most federal and state gun laws. This has been a pretty divisive issue too in **COLUMBIA COUNTY** some people including the group called everytown for gun safety are urging a judge to invalidate that ordinance still to come.

[View](#)

Nielsen Audience: 27,912
Calc Publicity Value: \$1,860

Ad Value: \$775
Runtime: 0:24

Calc Ad Value: \$620

4.  **KGW-NBC Television** Market: Portland, OR (21)

KGW News at 6PM

May 17

2021 06:30PM PT

[6:40:29 PM] [0:26] **COLUMBIA COUNTY** is one of many counties in the United States that's declaring itself a second amendment sanctuary, a ballot measure that was approved by voters last year prohibits local officials from enforcing most federal and state gun laws. This has been a divisive issue in **COLUMBIA COUNTY** and some people including the group called everytown for gun safety are urging a judge to invalidate the ordinance coming up here on kgw news at six thirty.

[View](#)

Nielsen Audience: 31,275
Calc Publicity Value: \$1,820

Ad Value: \$700
Runtime: 0:26

Calc Ad Value: \$607

5.  **KOIN-CBS Television** Market: Portland, OR (21)

KOIN 6 News at 11PM

May 17

2021 11:00PM PT

[11:14:45 PM] [0:14] For people in **COLUMBIA COUNTY** filing a lawsuit hoping the court will invalidate a new ordinance that prohibits local officials from enforcing most federal and state gun laws. They argue the measure which voters narrowly approved is unconstitutional and violates both federal in Oregon. Law.

[View](#)

Nielsen Audience: 25,263
Calc Publicity Value: \$595

Ad Value: \$425
Runtime: 0:14

Calc Ad Value: \$198

6.  **KXL-AM [750 AM] Radio** Market: Portland, OR (21)

Columbia County's 2nd Amendment Sanctuary Law First to Get
Challenged in Court

May 17

2021 11:42PM PT


COLUMBIA COUNTY'S 2nd Amendment Sanctuary Law First to Get Challenged in Court Oregon will have the first legal test of whether America's counties can declare themselves "Second Amendment Sanctuaries." That means they refuse to enforce gun laws. **COLUMBIA COUNTY'S** "Second Amendment Sanctuary" law is the first of its kind to get a test run in court. Voters narrowly approved the measure last year. It keeps local officials from enforcing most federal and state gun laws. That includes universal background checks, and prohibitions on carrying guns. **COLUMBIA COUNTY** filed the case, because of an unusual state law that allows a judge to look at a measure before it goes into effect. The group "Everytown for Gun Safety" is among those urging a judge to invalidate the ordinance. There's no timeline yet for a court hearing.

[View](#)

Unique Visitors: 17,740
Calc Publicity Value: \$417

CPM: \$11.38

Estimated Ad Value: \$139

7.  **KXL-FM Radio** Market: Portland, OR (21)

Radio Programming

May 17

2021 06:30AM PT

[6:33:07 AM] [0:40] Detained **COLUMBIA COUNTY** second amendment sanctuary laws about to become the of its kind in the country to get test Ron in court. Excels at newel explains the court test whether local governments can ban police from enforcing certain gun laws. Is playing out in the rural Oregon county. Measure **COLUMBIA COUNTY** voters narrowly approved last year prohibits local officials from enforcing most federal and state gun laws including background checks. The group everytown for gun safety is among those urging a judge to invalidate the ordinance there's no timeline for the court hearing yet. It's one away for about 12 100 American counties declaring themselves second amendment sanctuaries.

[Listen ▶](#)

Nielsen Radio Audience: 12,800 Ad Value: \$197
Calc Publicity Value: \$788 Runtime: 0:40

Calc Ad Value: \$263

8.  **KXL-FM Radio** Market: Portland, OR (21)

Radio Programming

May 17

2021 07:30AM PT

[7:35:48 AM] [0:37] Pixels Illinois has the latest **COLUMBIA COUNTY'S** second amendment sanctuary law is the of its kind to get a test run in court. Voters narrowly approved the measure last year it keeps local officials from enforcing most federal and state gun laws including universal background checks. And prohibitions on carrying guns **COLUMBIA COUNTY** filed the case because of an unusual state law that allows a judge to look at a measure before it goes into effect. There's no timeline yet for a court hearing. Some 12 100 local governments in states including Virginia Colorado New Mexico Kansas Illinois and Florida how second amendment sanctuary laws.

[Listen ▶](#)

Nielsen Radio Audience: 16,200 Ad Value: \$249
Calc Publicity Value: \$921 Runtime: 0:37

Calc Ad Value: \$307

9.  **KXL-FM Radio** Market: Portland, OR (21)

Radio Programming

May 17

2021 08:00AM PT

[8:10:50 AM] [0:49] Officials later determined source the toxic release was related to a heating and cooling ventilation system on the roof twenty-six people have to be evaluated at the scene. All right we have more on the sanctuary city court test of whether local governments can ban police from enforcing certain gun laws is playing out in Oregon county is one of a wave of us county's declaring itself a second amendment sanctuary the measure **COLUMBIA COUNTY** voters nearly are narrowly approved. Last year prohibits local officials from enforcing most federal and state gun laws second amendment sanctuary resolutions have been adopted by some 12 100 local governments in states like Virginia Colorado New Mexico and Florida. The group everytown for gun safety is among those urging a judge to invalidate the ordinance that spend divisive over the county outside Portland.

[Listen ▶](#)

Nielsen Radio Audience: 13,200 Ad Value: \$203
Calc Publicity Value: \$995 Runtime: 0:49

Calc Ad Value: \$332

10.  **KXL-FM Radio** Market: Portland, OR (21)

Radio Programming

May 17
2021 08:30AM PT

[8:34:11 AM] [0:46] Chief also noted people could be coming from California to Washington to shoot someone within the next 30 days to show loyalty. **COLUMBIA COUNTY'S** second amendment sanctuary laws about to become the of its kind in the country get a test-run in-court kicks doesn't will explain. So court test whether local governments can ban police from enforcing certain gun laws is playing out in the rural Oregon county. The measure **COLUMBIA COUNTY** voters narrowly approved last year prohibits local officials from enforcing most federal and state gun laws including background checks. The group everytown for gun safety is among those urging a judge to invalidate the ordinance there's no timeline for the court hearing yet. It's one way of about 12 100 American county's declaring themselves second amendment sanctuaries.

[Listen](#) ▶

Nielsen Radio Audience: 12,300 Ad Value: \$189
Calc Publicity Value: \$869 Runtime: 0:46

Calc Ad Value: \$290

11.  **KXL-FM Radio** Market: Portland, OR (21)

Radio Programming

May 17
2021 09:30AM PT

[9:32:14 AM] [0:50] Legal test of whether America's county's can declare themselves second amendment sanctuary counties he excels emanuel has our story. **COLUMBIA COUNTY'S** second amendment sanctuary law is a of its kind to get a test run in court voters narrowly approved the measure last year. It keeps local officials from enforcing most federal and state gun laws including universal background checks and prohibitions on carrying guns. **COLUMBIA COUNTY** filed the case because of an unusual state law that allows a judge to look at a measure before it goes into effect there's no timeline yet for a court hearing. Some 12 100 local governments in states including Virginia Colorado New Mexico Kansas Illinois and Florida have second amendment sanctuary laws. A movement that started around 2018 annette newell FM news one wants.

[Listen](#) ▶

Nielsen Radio Audience: 15,400 Ad Value: \$237
Calc Publicity Value: \$1,185 Runtime: 0:50

Calc Ad Value: \$395

12.  **KXL-FM Radio** Market: Portland, OR (21)

The Lars Larson Show

May 17
2021 12:00PM PT

[12:14:29 PM] [0:55] Further defense of themselves and the state and that's even more clear-cut than the federal second amendment what's happened places like. **COLUMBIA COUNTY**. Have pass second amendment sanctuary laws saying we don't want unconstitutional laws to be enforced in our in our jurisdiction whether to city or county and now the very test of a measure passed by voters now the mainstream media always carries water for the anti gunners out there so they say. The measure the voters in the logging area of **COLUMBIA COUNTY** narrowly approved last year for bids local officials from enforcing most federal and state gun laws and

Listen ▶

Nielsen Radio Audience: 16,200 Ad Value: \$249
Calc Publicity Value: \$1,370 Runtime: 0:55

Calc Ad Value: \$456

13.  **KXL-FM Radio** Market: Portland, OR (21)

The Lars Larson Show

May 17

2021 12:15PM PT

[12:15:08 PM] [0:57] Was and could impose thousands of dollars in fines on those who tried it's among a wave of similar resolutions around the county country. The Oregon case was filed by **COLUMBIA COUNTY** under an unusual provision in state law that allows a judge to examine a measure before it goes into effect in other words. The county in which voters passed the ordinance saying protect our second amendment rights that county funded by local tax dollars is now turning around and taking it to court saying we want a judge to decide whether or not the voters did the right thing. I think voters do the next right thing if they took out the government of **COLUMBIA COUNTY** by voting him out of office at the next election the idea that your own county says. Maybe you didn't make the right decision we want to go back and have a judge perhaps overrule. Supporters the ordinance including New York include the Oregon firearms federation which says that extremists and big city radicals are trying to curtail gun rights.

Listen ▶

Nielsen Radio Audience: 16,200 Ad Value: \$249
Calc Publicity Value: \$1,419 Runtime: 0:57

Calc Ad Value: \$473

14.  **La Grande Observer Newspaper** Market: Portland, OR (21)

Second Amendment sanctuaries facing 1st court test in Oregon

May 17

2021 09:04PM PT

Second Amendment sanctuaries facing 1st court test in Oregon SALEM - The first court test of whether local governments can ban police from enforcing certain gun laws is playing out in a rural Oregon county, one of a wave of U.S. counties declaring itself a Second Amendment sanctuary. The measure that voters in the logging area of **COLUMBIA COUNTY** narrowly approved last year forbids local officials from enforcing most federal and state gun laws and could impose thousands of dollars in fines on those who try. Second Amendment sanctuary resolutions have been adopted by some 1,200 local governments in states around the U.S., including Virginia, Colorado, New Mexico, Kansas, Illinois and Florida, according to Shawn Fields, an assistant professor of law at Campbell University who tracks them. Many are symbolic, but some, like in **COLUMBIA COUNTY**, carry legal force. The movement took off around 2018, as states considered stricter gun laws in the wake of mass shootings, including a high school shooting near Parkland, Florida, that killed 17 people and made survivors into high-profile gun control activists. After President Joe Biden took office, conservative lawmakers in several states proposed banning police from enforcing federal gun measures, and at least one proposal in Arizona has been signed into law. The movement hasn't yet faced a major legal challenge. The Oregon case was filed by **COLUMBIA COUNTY** under an unusual provision in state law that allows a judge to examine a measure before it goes into effect. No timeline has been set for a court hearing. "This will allow the court to tell us whether the county can actually decline to enforce certain state laws, and it will tell us how to abide by the will of the voters to the extent that we can," said Sarah Hanson, who serves as counsel in the conservative-leaning county in.

View ▶

Unique Visitors: 7,205
Calc Publicity Value: \$195

CPM: \$11.38

Estimated Ad Value: \$65

15.  **The Chief News** Online Only Market: Portland, OR (21)

COVID-19: By the numbers

May 17
2021 02:37PM PT

COVID-19: By the numbers Support local journalism by subscribing today! Click Here to see our current offers. Since the COVID-19 pandemic spread across Oregon in the spring of 2020, **COLUMBIA COUNTY** has recorded 1,759 cases and 29 deaths, according to the Oregon Health Authority. **COLUMBIA COUNTY** recorded 12 confirmed and presumptive cases of COVID-19 over the past weekend, bring the county's total case count to 1,759, according to the Oregon Health Authority. **COLUMBIA COUNTY** has recorded 29 deaths since the pandemic spread across the state in the spring of 2020. Statewide, there are two new COVID-19 related deaths, raising the state's death toll to 2,587 and 507 new confirmed and presumptive cases of COVID-19, bringing the state total to 195,684, as of May 16. Vaccinations in Oregon OHA reported May 16, that 23,075 new doses of COVID-19 vaccinations were added to the state immunization registry.

[View](#) ▶

Unique Visitors: 1,453
Calc Publicity Value: \$90

CPM: \$11.38

Estimated Ad Value: \$30

16.  **Albany Democrat Herald** Newspaper Market: Eugene, OR (113)

Governor's OK means S Carolina now allows open carry of guns

May 17
2021 10:24PM PT

The proposal also made South Carolina a "Second Amendment Sanctuary," which orders states and local governments to refuse to enforce any federal law or executive order that limits gun rights. Similar resolutions have been adopted by Virginia, Colorado, New Mexico, Kansas, Illinois and Florida as well as about 1,200 other local governments around the U.S., according to Shawn Fields, an assistant professor of law at Campbell University who tracks them. The idea is facing its first court challenge in **COLUMBIA COUNTY, OREGON**. The open carry gun law was a bookend set of proposals sought for years by conservatives in South Carolina. The addition of five Republicans to the General Assembly in the 2020 elections finally brought about the political strength for the measure to pass.

[View](#) ▶

Unique Visitors: 27,405
Calc Publicity Value: \$738

CPM: \$11.38

Estimated Ad Value: \$246

17.  **Corvallis Gazette Times** Web Market: Eugene, OR (113)

Governor's OK means S Carolina now allows open carry of guns

May 17
2021 10:24PM PT

The proposal also made South Carolina a "Second Amendment Sanctuary," which orders states and local governments to refuse to enforce any federal law or executive order that limits gun rights. Similar resolutions have been adopted by Virginia, Colorado, New Mexico, Kansas, Illinois and Florida as well as about 1,200 other local governments around the U.S., according to Shawn Fields, an assistant professor of law at Campbell University who tracks them. The idea is facing its first court challenge in **COLUMBIA COUNTY, OREGON**. The open carry gun law was a bookend set of proposals sought for years by conservatives in South Carolina. The addition of five Republicans to the General Assembly in the 2020 elections finally brought about the political strength for the measure to pass.

[View](#) ▶

Unique Visitors: 44,484
Calc Publicity Value: \$771

CPM: \$11.38

Estimated Ad Value: \$257

18.  **KEZI-ABC Television** Market: Eugene, OR (113)

KEZI 9 News Midday

May 17

2021 11:00AM PT

[11:41:37 AM] [0:29] The measure **COLUMBIA COUNTY** voters narrowly approved last year prohibits local officials from enforcing most federal and state gun laws. Second amendment sanctuary resolutions have been adopted by some 12 hundred local governments in states like Virginia, Colorado, New Mexico and Florida. The group everytown for gun safety is among those urging a judge to invalidate the ordinance, that's been divisive in the county outside Portland.

[View](#)

Nielsen Audience: 6,522
Calc Publicity Value: \$319

Ad Value: \$110
Runtime: 0:29

Calc Ad Value: \$106

19.  **KEZI-TV [ABC 9] Television** Market: Eugene, OR (113)

Second Amendment sanctuaries facing 1st court test in Oregon

May 17

2021 02:48PM PT

The first court test of whether local governments can ban police from enforcing certain gun laws is playing out in a rural Oregon county. It's one of a wave of U.S. counties declaring itself a Second Amendment sanctuary. The measure **COLUMBIA COUNTY** voters narrowly approved last year prohibits local officials from enforcing most federal and state gun laws. Second Amendment sanctuary resolutions have been adopted by some 1,200 local governments in states like Virginia, Colorado, New Mexico and Florida. The group Everytown for Gun Safety is among those urging a judge to invalidate the ordinance that's been divisive in the county outside Portland.

[View](#)

Unique Visitors: 62,742
Calc Publicity Value: \$1,074

CPM: \$11.38

Estimated Ad Value: \$358

20.  **KGAL-AM Radio** Market: Eugene, OR (113)

Radio Programming

May 17

2021 07:30AM PT

[7:35:15 AM] [1:19] What's playing out. In rural Oregon one of a wave of us counties declaring itself a second amendment sanctuary. The measure that voters in logging area of **COLUMBIA COUNTY** narrowly approved last year for beds local officials from enforcing most federal and state. Gun laws in their particular county. Second amendment sanctuary resolutions have been adopted by some 12 100 local governments in states around the us the movement took off around 2018. As states considered stricter gun laws in the wake of mass shootings after president Biden took office. Conservative lawmakers in several states proposed banning police from enforcing federal gun measures and at least one proposal in Arizona has become. An actual law was signed into law by the governor there so them while nothing governor but it was signed into law so the movement. Has not yet faced any major legal challenge dorian case was filed by **COLUMBIA COUNTY**. Under an unusual provision state law allows a judge to examine the measure before it goes into effect. There's not a timeline set for a court hearing but I'm sure we all hear about what happens when the court hearing her hearing does occur.

[Listen](#)

Nielsen Radio Audience: N/A
Runtime: 1:19

Calc Ad Value: N/A

Calc Publicity Value: N/A

21.  **KGAL-AM**  Market: Eugene, OR (113)

Radio Programming

May 17

2021 08:30AM PT

[8:35:01 AM] [1:02] One of a wave of us county's declaring a second amendment sanctuary for their particular county. Of measured that voters in logging area of **COLUMBIA COUNTY** narrowly approved last year Forbes as local officials from enforcing most federal and state gun laws. Second amendment sanctuary resolutions about adopted by some 12 100 local governments in states around the United States. Movement took off around 2018 as states considered stricter gun laws in the wake of mass shootings. After president Biden took office. Conservative lawmakers in several states proposed banning police from enforcing federal gun measures. At least one proposal in Arizona has been signed into law the movement. Has not yet face major legal challenges so the Oregon case is a big one was filed by **COLUMBIA COUNTY**. Under an unusual provision state law that allows a judge to examine the measure before it goes into effect no timeline has been set. For court hearing.

[Listen](#) ▶

Nielsen Radio Audience: N/A
Runtime: 1:02

Calc Ad Value: N/A

Calc Publicity Value: N/A

22.  **KPIC-TV**  Market: Eugene, OR (113)

Oregon court sees first legal challenge of Second Amendment sanctuaries

May 17

2021 04:17PM PT

Oregon court sees first legal challenge of Second Amendment sanctuaries by LINDSAY WHITEHURST and ANDREW SELSKY Associated Press Monday, May 17th 2021 SALEM, Ore. (AP) - The first court test of whether local governments can ban police from enforcing certain gun laws is playing out in a rural Oregon county, one of a wave of U.S. counties declaring itself a Second Amendment sanctuary. The measure that voters in the logging area of **COLUMBIA COUNTY** narrowly approved last year forbids local officials from enforcing most federal and state gun laws and could impose thousands of dollars in fines on those who try. Second Amendment sanctuary resolutions have been adopted by some 1,200 local governments in states around the U.S., including Virginia, Colorado, New Mexico, Kansas, Illinois and Florida, according to Shawn Fields, an assistant professor of law at Campbell University who tracks them. Many are symbolic, but some, like in **COLUMBIA COUNTY**, carry legal force. [READ MORE](#) | Oklahoma now 2nd Amendment sanctuary state The movement took off around 2018, as states considered stricter gun laws in the wake of mass shootings, including a high school shooting near Parkland, Florida, that killed 17 people and made survivors into high-profile gun control activists. After President Joe Biden took office, conservative lawmakers in several states proposed banning police from enforcing federal gun measures, and at least one proposal in Arizona has been signed into law. The movement hasn't yet faced a major legal challenge. The Oregon case was filed by **COLUMBIA COUNTY** under an unusual provision in state law that allows a judge to examine a measure before it goes into effect. No timeline has been set for a court hearing. "This will allow the court to tell us whether the county can actually decline to enforce certain state laws, and it will tell us how to abide by the will of the voters to the extent that we can," said Sarah Hanson, who serves as counsel in the conservative-leaning county in.

[View](#) ▶

though, and four residents filed court documents opposing it. One, Brandee Dudzic, referenced the strict gun safety drills she learned in military medic training, saying she values the right to own a gun but believes it should come with safety measures like background checks and secure storage. A gun shop owner in **COLUMBIA COUNTY** said he supports background checks and believes that "state law trumps the county law." But he voted in favor of the Second Amendment measure on principle. "We need to make sure that people are safe. We need to make sure that people are responsible," he said.

[View ▶](#)

He agreed that the Oregon case is the first of its kind. Local enforcement of state law, meanwhile, is another matter. Most states don't have similar provisions in their own legal codes, and Oregon's attorney general said in court documents that the **COLUMBIA COUNTY** ordinance is "incompatible" with criminal law and the duties of county officials. "To the extent the local government is trying to say, 'We're also not going to enforce state law either' that's a much more difficult and complicated position," Miller said.

[View ▶](#)

Unique Visitors: 17,216

CPM: \$11.38

Estimated Ad Value: \$214

Calc Publicity Value: \$642

23.  **KVAL-CBS Television** Market: Eugene, OR (113)

KVAL News at 9AM

May 17

2021 09:00AM PT

[9:06:11 AM] [0:36] To register you can head over to the 4 j. school district websites well the 1st tests on 2nd amendment century laws is playing out in Oregon voters. And rule **COLUMBIA COUNTY** approved a measure last year that forbids local officials from enforcing. Federal and state gun laws things like a background check some restrictions on carrying a gun officials in the county asked a judge to review the measure before. They put it into a fact what the judge determines likely won't have direct impact outside of Oregon but could serve as a model for other states new this morning.

[View ▶](#)

Nielsen Audience: 9,562

Ad Value: \$222

Calc Ad Value: \$266

Calc Publicity Value: \$799

Runtime: 0:36

24.  **KVAL-CBS Television** Market: Eugene, OR (113)

KVAL News at Noon

May 17

2021 12:00PM PT

[12:09:09 PM] [0:30] In downtown Eugene or any other official drop box sites meanwhile the 1st tests on the 2nd amendment sanctuary laws is playing out right now in Oregon voters and rule **COLUMBIA COUNTY** approved a measure last year that for bids. Local officials from enforcing federal and state gun laws. Things like background checks and restrictions on carrying a gun officials in the county asked a judge to review the measure before they put it into effect.

[View ▶](#)

Nielsen Audience: 5,435

Ad Value: \$126

Calc Ad Value: \$126

Calc Publicity Value: \$378

Runtime: 0:30

25.  **KVAL-CBS Television** Market: Eugene, OR (113)

KVAL News at 5PM

May 17

2021 05:00PM PT

[5:07:15 PM] [0:18] In Oregon county will be the 1st test of 2nd amendment sanctuary laws **COLUMBIA COUNTY** approved a measure last year for bidding local officials. From enforcing federal and state gun laws that includes background checks and restrictions on carrying a gun. A judge was asked to review the measure before.

[View](#)

Nielsen Audience: 10,605
Calc Publicity Value: \$445

Ad Value: \$247
Runtime: 0:18

Calc Ad Value: \$148

26.  **KVAL-CBS Television** Market: Eugene, OR (113)

KVAL 11PM

May 17

2021 11:00PM PT

[11:02:18 PM] [0:25] Gun control laws Mike requiring a permit to purchase a firearm back to you. All right learn thanks for that report tonight in Oregon county will be the 1st to test of 2nd amendment sanctuary laws **COLUMBIA COUNTY** approved a measure last year forbidding local officials from enforcing federal and state gun laws that includes background checks and restrictions on carrying a gun. A judge was asked to review the measure before. The county put it into effect.

[View](#)

Nielsen Audience: 5,248
Calc Publicity Value: \$1,750

Ad Value: \$700
Runtime: 0:25

Calc Ad Value: \$583

27.  **KVAL-TV [CBS 13] Television** Market: Eugene, OR (113)

Oregon court sees first legal challenge of Second Amendment sanctuaries

May 17

2021 04:31PM PT

Oregon court sees first legal challenge of Second Amendment sanctuaries by LINDSAY WHITEHURST and ANDREW SELSKY Associated Press Monday, May 17th 2021 SALEM, Ore. (AP) - The first court test of whether local governments can ban police from enforcing certain gun laws is playing out in a rural Oregon county, one of a wave of U.S. counties declaring itself a Second Amendment sanctuary. The measure that voters in the logging area of **COLUMBIA COUNTY** narrowly approved last year forbids local officials from enforcing most federal and state gun laws and could impose thousands of dollars in fines on those who try. Second Amendment sanctuary resolutions have been adopted by some 1,200 local governments in states around the U.S., including Virginia, Colorado, New Mexico, Kansas, Illinois and Florida, according to Shawn Fields, an assistant professor of law at Campbell University who tracks them. Many are symbolic, but some, like in **COLUMBIA COUNTY**, carry legal force. [READ MORE](#) | Oklahoma now 2nd Amendment sanctuary state The movement took off around 2018, as states considered stricter gun laws in the wake of mass shootings, including a high school shooting near Parkland, Florida, that killed 17 people and made survivors into high-profile gun control activists. After President Joe Biden took office, conservative lawmakers in several states proposed banning police from enforcing federal gun measures, and at least one proposal in Arizona has been signed into law. The movement hasn't yet faced a major legal challenge. The Oregon case was filed by **COLUMBIA COUNTY** under an unusual provision in state law that allows a judge to examine a measure before it goes into effect. No timeline has been set for a court hearing. "This will allow the court to tell us whether the county can actually decline to enforce certain state laws, and it will tell us how to abide by the will of the voters to the extent that we can," said Sarah Hanson, who serves as counsel in the conservative-leaning county in.

[View](#)

though, and four residents filed court documents opposing it. One, Brandee Dudzic, referenced the strict gun safety drills she learned in military medic training, saying she values the right to own a gun but believes it should come with safety measures like background checks and secure storage. A gun shop owner in **COLUMBIA COUNTY** said he supports background checks and believes that "state law trumps the county law." But he voted in favor of the Second Amendment measure on principle. "We need to make sure that people are safe. We need to make sure that people are responsible," he said.

[View ▶](#)

He agreed that the Oregon case is the first of its kind. Local enforcement of state law, meanwhile, is another matter. Most states don't have similar provisions in their own legal codes, and Oregon's attorney general said in court documents that the **COLUMBIA COUNTY** ordinance is "incompatible" with criminal law and the duties of county officials. "To the extent the local government is trying to say, 'We're also not going to enforce state law either' that's a much more difficult and complicated position," Miller said.

[View ▶](#)

Unique Visitors: 34,987 CPM: \$11.38 Estimated Ad Value: \$312
 Calc Publicity Value: \$936

28.  **KDRV-ABC Television** Market: Medford-Klamath Falls, OR (134)

Newswatch 12 at 4:30

May 17
 2021 04:30PM PT

[4:56:43 PM] [0:17] It started with a ballot measure **COLUMBIA COUNTY** voters narrowly approved last year. It prohibits local officials from enforcing most federal and state gun laws. Second amendment sanctuary resolutions" like this, have been adopted by some 12 hundred local governments in states like Virginia, Colorado, New Mexico

[View ▶](#)

Nielsen Audience: 10,636 Ad Value: \$248 Calc Ad Value: \$141
 Calc Publicity Value: \$422 Runtime: 0:17

29.  **KDRV-ABC Television** Market: Medford-Klamath Falls, OR (134)

Newswatch 12 at 5:00

May 17
 2021 05:00PM PT

[5:16:12 PM] [0:34] The first court test of whether local governments can ban police from enforcing certain gun laws is playing out in a rural Oregon county. The measure **COLUMBIA COUNTY** voters narrowly approved last year prohibits local officials from enforcing most federal and state gun laws. Second amendment sanctuary resolutions have been adopted by some 12 hundred local governments in states like Virginia, Colorado, New Mexico and Florida. The group everytown for gun safety is among those urging a judge to invalidate the ordinance, that's been divisive in the county outside Portland.

[View ▶](#)

Nielsen Audience: 15,347 Ad Value: \$357 Calc Ad Value: \$405
 Calc Publicity Value: \$1,214 Runtime: 0:34

30.  **KDRV-ABC Television** Market: Medford-Klamath Falls, OR (134)

Newswatch 12 at 6:00

May 17
 2021 06:00PM PT

[6:29:07 PM] [0:28] **COLUMBIA COUNTY** is one of a wave of U.S. counties declaring itself a second amendment sanctuary. The measure **COLUMBIA COUNTY** voters narrowly approved last year prohibits local officials from enforcing most federal and state gun laws. Second amendment sanctuary resolutions have been adopted by some 12 hundred local governments in states like Virginia, Colorado, New Mexico and Florida. The group "every-town for gun safety" is among those urging a judge to invalidate the ordinance that's been divisive in the conty outside Portland.

[View](#)

Nielsen Audience: 17,627
Calc Publicity Value: \$1,151

Ad Value: \$411
Runtime: 0:28

Calc Ad Value: \$384

31.  **KDRV-TV [ABC 12]** **Television** Market: Medford-Klamath Falls, OR (134)

Second Amendment sanctuaries facing 1st court test in Oregon

May 17
2021 10:02PM PT

(AP) - The first court test of whether local governments can ban police from enforcing certain gun laws is playing out in a rural Oregon county. It's one of a wave of U.S. counties declaring itself a Second Amendment sanctuary. The measure **COLUMBIA COUNTY** voters narrowly approved last year prohibits local officials from enforcing most federal and state gun laws. Second Amendment sanctuary resolutions have been adopted by some 1,200 local governments in states like Virginia, Colorado, New Mexico and Florida. The group Everytown for Gun Safety is among those urging a judge to invalidate the ordinance that's been divisive in the county outside Portland.

[View](#)

Unique Visitors: 48,292
Calc Publicity Value: \$864

CPM: \$11.38

Estimated Ad Value: \$288

32.  **KMED-AM** **Radio** Market: Medford-Klamath Falls, OR (134)

Radio Programming

May 17
2021 08:45AM PT

[8:48:59 AM] [0:53] Its's a **COLUMBIA COUNTY** which is what is is going on here. And and I guess it's going to be about whether local governments can ban police are enforcing certain gun laws and this is going to be in **COLUMBIA COUNTY**. It was a voter initiative that was approved last year forbids local police from enforcing most federal and state gun laws. And could impose thousands of dollars in fines on those who try and 9 other Jackson county is going for a second amendment protection ordinance. They're not necessarily detailing about the way that you know the **COLUMBIA COUNTY** did they're going a different way and citing. He heller's Supreme Court decision it's cetera and trying to nip the stake in the bud so to speak. With fair unconstitutional pushes in our view here but I guess the thing is where is it that.

[Listen](#)

Nielsen Radio Audience: 4,200
Calc Publicity Value: \$339

Ad Value: \$64
Runtime: 0:53

Calc Ad Value: \$113

33.  **Medford Mail Tribune** **Online Only** Market: Medford-Klamath Falls, OR (134)

Second Amendment sanctuaries facing 1st court test in Oregon

May 17
2021 04:07PM PT

19, 2021, file photo, firearms are displayed at a gun shop in Salem, Ore. The first legal test of whether a wave of U.S. counties can legally declare themselves "Second Amendment Sanctuaries," and refuse to

enforce certain gun laws is playing out in a rural Oregon logging county. The measure **COLUMBIA COUNTY** voters narrowly approved last year bans local officials from enforcing most federal and state gun laws, which includes things like universal background checks or any prohibition on carrying guns. (AP Photo/Andrew Selsky, File)

[View](#)

Unique Visitors: 24,930

CPM: \$11.38

Estimated Ad Value: \$145

Calc Publicity Value: \$435

34.  **KCBY-TV [CBS 11]** **Television** Market: Bend, OR (184)

Oregon court sees first legal challenge of Second Amendment sanctuaries

May 17

2021 04:44PM PT

Oregon court sees first legal challenge of Second Amendment sanctuaries SALEM, Ore. (AP) - The first court test of whether local governments can ban police from enforcing certain gun laws is playing out in a rural Oregon county, one of a wave of U.S. counties declaring itself a Second Amendment sanctuary. The measure that voters in the logging area of **COLUMBIA COUNTY** narrowly approved last year forbids local officials from enforcing most federal and state gun laws and could impose thousands of dollars in fines on those who try. Second Amendment sanctuary resolutions have been adopted by some 1,200 local governments in states around the U.S., including Virginia, Colorado, New Mexico, Kansas, Illinois and Florida, according to Shawn Fields, an assistant professor of law at Campbell University who tracks them. Many are symbolic, but some, like in **COLUMBIA COUNTY**, carry legal force. [READ MORE](#) | Oklahoma now 2nd Amendment sanctuary state The movement took off around 2018, as states considered stricter gun laws in the wake of mass shootings, including a high school shooting near Parkland, Florida, that killed 17 people and made survivors into high-profile gun control activists. After President Joe Biden took office, conservative lawmakers in several states proposed banning police from enforcing federal gun measures, and at least one proposal in Arizona has been signed into law. The movement hasn't yet faced a major legal challenge. The Oregon case was filed by **COLUMBIA COUNTY** under an unusual provision in state law that allows a judge to examine a measure before it goes into effect. No timeline has been set for a court hearing. "This will allow the court to tell us whether the county can actually decline to enforce certain state laws, and it will tell us how to abide by the will of the voters to the extent that we can," said Sarah Hanson, who serves as counsel in the conservative-leaning county in.

[View](#)

though, and four residents filed court documents opposing it. One, Brandee Dudzic, referenced the strict gun safety drills she learned in military medic training, saying she values the right to own a gun but believes it should come with safety measures like background checks and secure storage. A gun shop owner in **COLUMBIA COUNTY** said he supports background checks and believes that "state law trumps the county law." But he voted in favor of the Second Amendment measure on principle. "We need to make sure that people are safe. We need to make sure that people are responsible," he said.

[View](#)

He agreed that the Oregon case is the first of its kind. Local enforcement of state law, meanwhile, is another matter. Most states don't have similar provisions in their own legal codes, and Oregon's attorney general said in court documents that the **COLUMBIA COUNTY** ordinance is "incompatible" with criminal law and the duties of county officials. "To the extent the local government is trying to say, 'We're also not going to enforce state law either' that's a much more difficult and complicated position," Miller said.

[View](#)

Unique Visitors: 4,862

CPM: \$11.38

Estimated Ad Value: \$162

Calc Publicity Value: \$486

35.  **KMTR-NBC Television** Market: Eugene, OR (113)

NBC 16 News Today 530AM

May 18
2021 05:30AM PT

[5:42:44 AM] [0:20] Stay with NBC 16 as we follow this story as it continues to develop. Well in Oregon. County will be the 1st test of 2nd amendment sanctuary laws **COLUMBIA COUNTY** approved a measure last year for bidding local officials. From enforcing federal and state gun laws that includes background checks and restrictions. On carrying a gun.

[View](#) ▶

Nielsen Audience: 1,777 Ad Value: \$40 Calc Ad Value: \$27
Calc Publicity Value: \$80 Runtime: 0:20

36.  **KMTR-NBC Television** Market: Eugene, OR (113)

NBC 16 News Today

May 18
2021 06:30AM PT

[6:42:26 AM] [0:20] Stay with NBC 16 as we follow this story as it continues to develop. Well in Oregon. County will be the 1st test of 2nd amendment sanctuary laws **COLUMBIA COUNTY** approved a measure last year for bidding local officials. From enforcing federal and state gun laws that includes background checks and restrictions. On carrying a gun.

[View](#) ▶

Nielsen Audience: 5,985 Ad Value: \$40 Calc Ad Value: \$27
Calc Publicity Value: \$80 Runtime: 0:20

Report Generated:	18 May 2021 15:06:18 UTC
Story Count:	36
Audience:	929,970
Nielsen Audience:	199,998
Nielsen Radio Audience:	106,500
Unique Visitors:	623,472
Ad Value:	\$6,218
Calc Ad Value:	\$9,502
Calc Publicity Value:	\$28,504
Runtime:	14:43

This report contains copyrighted material and may be used for file and reference purposes only. Any reproduction, sale or distribution is prohibited.

Portions © 2019 Nielsen Media Research, Inc.
Applicable ratings data contained herein are the copyrighted property of Nielsen Media Research, Inc.
All Rights Reserved.
Portions © 2019 Compete, Inc.
Applicable ratings data contained herein are for use pursuant to a license from Compete, Inc.
All Rights Reserved.
Portions © 2019 SimilarWeb LTD
Applicable ratings data contained herein are for use pursuant to a license from SimilarWeb LTD
All Rights Reserved.

Sarah Hanson

From: Sarah Hanson
Sent: Monday, May 17, 2021 5:50 AM
To: Stephen Stamboulieh
Cc: Department-Counsel
Subject: Re: Second Amendment sanctuary lawsuit
Attachments: Exhibit 1 Ordinance 2021-1 In the Matter of a Second Amendment Sanctuary in Columbia County.pdf; Petition Final.pdf

Hello Steven:

I'm attaching the petition without attachments. The attachments are too large to email but if you want to see a particular attachment, I can send it separately. The response period passed at the end of May. Please let me know if you have any questions. Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@columbiacountyor.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: Stephen Stamboulieh <Stephen@sdslaw.us>
Sent: Sunday, May 16, 2021 11:17 PM
To: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: Second Amendment sanctuary lawsuit

Hi Sarah,

I hope this email finds you well. I'm a pro-Second Amendment attorney based out of Mississippi. I saw an article in the AP about Columbia County filing some kind of action to see if your new law would be enforceable. I am unable to find the docket, complaint (or petition) or anything about the case. Would you be so kind as to send me a link to the public docket or the petition? Has the date for amicus briefs come and gone?

I appreciate any assistance you can provide.

Thanks,

Stephen D. Stamboulieh
Stamboulieh Law, PLLC
P.O. Box 428
Olive Branch, MS 38654
Telephone [\(601\) 852-3440](tel:(601)852-3440)

(PLEASE NOTE THE NEW MAILING ADDRESS)

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE: Please note that this e-mail transmission and any attachments may be confidential and protected by legal privilege and/or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable state and federal law, including, but not limited to, privacy standards imposed pursuant to the federal Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 ("HIPAA"). If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the e-mail or any attachment is prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify us immediately by replying to the sender (only) and deleting this copy and the reply from your system. Thank you for your cooperation.

Sarah Hanson

From: Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us>
Sent: Monday, May 17, 2021 7:16 AM
To: Lydia Anderson-Dana; Sarah Hanson; Mark Weiner; Len Hong Kamdang
Cc: Steve Berman; Graciela Seaman
Subject: RE: Columbia County SASO- draft letter to court

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

Lydia,

This works for the state. Thank you for taking care of this.

Brian Simmonds Marshall

Oregon Department of Justice
503.507.5014 (mobile)

From: Lydia Anderson-Dana <landersondana@stollberne.com>
Sent: Friday, May 14, 2021 8:16 PM
To: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>; Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us>; Mark Weiner <mweiner@everytown.org>; Len Hong Kamdang <lkamdang@everytown.org>
Cc: Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>; Graciela Seaman <gseaman@stollberne.com>
Subject: Columbia County SASO- draft letter to court

CAUTION EXTERNAL EMAIL This email originated from outside of DOJ. Treat attachments and links with caution. ***CAUTION EXTERNAL EMAIL***

All,

We've drafted a letter to the Court regarding our proposed schedule- please see attached. Please let us know if you have any edits or corrections. If this works for you, let us know and we'll send it along.

Happy weekend,
Lydia

Lydia Anderson-Dana
landersondana@stollberne.com
Pronouns: she/her/hers

Stoll Berne • 209 SW Oak Street, Suite 500 • Portland, OR 97204
stollberne.com • Phone: (503) 227-1600 • Fax: (503) 227-6840

This electronic mail transmission contains information from the law firm of Stoll Berne that may be confidential or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of this information is prohibited. If you believe that you have received this message in error, please notify the sender by telephone at (503) 227-1600 or by electronic mail and delete the message without copying or disclosing it. Thank you.

*****CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE*****

This e-mail may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this e-mail in error, please advise me immediately by reply e-mail, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

Sarah Hanson

From: Lydia Anderson-Dana <landersondana@stollberne.com>
Sent: Monday, May 17, 2021 10:10 AM
To: Len Hong Kamdang; Marshall Brian S
Cc: Sarah Hanson; Mark Weiner; Steve Berman; Graciela Seaman
Subject: RE: Columbia County SASO- draft letter to court

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

Thanks, Len. I think that's a placeholder, but I will doublecheck before we send.

From: Len Hong Kamdang <lkamdang@everytown.org>
Sent: Monday, May 17, 2021 9:22 AM
To: Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us>
Cc: Lydia Anderson-Dana <landersondana@stollberne.com>; Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>; Mark Weiner <mweiner@everytown.org>; Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>; Graciela Seaman <gseaman@stollberne.com>
Subject: Re: Columbia County SASO- draft letter to court

#External Email

It looks good from our end as well. There's a bracket in the footer on the first page. I'm not sure if that's a placeholder for something but I just wanted to flag that.

Thanks,

Len

On Mon, May 17, 2021 at 10:15 AM Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us> wrote:

Lydia,

This works for the state. Thank you for taking care of this.

Brian Simmonds Marshall

Oregon Department of Justice

503.507.5014 (mobile)

From: Lydia Anderson-Dana <landersondana@stollberne.com>

Sent: Friday, May 14, 2021 8:16 PM

To: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>; Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us>; Mark Weiner <mweiner@everytown.org>; Len Hong Kamdang <lkamdang@everytown.org>

Cc: Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>; Graciela Seaman <gseaman@stollberne.com>

Subject: Columbia County SASO- draft letter to court

CAUTION EXTERNAL EMAIL* This email originated from outside of DOJ. Treat attachments and links with caution. *CAUTION EXTERNAL EMAIL

All,

We've drafted a letter to the Court regarding our proposed schedule- please see attached. Please let us know if you have any edits or corrections. If this works for you, let us know and we'll send it along.

Happy weekend,

Lydia

Lydia Anderson-Dana
landersondana@stollberne.com

Pronouns: she/her/hers

Stoll Berne • 209 SW Oak Street, Suite 500 • Portland, OR 97204
stollberne.com • Phone: (503) 227-1600 • Fax: (503) 227-8840

This electronic mail transmission contains information from the law firm of Stoll Berne that may be confidential or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of this information is prohibited. If you believe that you have received this message in error, please notify the sender by telephone at (503) 227-1600 or by electronic mail and delete the message without copying or disclosing it. Thank you.

*****CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE*****

This e-mail may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this e-mail in error, please advise me immediately by reply e-mail, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

--

LEN KAMDANG | Director, Litigation Strategy & Trials
lkamdang@everytown.org | (646)324-8115

EVERYTOWN LAW

EVERYTOWNLAW.ORG | @EVERYTOWN

This electronic message transmission contains information from Everytown Law that may be confidential or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, please be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this information is prohibited. If you have received this electronic transmission in error, please notify us by telephone (646-324-8115) or by electronic mail (lkamdang@everytown.org) immediately.

Sarah Hanson

From: Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 27, 2021 4:15 PM
To: Sarah Hanson; Marshall Brian S; tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com
Cc: Lydia Anderson-Dana; Graciela Seaman; Mark Weiner; Len Hong Kamdang
Subject: Columbia County Validation Proceeding Re: Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance (21CV21796)
Attachments: FILED LT Court re scheduling.pdf

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

Counsel,

Attached is a courtesy copy of a letter we filed with the Court this afternoon.

Steve Berman
sberman@stollberne.com
Pronouns: he/him/his

Stoll Berne * 209 SW Oak Street, Suite 500 * Portland, OR 97204
stollberne.com * Phone: (503) 227-1600 * Fax: (503) 227-6840

This electronic mail transmission contains information from the law firm of Stoll Berne that may be confidential or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of this information is prohibited. If you believe that you have received this message in error, please notify the sender by telephone at (503) 227-1600 or by electronic mail and delete the message without copying or disclosing it. Thank you.

Sarah Hanson

From: Lydia Anderson-Dana <landersondana@stollberne.com>
Sent: Monday, May 17, 2021 3:16 PM
To: Len Hong Kamdang
Cc: Sarah Hanson; Marshall Brian S; Mark Weiner; Steve Berman; Graciela Seaman
Subject: RE: Columbia County SASO- draft letter to court

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

Great, thanks. We'll get this on file.

From: Len Hong Kamdang <lkamdang@everytown.org>
Sent: Monday, May 17, 2021 3:02 PM
To: Lydia Anderson-Dana <landersondana@stollberne.com>
Cc: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>; Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us>; Mark Weiner <mweiner@everytown.org>; Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>; Graciela Seaman <gseaman@stollberne.com>
Subject: Re: Columbia County SASO- draft letter to court

Looks great. Thanks Lydia.

On May 17, 2021, at 5:43 PM, Lydia Anderson-Dana <landersondana@stollberne.com> wrote:

Great. Len and Mark, let us know if this is okay with you, too.

From: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Sent: Monday, May 17, 2021 1:39 PM
To: Lydia Anderson-Dana <landersondana@stollberne.com>; Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us>; Mark Weiner <mweiner@everytown.org>; Len Hong Kamdang <lkamdang@everytown.org>
Cc: Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>; Graciela Seaman <gseaman@stollberne.com>
Subject: Re: Columbia County SASO- draft letter to court

Thank you, Lydia. I have no objection. Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@columbiacountyor.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: Lydia Anderson-Dana <landersondana@stollberne.com>
Sent: Monday, May 17, 2021 1:24 PM
To: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>; Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us>; Mark Weiner <mweiner@everytown.org>; Len Hong Kamdang <lkamdang@everytown.org>
Cc: Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>; Graciela Seaman <gseaman@stollberne.com>
Subject: RE: Columbia County SASO- draft letter to court

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

Thanks for pointing that out, Sarah. I made one small change to reflect that the ordinance was based on the two initiatives- if this looks good to everyone, we will get it sent out.

Lydia

From: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Sent: Monday, May 17, 2021 11:20 AM
To: Lydia Anderson-Dana <landersondana@stollberne.com>; Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us>; Mark Weiner <mweiner@everytown.org>; Len Hong Kamdang <lkamdang@everytown.org>
Cc: Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>; Graciela Seaman <gseaman@stollberne.com>
Subject: Re: Columbia County SASO- draft letter to court

#External Email

I have no objection, but one clarification. The Ordinance includes text from two voter approved initiatives, one on November 8, 2020, and the other (Second Amendment Preservation Ordinance) in 2018. I don't think this is material necessarily for purposes of a scheduling order but want to make sure it is understood.

Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 | 230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@columbiacountyor.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: Lydia Anderson-Dana <landersondana@stollberne.com>
Sent: Friday, May 14, 2021 8:15 PM
To: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>; Marshall Brian S

<Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us>; Mark Weiner <mweiner@everytown.org>; Len Hong Kamdang <lkamdang@everytown.org>

Cc: Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>; Graciela Seaman <gseaman@stollberne.com>

Subject: Columbia County SASO- draft letter to court

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

All,

We've drafted a letter to the Court regarding our proposed schedule- please see attached. Please let us know if you have any edits or corrections. If this works for you, let us know and we'll send it along.

Happy weekend,
Lydia

Lydia Anderson-Dana
landersondana@stollberne.com
Pronouns: she/her/hers

<image001.png>

This electronic mail transmission contains information from the law firm of Stoll Berne that may be confidential or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of this information is prohibited. If you believe that you have received this message in error, please notify the sender by telephone at (503) 227-1600 or by electronic mail and delete the message without copying or disclosing it. Thank you.

Sarah Hanson

From: Graciela Seaman <gseaman@stollberne.com>
Sent: Monday, May 17, 2021 3:59 PM
To: Sarah Hanson; Marshall Brian S
Cc: Steve Berman; Lydia Anderson-Dana; Mark Weiner; Len Hong Kamdang
Subject: 21CV12796; Columbia County v In Rem
Attachments: Ltr to Court re scheduling.pdf

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

Counsel:

Attached is a service copy of the correspondence filed electronically with the court this afternoon in the above-referenced matter.

Thank you,

Graciela Seaman
Legal Assistant
gseaman@stollberne.com

Stoll Berne • 209 SW Oak Street, Suite 500 • Portland, OR 97204
stollberne.com • Phone: (503) 227-1600 • Fax: (503) 227-6840

This electronic mail transmission contains information from the law firm of Stoll Berne that may be confidential or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of this information is prohibited. If you believe that you have received this message in error, please notify the sender by telephone at (503) 227-1600 or by electronic mail and delete the message without copying or disclosing it. Thank you.

Sarah Hanson

From: Sarah Hanson
Sent: Monday, May 17, 2021 5:50 AM
To: Stephen Stamboulieh
Cc: Department-Counsel
Subject: Re: Second Amendment sanctuary lawsuit
Attachments: Exhibit 1 Ordinance 2021-1 In the Matter of a Second Amendment Sanctuary in Columbia County.pdf; Petition Final.pdf

Hello Steven:

I'm attaching the petition without attachments. The attachments are too large to email but if you want to see a particular attachment, I can send it separately. The response period passed at the end of May. Please let me know if you have any questions. Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 |sarah.hanson@columbiacountyor.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: Stephen Stamboulieh <Stephen@sdsllaw.us>
Sent: Sunday, May 16, 2021 11:17 PM
To: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: Second Amendment sanctuary lawsuit

Hi Sarah,

I hope this email finds you well. I'm a pro-Second Amendment attorney based out of Mississippi. I saw an article in the AP about Columbia County filing some kind of action to see if your new law would be enforceable. I am unable to find the docket, complaint (or petition) or anything about the case. Would you be so kind as to send me a link to the public docket or the petition? Has the date for amicus briefs come and gone?

I appreciate any assistance you can provide.

Thanks,

Stephen D. Stamboulieh
Stamboulieh Law, PLLC
P.O. Box 428
Olive Branch, MS 38654
Telephone (601) 852-3440

(PLEASE NOTE THE NEW MAILING ADDRESS)

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE: Please note that this e-mail transmission and any attachments may be confidential and protected by legal privilege and/or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable state and federal law, including, but not limited to, privacy standards imposed pursuant to the federal Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 ("HIPAA"). If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the e-mail or any attachment is prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify us immediately by replying to the sender (only) and deleting this copy and the reply from your system. Thank you for your cooperation.

From: Mike James <mike@yournewsinc.net>
Sent: Monday, May 17, 2021 8:06 AM
To: Mike James
Subject: Columbia County - Media Coverage

Columbia County - Media Coverage

1.  **KOIN-TV [CBS 6]** **Television** Market: Portland, OR (21)

Oregon reports 713 new COVID cases

May 14
2021 10:31PM PT

The new confirmed and presumptive COVID-19 cases reported today are in the following counties: Baker (4), Benton (6), Clackamas (100), Clatsop (6), Columbia (5), Coos (5), Crook (11), Deschutes (68), Douglas (10), Grant (13), Harney (2), Hood River (4), Jackson (39), Jefferson (8), Josephine (10), Klamath (35), Lake (3), Lane. 46), Lincoln (4), Linn (29), Malheur (5), Marion (60), Morrow (3), Multnomah (120), Polk (14), Tillamook (3), Umatilla (17), Union (1), Wasco (2), Washington (70) and Yamhill (10) Oregon's 2,573rd COVID-19 death is an 89-year-old man from **COLUMBIA COUNTY** who tested positive on April 12 and died on May 10 at Portland VA Medical Center. Presence of underlying conditions is being confirmed. Oregon's 2,574th COVID-19 death is a 68-year-old man from **COLUMBIA COUNTY** who tested positive on April 3 and died on April 18 at PeaceHealth Southwest Medical Center in Vancouver, WA. He had underlying conditions. Oregon's 2,575th COVID-19 death is an 89-year-old man from Grant County who tested positive on May 6 and died on May 12 at his residence.

[View](#) ▶

Unique Visitors: 204,992 CPM: \$11.38 Estimated Ad Value: \$589
Calc Publicity Value: \$1,767

2.  **Statesman Journal** **Newspaper** Market: Portland, OR (21)

Oregon coronavirus update, May 15: 10 more die, including one each in Marion and

May 14
2021 10:26PM PT

That's 80% of the 4.35 million doses the state has received. An average of 32,278 doses per day were administered in Oregon over the past week. Newly reported deaths were: An 89-year-old man from **COLUMBIA COUNTY** who tested positive on April 12 and died on May 10 at Portland VA Medical Center. Presence of underlying conditions is being confirmed. A 68-year-old man from **COLUMBIA COUNTY** who tested positive on April 3 and died on April 18 at PeaceHealth Southwest Medical Center in Vancouver, WA. He had underlying conditions. An 89-year-old man from Grant County who tested positive on May 6 and died on May 12 at his residence.

[View](#) ▶

Unique Visitors: 83,870 CPM: \$11.38 Estimated Ad Value: \$408
Calc Publicity Value: \$1,224

3. **The Chief News** **Online Only** Market: Portland, OR (21)

 COVID-19: New mask rules present choices, state says

May 14
2021 08:24PM PT

COVID-19: New mask rules present choices, state says Support local journalism by subscribing today! Click Here to see our current offers. As the COVID-19 pandemic restrictions ease, businesses in **COLUMBIA COUNTY** and across the state are facing decisions about how to best protect employees and customers as Oregon moves ahead. State Health Officer Dr. Dean Sidelinger said individuals and businesses have choices when it comes to protecting against COVID-19. State Health Officer Dr. Dean Sidelinger said individuals and businesses have choices when it comes to protecting against COVID-19.

[View](#) ▶

Unique Visitors: 1,453 CPM: \$11.38 Estimated Ad Value: \$30
Calc Publicity Value: \$90

4.  **Herald and News**  Market: Medford-Klamath Falls, OR (134)

Oregon reports 10 new deaths, 713 new COVID-19 cases

May 14
2021 10:20PM PT

Cases and deaths The new confirmed and presumptive COVID-19 cases reported today are in the following counties: Baker (4), Benton (6), Clackamas (100), Clatsop (6), Columbia (5), Coos (5), Crook (11), Deschutes (68), Douglas (10), Grant (13), Harney (2), Hood River (4), Jackson (39), Jefferson (8), Josephine (10), Klamath (35) Lake (3), Lane (46), Lincoln (4), Linn (29), Malheur (5), Marion (60), Morrow (3), Multnomah (120), Polk (14), Tillamook (3), Umatilla (17), Union (1), Wasco (2), Washington (70) and Yamhill (10) Oregon's 2,573rd COVID-19 death is an 89-year-old man from **COLUMBIA COUNTY** who tested positive on April 12 and died on May 10 at Portland VA Medical Center. Presence of underlying conditions is being confirmed. Oregon's 2,574th COVID-19 death is a 68-year-old man from **COLUMBIA COUNTY** who tested positive on April 3 and died on April 18 at PeaceHealth Southwest Medical Center in Vancouver, WA. He had underlying conditions. Oregon's 2,575th COVID-19 death is an 89-year-old man from Grant County who tested positive on May 6 and died on May 12 at his residence.

[View](#) ▶

Unique Visitors: 18,364 CPM: \$11.38 Estimated Ad Value: \$153
Calc Publicity Value: \$459

5.  **KMED-AM**  Market: Medford-Klamath Falls, OR (134)

Radio Programming

May 14
2021 07:30AM PT

[7:41:34 AM] [0:22] And I think that's where maybe some other counties sieve they have kind of stepped in in a little bit. What county was a **COLUMBIA COUNTY** it is being sued by the state over their second amendment that one this morning county's was being sued. I believe they are due to some. And we're watching that closely it beat out what that decision and they're broadly they.

[Listen](#) ▶

Nielsen Radio Audience: 4,500 Ad Value: \$69 Calc Ad Value: \$51
Calc Publicity Value: \$152 Runtime: 0:22

6.  **KTVZ-TV [NBC 21]**  Market: Bend, OR (184)

Oregon reports 10 more COVID-19 related deaths, 713 new cases;
transmission rate

May 14
2021 10:47PM PT

Cases and deaths The new confirmed and presumptive COVID-19 cases reported Friday are in the following counties: Baker (4), Benton (6), Clackamas (100), Clatsop (6), Columbia (5), Coos (5), Crook (11), Deschutes (68), Douglas (10), Grant (13), Harney (2), Hood River (4), Jackson (39), Jefferson (8), Josephine (10), Klamath (35) Lake (3), Lane (46), Lincoln (4), Linn (29), Malheur (5), Marion (60), Morrow (3), Multnomah (120), Polk (14), Tillamook (3), Umatilla (17), Union (1), Wasco (2), Washington (70) and Yamhill (10) Oregon's 2,573rd COVID-19 death is an 89-year-old man from **COLUMBIA COUNTY** who tested positive on April 12 and died on May 10 at Portland VA Medical Center. Presence of underlying conditions is being confirmed. Oregon's 2,574th COVID-19 death is a 68-year-old man from **COLUMBIA COUNTY** who tested positive on April 3 and died on April 18 at PeaceHealth Southwest Medical Center in Vancouver, WA. He had underlying conditions. Oregon's 2,575th COVID-19 death is an 89-year-old man from Grant County who tested positive on May 6 and died on May 12 at his residence.

[View](#)

Unique Visitors: 107,665
Calc Publicity Value: \$1,599

CPM: \$11.38

Estimated Ad Value: \$533

7.  **KXL-AM [750 AM]** **Radio** Market: Portland, OR (21)

Oregon Reports 713 New COVID-19 Cases, 10 More Virus-Related Deaths

May 15

2021 04:41PM PT

Here is the case and death information: The new confirmed and presumptive COVID-19 cases reported today are in the following counties: Baker (4), Benton (6), Clackamas (100), Clatsop (6), Columbia (5), Coos (5), Crook (11), Deschutes (68), Douglas (10), Grant (13), Harney (2), Hood River (4), Jackson (39), Jefferson (8) Josephine (10), Klamath (35), Lake (3), Lane (46), Lincoln (4), Linn (29), Malheur (5), Marion (60), Morrow (3), Multnomah (120), Polk (14), Tillamook (3), Umatilla (17), Union (1), Wasco (2), Washington (70) and Yamhill (10) Oregon's 2,573rd COVID-19 death is an 89-year-old man from **COLUMBIA COUNTY** who tested positive on April 12 and died on May 10 at Portland VA Medical Center. Presence of underlying conditions is being confirmed. Oregon's 2,574th COVID-19 death is a 68-year-old man from **COLUMBIA COUNTY** who tested positive on April 3 and died on April 18 at PeaceHealth Southwest Medical Center in Vancouver, WA. He had underlying conditions. Oregon's 2,575th COVID-19 death is an 89-year-old man from Grant County who tested positive on May 6 and died on May 12 at his residence.

[View](#)

Unique Visitors: 17,740
Calc Publicity Value: \$417

CPM: \$11.38

Estimated Ad Value: \$139

8.  **Oregonian** **Newspaper** Market: Portland, OR (21)

Coronavirus in Oregon: 10 deaths, 713 cases; new details for vaccination checks

May 15

2021 12:20AM PT

Where the new cases are by county: Baker (4), Benton (6), Clackamas (100), Clatsop (6), Columbia (5), Coos (5), Crook (11), Deschutes (68), Douglas (10), Grant (13), Harney (2), Hood River (4), Jackson (39), Jefferson (8), Josephine (10), Klamath (35), Lake (3), Lane (46), Lincoln (4), Linn (29), Malheur (5), Marion. 60), Morrow (3), Multnomah (120), Polk (14), Tillamook (3), Umatilla (17), Union (1), Wasco (2), Washington (70) and Yamhill (10) Who died: Oregon's 2,573rd death linked to COVID-19 death is an 89-year-old **COLUMBIA COUNTY** man who tested positive April 12 and died May 10 at Portland VA Medical Center. The 2,574th fatality is a 68-year-old **COLUMBIA COUNTY** man who tested positive April 3 and died April 18 at PeaceHealth Southwest Medical Center in Vancouver, WA. Oregon's 2,575th death is an 89-year-old

Grant County man who tested positive May 6 and died May 12 at his residence. The 2,576th fatality is a 104-year-old Coos County woman who tested positive May 5 and died May 9 at her residence.

[View](#)

Unique Visitors: 1,123,175
Calc Publicity Value: \$4,578

CPM: \$11.38

Estimated Ad Value: \$1,526

9.  **Pamplin Tribune** Online Only Market: Portland, OR (21)

Oregons congressional delegation stacks up millions in 'earmarks'

May 15
2021 07:02AM PT

Cardiac Monitor/Defibrillator Project for the Banks Fire District No. 13, \$170,000. **COLUMBIA COUNTY** Small Business Resource Center, **COLUMBIA COUNTY** Economic Team, \$175,000. Construction Trades Expansion, WorkSystems?

[View](#)

Unique Visitors: 118,231
Calc Publicity Value: \$828

CPM: \$11.38

Estimated Ad Value: \$276

10.  **Corvallis Gazette Times** Web Market: Eugene, OR (113)

Fulton judge to hear lawsuit over Augusta-area court split

May 15
2021 02:47PM PT

Fulton judge to hear lawsuit over Augusta-area court split AUGUSTA, Ga. (AP) - A lawsuit challenging a plan to create a new judicial circuit covering **COLUMBIA COUNTY** will be heard by a retired Fulton County judge. The Augusta Chronicle reports Senior Judge Gail S. Tusan was appointed to preside over the case on Wednesday after all the judges in the current Augusta Judicial Circuit recused themselves. Tusan became a senior judge in 2019 after 35 years on the bench in Fulton County. The lawsuit seeks to invalidate a law passed by the General Assembly this year that would split **COLUMBIA COUNTY** from the three-county circuit that also includes Richmond and Burke counties. Those two would remain as the Augusta Circuit. The suit contends the law, which takes effect July 1, violates the separation of powers between the three branches of government. The suit says Republican state Sen. Lee Anderson of Grovetown overrode the authority of the judiciary to decide whether new circuits or judges are needed when he introduced the bill. A workload analysis, based on year-old data because of the pandemic, showed **COLUMBIA COUNTY** has an adequate workload to justify three judges. A circuit of Richmond and Burke counties, however, would have a workload qualifying it for six judgeships. The new law provides for only five superior court judgeships for Richmond and Burke counties. The suit also alleges the law violates the Voting Rights Act by creating a separate, white-majority circuit, diluting the votes of Black **COLUMBIA COUNTY** residents, and voiding the votes of residents in the three counties who elected the first Black district attorney of the Augusta Judicial Circuit, Jared Williams. The lawsuit also says the law fails to determine how current cases will be handled in the future. For copyright information, check with the distributor of this item, The Augusta Chronicle.

[View](#)

Unique Visitors: 44,484
Calc Publicity Value: \$771

CPM: \$11.38

Estimated Ad Value: \$257

11.  **eastoregonian.com** Online Only Market: Oregon (21)

Second Amendment sanctuaries facing 1st court test in Oregon

May 16
2021 03:39PM PT

19, 2021, file photo, firearms are displayed at a gun shop in Salem, Ore. The first legal test of whether a wave of U.S. counties can legally declare themselves "Second Amendment Sanctuaries," and refuse to enforce certain gun laws is playing out in a rural Oregon logging county. The measure **COLUMBIA COUNTY** voters narrowly approved last year bans local officials from enforcing most federal and state gun laws, which includes things like universal background checks or any prohibition on carrying guns. Author: Andrew Selsky

[View](#)

Unique Visitors: 34,048

CPM: \$11.38

Estimated Ad Value: \$218

Calc Publicity Value: \$654

12.  **KATU-TV [ABC 2]**  Market: Portland, OR (21)

Oregon court sees first legal challenge of Second Amendment sanctuaries

May 16

2021 11:15PM PT

Oregon court sees first legal challenge of Second Amendment sanctuaries by LINDSAY WHITEHURST and ANDREW SELSKY Associated Press Monday, May 17th 2021 SALEM, Ore. (AP) - The first court test of whether local governments can ban police from enforcing certain gun laws is playing out in a rural Oregon county, one of a wave of U.S. counties declaring itself a Second Amendment sanctuary. The measure that voters in the logging area of **COLUMBIA COUNTY** narrowly approved last year forbids local officials from enforcing most federal and state gun laws and could impose thousands of dollars in fines on those who try. Second Amendment sanctuary resolutions have been adopted by some 1,200 local governments in states around the U.S., including Virginia, Colorado, New Mexico, Kansas, Illinois and Florida, according to Shawn Fields, an assistant professor of law at Campbell University who tracks them. Many are symbolic, but some, like in **COLUMBIA COUNTY**, carry legal force. [READ MORE | Oklahoma now 2nd Amendment sanctuary state](#) The movement took off around 2018, as states considered stricter gun laws in the wake of mass shootings, including a high school shooting near Parkland, Florida, that killed 17 people and made survivors into high-profile gun control activists. After President Joe Biden took office, conservative lawmakers in several states proposed banning police from enforcing federal gun measures, and at least one proposal in Arizona has been signed into law. The movement hasn't yet faced a major legal challenge. The Oregon case was filed by **COLUMBIA COUNTY** under an unusual provision in state law that allows a judge to examine a measure before it goes into effect. No timeline has been set for a court hearing. "This will allow the court to tell us whether the county can actually decline to enforce certain state laws, and it will tell us how to abide by the will of the voters to the extent that we can," said Sarah Hanson, who serves as counsel in the conservative-leaning county in.

[View](#)

though, and four residents filed court documents opposing it. One, Brandee Dudzic, referenced the strict gun safety drills she learned in military medic training, saying she values the right to own a gun but believes it should come with safety measures like background checks and secure storage. A gun shop owner in **COLUMBIA COUNTY** said he supports background checks and believes that "state law trumps the county law."But he voted in favor of the Second Amendment measure on principle. "We need to make sure that people are safe. We need to make sure that people are responsible,"he said.

[View](#)

He agreed that the Oregon case is the first of its kind. Local enforcement of state law, meanwhile, is another matter. Most states don't have similar provisions in their own legal codes, and Oregon's attorney general said in court documents that the **COLUMBIA COUNTY** ordinance is "incompatible" with criminal law and the duties of county officials. "To the extent the local government is trying to say, 'We're also not going to enforce state law either' that's a much more difficult and complicated position," Miller said.

View ▶

Unique Visitors: 332,156
Calc Publicity Value: \$2,445

CPM: \$11.38

Estimated Ad Value: \$815

13.  **KGW-NBC Television** Market: Portland, OR (21)

KGW News at 11PM

May 16
2021 11:00PM PT

[11:06:52 PM] [0:23] The first court battle over whether local governments can ban police from enforcing certain gun laws will play out this week in Oregon. **COLUMBIA COUNTY** is one of a wave of U.S. Counties declaring itself a second amendment sanctuary. The measure approved by voters last year prohibits local officials from enforcing most federal and state gun laws.

View ▶

Nielsen Audience: 25,172
Calc Publicity Value: \$1,495

Ad Value: \$650
Runtime: 0:23

Calc Ad Value: \$498

14.  **KOIN-TV [CBS 6] Television** Market: Portland, OR (21)

Second Amendment sanctuaries facing 1st court test in Oregon

May 16
2021 03:16PM PT

19, 2021, file photo, firearms are displayed at a gun shop in Salem, Ore. The first legal test of whether a wave of U.S. counties can legally declare themselves "Second Amendment Sanctuaries," and refuse to enforce certain gun laws is playing out in a rural Oregon logging county. The measure **COLUMBIA COUNTY** voters narrowly approved last year bans local officials from enforcing most federal and state gun laws, which includes things like universal background checks or any prohibition on carrying guns. (AP Photo/Andrew Selsky, File) SALEM, Ore. (AP) - The first court test of whether local governments can ban police from enforcing certain gun laws is playing out in a rural Oregon county, one of a wave of U.S. counties declaring itself a Second Amendment sanctuary. The measure that voters in the logging area of **COLUMBIA COUNTY** narrowly approved last year forbids local officials from enforcing most federal and state gun laws and could impose thousands of dollars in fines on those who try. Second Amendment sanctuary resolutions have been adopted by some 1,200 local governments in states around the U.S., including Virginia, Colorado, New Mexico, Kansas, Illinois and Florida, according to Shawn Fields, an assistant professor of law at Campbell University who tracks them. Many are symbolic, but some, like in **COLUMBIA COUNTY**, carry legal force. The movement took off around 2018, as states considered stricter gun laws in the wake of mass shootings, including a high school shooting near Parkland, Florida, that killed 17 people and made survivors into high-profile gun control activists. After President Joe Biden took office, conservative lawmakers in several states proposed banning police from enforcing federal gun measures, and at least one proposal in Arizona has been signed into law. The movement hasn't yet faced a major legal challenge. The Oregon case was filed by **COLUMBIA COUNTY** under an unusual provision in state law that allows a judge to examine a measure before it goes into effect. No timeline has been set for a court hearing. "This will allow the court to tell us whether the county can actually decline to enforce certain state laws, and it will tell us how to abide by the will of the voters to the extent that we can," said Sarah Hanson, who serves as counsel in the conservative-leaning county in.

View ▶

Sheriff Brian Pixley has expressed support, saying in a March statement that one of his responsibilities is to uphold people's Second Amendment rights and that he's eager to "move forward with the will of the voters." The measure is divisive locally, though, and four residents filed court documents opposing it. One,

Brandee Dudzic, referenced the strict gun safety drills she learned in military medic training, saying she values the right to own a gun but believes it should come with safety measures like background checks and secure storage. A gun shop owner in **COLUMBIA COUNTY** said he supports background checks and believes that "state law trumps the county law." But he voted in favor of the Second Amendment measure on principle. "We need to make sure that people are safe. We need to make sure that people are responsible," he said.

[View](#) ▶

He agreed that the Oregon case is the first of its kind. Local enforcement of state law, meanwhile, is another matter. Most states don't have similar provisions in their own legal codes, and Oregon's attorney general said in court documents that the **COLUMBIA COUNTY** ordinance is "incompatible" with criminal law and the duties of county officials. "To the extent the local government is trying to say, 'We're also not going to enforce state law either' that's a much more difficult and complicated position," Miller said.

[View](#) ▶

Unique Visitors: 204,992
Calc Publicity Value: \$1,767

CPM: \$11.38

Estimated Ad Value: \$589

15.  **Oregon Public Broadcasting [OPB]**  **Radio** Market: Portland, OR (21)

Second Amendment sanctuaries facing 1st court test in Oregon

May 16
2021 03:49PM PT

Second Amendment sanctuaries facing 1st court test in Oregon The first court test of whether local governments can ban police from enforcing certain gun laws is playing out in a rural Oregon county, one of a wave of U.S. counties declaring itself a Second Amendment sanctuary. The measure that voters in the logging area of **COLUMBIA COUNTY** narrowly approved last year forbids local officials from enforcing most federal and state gun laws and could impose thousands of dollars in fines on those who try. Second Amendment sanctuary resolutions have been adopted by some 1,200 local governments in states around the U.S., including Virginia, Colorado, New Mexico, Kansas, Illinois and Florida, according to Shawn Fields, an assistant professor of law at Campbell University who tracks them. Many are symbolic, but some, like in **COLUMBIA COUNTY**, carry legal force. The movement took off around 2018, as states considered stricter gun laws in the wake of mass shootings, including a high school shooting near Parkland, Florida, that killed 17 people and made survivors into high-profile gun control activists. After President Joe Biden took office, conservative lawmakers in several states proposed banning police from enforcing federal gun measures, and at least one proposal in Arizona has been signed into law. The movement hasn't yet faced a major legal challenge. The Oregon case was filed by **COLUMBIA COUNTY** under an unusual provision in state law that allows a judge to examine a measure before it goes into effect. No timeline has been set for a court hearing. "This will allow the court to tell us whether the county can actually decline to enforce certain state laws, and it will tell us how to abide by the will of the voters to the extent that we can," said Sarah Hanson, who serves as counsel in the conservative-leaning county in.

[View](#) ▶

Sheriff Brian Pixley has expressed support, saying in a March statement that one of his responsibilities is to uphold people's Second Amendment rights and that he's eager to "move forward with the will of the voters." The measure is divisive locally, though, and four residents filed court documents opposing it. One, Brandee Dudzic, referenced the strict gun safety drills she learned in military medic training, saying she values the right to own a gun but believes it should come with safety measures like background checks and secure storage. A gun shop owner in **COLUMBIA COUNTY** said he supports background checks and believes that "state law trumps the county law." But he voted in favor of the Second Amendment measure on principle. "We need to make sure that people are safe. We need to make sure that people are responsible," he said.

View ▶

He agreed that the Oregon case is the first of its kind. Local enforcement of state law, meanwhile, is another matter. Most states don't have similar provisions in their own legal codes, and Oregon's attorney general said in court documents that the **COLUMBIA COUNTY** ordinance is "incompatible" with criminal law and the duties of county officials. "To the extent the local government is trying to say, 'We're also not going to enforce state law either' that's a much more difficult and complicated position," Miller said.

View ▶

Unique Visitors: 248,189

CPM: \$11.38

Estimated Ad Value: \$476

Calc Publicity Value: \$1,428

16.  **Oregonian Newspaper** Market: Portland, OR (21)

Oregon 'Second Amendment sanctuary' law to face 1st court test

May 16

2021 04:21PM PT

19, 2021, file photo, a man enters a gun shop in Salem. The first legal test of whether a wave of U.S. counties can legally declare themselves "Second Amendment Sanctuaries," and refuse to enforce certain gun laws is playing out in a rural Oregon logging county. The measure **COLUMBIA COUNTY** voters narrowly approved last year bans local officials from enforcing most federal and state gun laws, which includes things like universal background checks or any prohibition on carrying guns. (AP Photo/Andrew Selsky, File) AP By Lindsay Whitehurst | Associated Press and Andrew Selsky | Associated Press SALEM - The first court test of whether local governments can ban police from enforcing certain gun laws is playing out in a rural Oregon county, one of a wave of U.S. counties declaring itself a. Second Amendment sanctuary. The measure that voters in the logging area of **COLUMBIA COUNTY** narrowly approved last year forbids local officials from enforcing most federal and state gun laws and could impose thousands of dollars in fines on those who try. Second Amendment sanctuary resolutions have been adopted by some 1,200 local governments in states around the U.S., including Virginia, Colorado, New Mexico, Kansas, Illinois and Florida, according to Shawn Fields, an assistant professor of law at Campbell University who tracks them. Many are symbolic, but some, like in **COLUMBIA COUNTY**, carry legal force. The movement took off around 2018, as states considered stricter gun laws in the wake of mass shootings, including a high school shooting near Parkland, Florida, that killed 17 people and made survivors into high-profile gun control activists. In this Feb. 19, 2021, file photo, firearms are displayed at a gun shop in Salem. The first legal test of whether a wave of U.S. counties can legally declare themselves "Second Amendment Sanctuaries," and refuse to enforce certain gun laws is playing out in a rural Oregon logging county. The measure **COLUMBIA COUNTY** voters narrowly approved last year bans local officials from enforcing most federal and state gun laws, which includes things like universal background checks or any prohibition on carrying guns. (AP Photo/Andrew Selsky, File) After President Joe Biden took office, conservative lawmakers in several states proposed banning police from enforcing federal gun measures, and at least one proposal in Arizona has been signed into law. The movement hasn't yet faced a major legal challenge. The Oregon case was filed by **COLUMBIA COUNTY** under an unusual provision in state law that allows a judge to examine a measure before it goes into effect. No timeline has been set for a court hearing. "This will allow the court to tell us whether the county can actually decline to enforce certain state laws, and it will tell us how to abide by the will of the voters to the extent that we can," said Sarah Hanson, who serves as counsel in the conservative-leaning county in.

View ▶

Sheriff Brian Pixley has expressed support, saying in a March statement that one of his responsibilities is to uphold people's Second Amendment rights and that he's eager to "move forward with the will of the voters." The measure is divisive locally, though, and four residents filed court documents opposing it. One, Brandee Dudzic, referenced the strict gun safety drills she learned in military medic training, saying she

values the right to own a gun but believes it should come with safety measures like background checks and secure storage. A gun shop owner in **COLUMBIA COUNTY** said he supports background checks and believes that "state law trumps the county law." But he voted in favor of the Second Amendment measure on principle. "We need to make sure that people are safe. We need to make sure that people are responsible," he said.

[View](#)

He agreed that the Oregon case is the first of its kind. Local enforcement of state law, meanwhile, is another matter. Most states don't have similar provisions in their own legal codes, and Oregon's attorney general said in court documents that the **COLUMBIA COUNTY** ordinance is "incompatible" with criminal law and the duties of county officials. "To the extent the local government is trying to say, 'We're also not going to enforce state law either' that's a much more difficult and complicated position," Miller said.

[View](#)

Unique Visitors: 1,123,175
Calc Publicity Value: \$4,578

CPM: \$11.38

Estimated Ad Value: \$1,526

17.  **Statesman Journal** Newspaper Market: Portland, OR (21)

Second Amendment sanctuaries face first court test in Columbia County

May 16
2021 07:00PM PT

Second Amendment sanctuaries face first court test in **COLUMBIA COUNTY** The first court test of whether local governments can ban police from enforcing certain gun laws is playing out in a rural Oregon county, one of a wave of U.S. counties declaring itself a Second Amendment sanctuary. The measure that voters in the logging area of **COLUMBIA COUNTY** narrowly approved last year forbids local officials from enforcing most federal and state gun laws and could impose thousands of dollars in fines on those who try. Second Amendment sanctuary resolutions have been adopted by some 1,200 local governments in states around the U.S., including Virginia, Colorado, New Mexico, Kansas, Illinois and Florida, according to Shawn Fields, an assistant professor of law at Campbell University who tracks them. Many are symbolic, but some, like in **COLUMBIA COUNTY**, carry legal force. The movement took off around 2018, as states considered stricter gun laws in the wake of mass shootings, including a high school shooting near Parkland, Florida, that killed 17 people and made survivors into high-profile gun control activists. After President Joe Biden took office, conservative lawmakers in several states proposed banning police from enforcing federal gun measures, and at least one proposal in Arizona has been signed into law. The movement hasn't yet faced a major legal challenge. The Oregon case was filed by **COLUMBIA COUNTY** under an unusual provision in state law that allows a judge to examine a measure before it goes into effect. No timeline has been set for a court hearing. "This will allow the court to tell us whether the county can actually decline to enforce certain state laws, and it will tell us how to abide by the will of the voters to the extent that we can," said Sarah Hanson, who serves as counsel in the conservative-leaning county in.

[View](#)

Sheriff Brian Pixley has expressed support, saying in a March statement that one of his responsibilities is to uphold people's Second Amendment rights and that he's eager to "move forward with the will of the voters." The measure is divisive locally, though, and four residents filed court documents opposing it. One, Brandee Dudzic, referenced the strict gun safety drills she learned in military medic training, saying she values the right to own a gun but believes it should come with safety measures like background checks and secure storage. A gun shop owner in **COLUMBIA COUNTY** said he supports background checks and believes that "state law trumps the county law." But he voted in favor of the Second Amendment measure on principle. ICYMI: Oregon Senate sends gun regulation bill to governor's desk "We need to make sure that people are safe. We need to make sure that people are responsible," he said.

[View](#)

Unique Visitors: 83,870
Calc Publicity Value: \$1,224

CPM: \$11.38

Estimated Ad Value: \$408

18.  **The Chief News** Online Only Market: Portland, OR (21)

Education Funding: \$9.3 billion spending plan moving forward

May 16
2021 12:38AM PT

Education Funding: \$9.3 billion spending plan moving forward Support local journalism by subscribing today! Click Here to see our current offers. Clatskanie, Rainier and other **COLUMBIA COUNTY** School Districts School districts are assembling budgets for the coming year and they, and other Oregon schools, could receive a significant financial boost in the coming months. After what Gov. Kate Brown calls "productive conversations" between herself, Senate President Peter Courtney, and House Speaker Tina Kotek, the Oregon Legislature's Joint Ways and Means Committee Friday, May 14, moved forward Senate Bill 5514, a State School Fund budget that will invest \$9.3 billion in K-12 public schools this year.

[View](#) ▶

Unique Visitors: 1,453
Calc Publicity Value: \$90

CPM: \$11.38

Estimated Ad Value: \$30

19.  **The News Review** Newspaper Market: Portland, OR (21)

Second Amendment sanctuaries facing 1st court test in Oregon

May 16
2021 03:25PM PT

19, 2021, file photo, firearms are displayed at a gun shop in Salem, Ore. The first legal test of whether a wave of U.S. counties can legally declare themselves "Second Amendment Sanctuaries," and refuse to enforce certain gun laws is playing out in a rural Oregon logging county. The measure **COLUMBIA COUNTY** voters narrowly approved last year bans local officials from enforcing most federal and state gun laws, which includes things like universal background checks or any prohibition on carrying guns. SALEM, Ore. (AP) - The first court test of whether local governments can ban police from enforcing certain gun laws is playing out in a rural Oregon county, one of a wave of U.S. counties declaring itself a Second Amendment sanctuary. The measure that voters in the logging area of **COLUMBIA COUNTY** narrowly approved last year forbids local officials from enforcing most federal and state gun laws and could impose thousands of dollars in fines on those who try. Second Amendment sanctuary resolutions have been adopted by some 1,200 local governments in states around the U.S., including Virginia, Colorado, New Mexico, Kansas, Illinois and Florida, according to Shawn Fields, an assistant professor of law at Campbell University who tracks them. Many are symbolic, but some, like in **COLUMBIA COUNTY**, carry legal force. The movement took off around 2018, as states considered stricter gun laws in the wake of mass shootings, including a high school shooting near Parkland, Florida, that killed 17 people and made survivors into high-profile gun control activists. After President Joe Biden took office, conservative lawmakers in several states proposed banning police from enforcing federal gun measures, and at least one proposal in Arizona has been signed into law. The movement hasn't yet faced a major legal challenge. The Oregon case was filed by **COLUMBIA COUNTY** under an unusual provision in state law that allows a judge to examine a measure before it goes into effect. No timeline has been set for a court hearing. "This will allow the court to tell us whether the county can actually decline to enforce certain state laws, and it will tell us how to abide by the will of the voters to the extent that we can," said Sarah Hanson, who serves as counsel in the conservative-leaning county in.

[View](#) ▶

Sheriff Brian Pixley has expressed support, saying in a March statement that one of his responsibilities is to uphold people's Second Amendment rights and that he's eager to "move forward with the will of the

voters." The measure is divisive locally, though, and four residents filed court documents opposing it. One, Brandee Dudzic, referenced the strict gun safety drills she learned in military medic training, saying she values the right to own a gun but believes it should come with safety measures like background checks and secure storage. A gun shop owner in **COLUMBIA COUNTY** said he supports background checks and believes that "state law trumps the county law." But he voted in favor of the Second Amendment measure on principle. "We need to make sure that people are safe. We need to make sure that people are responsible," he said.

[View](#) ▶

He agreed that the Oregon case is the first of its kind. Local enforcement of state law, meanwhile, is another matter. Most states don't have similar provisions in their own legal codes, and Oregon's attorney general said in court documents that the **COLUMBIA COUNTY** ordinance is "incompatible" with criminal law and the duties of county officials. "To the extent the local government is trying to say, 'We're also not going to enforce state law either' that's a much more difficult and complicated position," Miller said.

[View](#) ▶

Unique Visitors: 18,428

CPM: \$11.38

Estimated Ad Value: \$142

Calc Publicity Value: \$426

20.  **The Scanner Magazine** Market: Portland, OR (21)

Second Amendment Sanctuaries Facing 1st Court test in Oregon

May 16

2021 08:00PM PT

19, 2021. The first legal test of whether a wave of U.S. counties can legally declare themselves "Second Amendment Sanctuaries," and refuse to enforce certain gun laws is playing out in a rural Oregon logging county. The measure **COLUMBIA COUNTY** voters narrowly approved last year bans local officials from enforcing most federal and state gun laws, which includes things like universal background checks or any prohibition on carrying guns. (AP Photo/Andrew Selsky, File) LINDSAY WHITEHURST and ANDREW SELSKY Associated Press SALEM, Ore. (AP) - The first court test of whether local governments can ban police from enforcing certain gun laws is playing out in a rural Oregon county, one of a wave of U.S. counties declaring itself a Second Amendment sanctuary. The measure that voters in the logging area of **COLUMBIA COUNTY** narrowly approved last year forbids local officials from enforcing most federal and state gun laws and could impose thousands of dollars in fines on those who try. Second Amendment sanctuary resolutions have been adopted by some 1,200 local governments in states around the U.S., including Virginia, Colorado, New Mexico, Kansas, Illinois and Florida, according to Shawn Fields, an assistant professor of law at Campbell University who tracks them. Many are symbolic, but some, like in **COLUMBIA COUNTY**, carry legal force. The movement took off around 2018, as states considered stricter gun laws in the wake of mass shootings, including a high school shooting near Parkland, Florida, that killed 17 people and made survivors into high-profile gun control activists. After President Joe Biden took office, conservative lawmakers in several states proposed banning police from enforcing federal gun measures, and at least one proposal in Arizona has been signed into law. The movement hasn't yet faced a major legal challenge. The Oregon case was filed by **COLUMBIA COUNTY** under an unusual provision in state law that allows a judge to examine a measure before it goes into effect. No timeline has been set for a court hearing. "This will allow the court to tell us whether the county can actually decline to enforce certain state laws, and it will tell us how to abide by the will of the voters to the extent that we can," said Sarah Hanson, who serves as counsel in the conservative-leaning county in.

[View](#) ▶

Sheriff Brian Pixley has expressed support, saying in a March statement that one of his responsibilities is to uphold people's Second Amendment rights and that he's eager to "move forward with the will of the voters." The measure is divisive locally, though, and four residents filed court documents opposing it. One,

Brandee Dudzic, referenced the strict gun safety drills she learned in military medic training, saying she values the right to own a gun but believes it should come with safety measures like background checks and secure storage. A gun shop owner in **COLUMBIA COUNTY** said he supports background checks and believes that "state law trumps the county law." But he voted in favor of the Second Amendment measure on principle. "We need to make sure that people are safe. We need to make sure that people are responsible," he said.

[View](#)

Unique Visitors: 5,144

CPM: \$11.38

Estimated Ad Value: \$52

Calc Publicity Value: \$156

21.  **Albany Democrat Herald** Newspaper Market: Eugene, OR (113)

Second Amendment sanctuaries facing 1st court test in Oregon

May 16

2021 03:27PM PT

Second Amendment sanctuaries facing 1st court test in Oregon SALEM, Ore. (AP) - The first court test of whether local governments can ban police from enforcing certain gun laws is playing out in a rural Oregon county, one of a wave of U.S. counties declaring itself a Second Amendment sanctuary. The measure that voters in the logging area of **COLUMBIA COUNTY** narrowly approved last year forbids local officials from enforcing most federal and state gun laws and could impose thousands of dollars in fines on those who try. Second Amendment sanctuary resolutions have been adopted by some 1,200 local governments in states around the U.S., including Virginia, Colorado, New Mexico, Kansas, Illinois and Florida, according to Shawn Fields, an assistant professor of law at Campbell University who tracks them. Many are symbolic, but some, like in **COLUMBIA COUNTY**, carry legal force. The movement took off around 2018, as states considered stricter gun laws in the wake of mass shootings, including a high school shooting near Parkland, Florida, that killed 17 people and made survivors into high-profile gun control activists. After President Joe Biden took office, conservative lawmakers in several states proposed banning police from enforcing federal gun measures, and at least one proposal in Arizona has been signed into law. The movement hasn't yet faced a major legal challenge. The Oregon case was filed by **COLUMBIA COUNTY** under an unusual provision in state law that allows a judge to examine a measure before it goes into effect. No timeline has been set for a court hearing. "This will allow the court to tell us whether the county can actually decline to enforce certain state laws, and it will tell us how to abide by the will of the voters to the extent that we can," said Sarah Hanson, who serves as counsel in the conservative-leaning county in.

[View](#)

Sheriff Brian Pixley has expressed support, saying in a March statement that one of his responsibilities is to uphold people's Second Amendment rights and that he's eager to "move forward with the will of the voters." The measure is divisive locally, though, and four residents filed court documents opposing it. One, Brandee Dudzic, referenced the strict gun safety drills she learned in military medic training, saying she values the right to own a gun but believes it should come with safety measures like background checks and secure storage. A gun shop owner in **COLUMBIA COUNTY** said he supports background checks and believes that "state law trumps the county law." But he voted in favor of the Second Amendment measure on principle. "We need to make sure that people are safe. We need to make sure that people are responsible," he said.

[View](#)

He agreed that the Oregon case is the first of its kind. Local enforcement of state law, meanwhile, is another matter. Most states don't have similar provisions in their own legal codes, and Oregon's attorney general said in court documents that the **COLUMBIA COUNTY** ordinance is "incompatible" with criminal law and the duties of county officials. "To the extent the local government is trying to say, 'We're also not going to enforce state law either' that's a much more difficult and complicated position," Miller said.

Unique Visitors: 27,405
Calc Publicity Value: \$738

CPM: \$11.38

Estimated Ad Value: \$246

22.  **Albany Democrat Herald** **Newspaper** Market: Eugene, OR (113)

Second Amendment sanctuaries facing 1st court test in Oregon

May 16
2021 03:42PM PT

Second Amendment sanctuaries facing 1st court test in Oregon SALEM, Ore. (AP) - The first court test of whether local governments can ban police from enforcing certain gun laws is playing out in a rural Oregon county, one of a wave of U.S. counties declaring itself a Second Amendment sanctuary. The measure that voters in the logging area of **COLUMBIA COUNTY** narrowly approved last year forbids local officials from enforcing most federal and state gun laws and could impose thousands of dollars in fines on those who try. Second Amendment sanctuary resolutions have been adopted by some 1,200 local governments in states around the U.S., including Virginia, Colorado, New Mexico, Kansas, Illinois and Florida, according to Shawn Fields, an assistant professor of law at Campbell University who tracks them. Many are symbolic, but some, like in **COLUMBIA COUNTY**, carry legal force. The movement took off around 2018, as states considered stricter gun laws in the wake of mass shootings, including a high school shooting near Parkland, Florida, that killed 17 people and made survivors into high-profile gun control activists. After President Joe Biden took office, conservative lawmakers in several states proposed banning police from enforcing federal gun measures, and at least one proposal in Arizona has been signed into law. The movement hasn't yet faced a major legal challenge. The Oregon case was filed by **COLUMBIA COUNTY** under an unusual provision in state law that allows a judge to examine a measure before it goes into effect. No timeline has been set for a court hearing. "This will allow the court to tell us whether the county can actually decline to enforce certain state laws, and it will tell us how to abide by the will of the voters to the extent that we can," said Sarah Hanson, who serves as counsel in the conservative-leaning county in.

View ▶

Sheriff Brian Pixley has expressed support, saying in a March statement that one of his responsibilities is to uphold people's Second Amendment rights and that he's eager to "move forward with the will of the voters." The measure is divisive locally, though, and four residents filed court documents opposing it. One, Brandee Dudzic, referenced the strict gun safety drills she learned in military medic training, saying she values the right to own a gun but believes it should come with safety measures like background checks and secure storage. A gun shop owner in **COLUMBIA COUNTY** said he supports background checks and believes that "state law trumps the county law." But he voted in favor of the Second Amendment measure on principle. "We need to make sure that people are safe. We need to make sure that people are responsible," he said.

View ▶

He agreed that the Oregon case is the first of its kind. Local enforcement of state law, meanwhile, is another matter. Most states don't have similar provisions in their own legal codes, and Oregon's attorney general said in court documents that the **COLUMBIA COUNTY** ordinance is "incompatible" with criminal law and the duties of county officials. "To the extent the local government is trying to say, 'We're also not going to enforce state law either' that's a much more difficult and complicated position," Miller said.

View ▶

Unique Visitors: 27,405
Calc Publicity Value: \$738

CPM: \$11.38

Estimated Ad Value: \$246

23. **Corvallis Gazette Times** **Web** Market: Eugene, OR (113)

19, 2021, file photo, firearms are displayed at a gun shop in Salem, Ore. The first legal test of whether a wave of U.S. counties can legally declare themselves "Second Amendment Sanctuaries," and refuse to enforce certain gun laws is playing out in a rural Oregon logging county. The measure **COLUMBIA COUNTY** voters narrowly approved last year bans local officials from enforcing most federal and state gun laws, which includes things like universal background checks or any prohibition on carrying guns. Andrew Selsky FILE - In this Feb. 19, 2021, file photo, a man enters a gun shop in Salem, Ore. The first legal test of whether a wave of U.S. counties can legally declare themselves "Second Amendment Sanctuaries," and refuse to enforce certain gun laws is playing out in a rural Oregon logging county. The measure **COLUMBIA COUNTY** voters narrowly approved last year bans local officials from enforcing most federal and state gun laws, which includes things like universal background checks or any prohibition on carrying guns. Andrew Selsky prev next By LINDSAY WHITEHURST and ANDREW SELSKY Associated Press SALEM, Ore. (AP) - The first court test of whether local governments can ban police from enforcing certain gun laws is playing out in a rural Oregon county, one of a wave of U.S. counties declaring itself a Second Amendment sanctuary. The measure that voters in the logging area of **COLUMBIA COUNTY** narrowly approved last year forbids local officials from enforcing most federal and state gun laws and could impose thousands of dollars in fines on those who try. Second Amendment sanctuary resolutions have been adopted by some 1,200 local governments in states around the U.S., including Virginia, Colorado, New Mexico, Kansas, Illinois and Florida, according to Shawn Fields, an assistant professor of law at Campbell University who tracks them. Many are symbolic, but some, like in **COLUMBIA COUNTY**, carry legal force. The movement took off around 2018, as states considered stricter gun laws in the wake of mass shootings, including a high school shooting near Parkland, Florida, that killed 17 people and made survivors into high-profile gun control activists. After President Joe Biden took office, conservative lawmakers in several states proposed banning police from enforcing federal gun measures, and at least one proposal in Arizona has been signed into law. The movement hasn't yet faced a major legal challenge. The Oregon case was filed by **COLUMBIA COUNTY** under an unusual provision in state law that allows a judge to examine a measure before it goes into effect. No timeline has been set for a court hearing. "This will allow the court to tell us whether the county can actually decline to enforce certain state laws, and it will tell us how to abide by the will of the voters to the extent that we can," said Sarah Hanson, who serves as counsel in the conservative-leaning county in.

[View](#) ▶

Sheriff Brian Pixley has expressed support, saying in a March statement that one of his responsibilities is to uphold people's Second Amendment rights and that he's eager to "move forward with the will of the voters." The measure is divisive locally, though, and four residents filed court documents opposing it. One, Brandee Dudzic, referenced the strict gun safety drills she learned in military medic training, saying she values the right to own a gun but believes it should come with safety measures like background checks and secure storage. A gun shop owner in **COLUMBIA COUNTY** said he supports background checks and believes that "state law trumps the county law." But he voted in favor of the Second Amendment measure on principle. "We need to make sure that people are safe. We need to make sure that people are responsible," he said.

[View](#) ▶

He agreed that the Oregon case is the first of its kind. Local enforcement of state law, meanwhile, is another matter. Most states don't have similar provisions in their own legal codes, and Oregon's attorney general said in court documents that the **COLUMBIA COUNTY** ordinance is "incompatible" with criminal law and the duties of county officials. "To the extent the local government is trying to say, 'We're also not going to enforce state law either' that's a much more difficult and complicated position," Miller said.

[View](#) ▶

Unique Visitors: 44,484
Calc Publicity Value: \$771

CPM: \$11.38

Estimated Ad Value: \$257

24.  **The Register-Guard**  Market: Eugene, OR (113)

Second Amendment sanctuaries face first court test in Columbia County

May 16
2021 05:26PM PT

Second Amendment sanctuaries face first court test in **COLUMBIA COUNTY SALEM** - The first court test of whether local governments can ban police from enforcing certain gun laws is playing out in a rural Oregon county, one of a wave of U.S. counties declaring itself a Second Amendment sanctuary. The measure that voters in the logging area of **COLUMBIA COUNTY** narrowly approved last year forbids local officials from enforcing most federal and state gun laws and could impose thousands of dollars in fines on those who try. Second Amendment sanctuary resolutions have been adopted by some 1,200 local governments in states around the U.S., including Virginia, Colorado, New Mexico, Kansas, Illinois and Florida, according to Shawn Fields, an assistant professor of law at Campbell University who tracks them. Many are symbolic, but some, like in **COLUMBIA COUNTY**, carry legal force. The movement took off around 2018, as states considered stricter gun laws in the wake of mass shootings, including a high school shooting near Parkland, Florida, that killed 17 people and made survivors into high-profile gun control activists. After President Joe Biden took office, conservative lawmakers in several states proposed banning police from enforcing federal gun measures, and at least one proposal in Arizona has been signed into law. The movement hasn't yet faced a major legal challenge. The Oregon case was filed by **COLUMBIA COUNTY** under an unusual provision in state law that allows a judge to examine a measure before it goes into effect. No timeline has been set for a court hearing. "This will allow the court to tell us whether the county can actually decline to enforce certain state laws, and it will tell us how to abide by the will of the voters to the extent that we can," said Sarah Hanson, who serves as counsel in the conservative-leaning county in.

[View](#) ▶

Sheriff Brian Pixley has expressed support, saying in a March statement that one of his responsibilities is to uphold people's Second Amendment rights and that he's eager to "move forward with the will of the voters." The measure is divisive locally, though, and four residents filed court documents opposing it. One, Brandee Dudzic, referenced the strict gun safety drills she learned in military medic training, saying she values the right to own a gun but believes it should come with safety measures like background checks and secure storage. A gun shop owner in **COLUMBIA COUNTY** said he supports background checks and believes that "state law trumps the county law." But he voted in favor of the Second Amendment measure on principle. "We need to make sure that people are safe. We need to make sure that people are responsible," he said.

[View](#) ▶

He agreed that the Oregon case is the first of its kind. Local enforcement of state law, meanwhile, is another matter. Most states don't have similar provisions in their own legal codes, and Oregon's attorney general said in court documents that the **COLUMBIA COUNTY** ordinance is "incompatible" with criminal law and the duties of county officials. "To the extent the local government is trying to say, 'We're also not going to enforce state law either' that's a much more difficult and complicated position," Miller said.

[View](#) ▶

Unique Visitors: 65,834
Calc Publicity Value: \$903

CPM: \$11.38

Estimated Ad Value: \$301

25. **Herald and News**  Market: Medford-Klamath Falls, OR (134)

Second Amendment sanctuaries facing 1st court test in Oregon SALEM, Ore. (AP) - The first court test of whether local governments can ban police from enforcing certain gun laws is playing out in a rural Oregon county, one of a wave of U.S. counties declaring itself a Second Amendment sanctuary. The measure that voters in the logging area of **COLUMBIA COUNTY** narrowly approved last year forbids local officials from enforcing most federal and state gun laws and could impose thousands of dollars in fines on those who try. Second Amendment sanctuary resolutions have been adopted by some 1,200 local governments in states around the U.S., including Virginia, Colorado, New Mexico, Kansas, Illinois and Florida, according to Shawn Fields, an assistant professor of law at Campbell University who tracks them. Many are symbolic, but some, like in **COLUMBIA COUNTY**, carry legal force. The movement took off around 2018, as states considered stricter gun laws in the wake of mass shootings, including a high school shooting near Parkland, Florida, that killed 17 people and made survivors into high-profile gun control activists. After President Joe Biden took office, conservative lawmakers in several states proposed banning police from enforcing federal gun measures, and at least one proposal in Arizona has been signed into law. The movement hasn't yet faced a major legal challenge. The Oregon case was filed by **COLUMBIA COUNTY** under an unusual provision in state law that allows a judge to examine a measure before it goes into effect. No timeline has been set for a court hearing. "This will allow the court to tell us whether the county can actually decline to enforce certain state laws, and it will tell us how to abide by the will of the voters to the extent that we can," said Sarah Hanson, who serves as counsel in the conservative-leaning county in.

[View ▶](#)

Sheriff Brian Pixley has expressed support, saying in a March statement that one of his responsibilities is to uphold people's Second Amendment rights and that he's eager to "move forward with the will of the voters." The measure is divisive locally, though, and four residents filed court documents opposing it. One, Brandee Dudzic, referenced the strict gun safety drills she learned in military medic training, saying she values the right to own a gun but believes it should come with safety measures like background checks and secure storage. A gun shop owner in **COLUMBIA COUNTY** said he supports background checks and believes that "state law trumps the county law." But he voted in favor of the Second Amendment measure on principle. "We need to make sure that people are safe. We need to make sure that people are responsible," he said.

[View ▶](#)

He agreed that the Oregon case is the first of its kind. Local enforcement of state law, meanwhile, is another matter. Most states don't have similar provisions in their own legal codes, and Oregon's attorney general said in court documents that the **COLUMBIA COUNTY** ordinance is "incompatible" with criminal law and the duties of county officials. "To the extent the local government is trying to say, 'We're also not going to enforce state law either' that's a much more difficult and complicated position," Miller said.

[View ▶](#)

Unique Visitors: 18,364

CPM: \$11.38

Estimated Ad Value: \$153

Calc Publicity Value: \$459

26. 🏠 **KTVZ-TV [NBC 21]** Television Market: Bend, OR (184)

Second Amendment sanctuaries facing first court test in Oregon

May 16
2021 05:41PM PT

(AP) - The first court test of whether local governments can ban police from enforcing certain gun laws is playing out in a rural Oregon county. It's one of a wave of U.S. counties declaring itself a Second Amendment sanctuary. The measure that voters in the logging area of **COLUMBIA COUNTY** narrowly

approved last year forbids local officials from enforcing most federal and state gun laws and could impose thousands of dollars in fines on those who try. Second Amendment sanctuary resolutions have been adopted by some 1,200 local governments in states around the U.S., including Virginia, Colorado, New Mexico, Kansas, Illinois and Florida, according to Shawn Fields, an assistant professor of law at Campbell University who tracks them. Many are symbolic, such as one adopted unanimously last week by Jefferson County commissioners- but some, like in **COLUMBIA COUNTY**, carry legal force. The group Everytown for Gun Safety is among those urging a judge to invalidate the ordinance that's been divisive in the county, located outside of Portland. The movement took off around 2018, as states considered stricter gun laws in the wake of mass shootings, including a high school shooting near Parkland, Florida, that killed 17 people and made survivors into high-profile gun control activists. After President Joe Biden took office, conservative lawmakers in several states proposed banning police from enforcing federal gun measures, and at least one proposal in Arizona has been signed into law. The movement hasn't yet faced a major legal challenge. The Oregon case was filed by **COLUMBIA COUNTY** under an unusual provision in state law that allows a judge to examine a measure before it goes into effect. No timeline has been set for a court hearing. "This will allow the court to tell us whether the county can actually decline to enforce certain state laws, and it will tell us how to abide by the will of the voters, to the extent that we can," said Sarah Hanson, who serves as counsel in the conservative-leaning county in.

[View](#)

Unique Visitors: 107,665
Calc Publicity Value: \$1,599

CPM: \$11.38

Estimated Ad Value: \$533

27.  **The Oregon Herald** Online Only Market: Oregon (21)

Second Amendment sanctuaries facing 1st court test in Oregon

May 17
2021 09:43AM PT

Second Amendment sanctuaries facing 1st court test in Oregon SALEM, Ore. (AP) - The first court test of whether local governments can ban police from enforcing certain gun laws is playing out in a rural Oregon county, one of a wave of U.S. counties declaring itself a Second Amendment sanctuary. The measure that voters in the logging area of **COLUMBIA COUNTY** narrowly approved last year forbids local officials from enforcing most federal and state gun laws and could impose thousands of dollars in fines on those who try. Second Amendment sanctuary resolutions have been adopted by some 1,200 local governments in states around the U.S., including Virginia, Colorado, New Mexico, Kansas, Illinois and Florida, according to Shawn Fields, an assistant professor of law at Campbell University who tracks them. Many are symbolic, but some, like in **COLUMBIA COUNTY**, carry legal force. The movement took off around 2018, as states considered stricter gun laws in the wake of mass shootings, including a high school shooting near Parkland, Florida, that killed 17 people and made survivors into high-profile gun control activists. After President Joe Biden took office, conservative lawmakers in several states proposed banning police from enforcing federal gun measures, and at least one proposal in Arizona has been signed into law. The movement hasn't yet faced a major legal challenge. The Oregon case was filed by **COLUMBIA COUNTY** under an unusual provision in state law that allows a judge to examine a measure before it goes into effect. No timeline has been set for a court hearing. "This will allow the court to tell us whether the county can actually decline to enforce certain state laws, and it will tell us how to abide by the will of the voters to the extent that we can," said Sarah Hanson, who serves as counsel in the conservative-leaning county in.

[View](#)

Unique Visitors: 633
Calc Publicity Value: \$66

CPM: \$11.38

Estimated Ad Value: \$22

28.  **KGW-NBC Television** Market: Portland, OR (21)

NewsChannel 8 at Sunrise at 5AM

May 17
2021 05:00AM PT

[5:07:07 AM] [0:31] Right now we don't know the name of the victim. The first court battle over whether local governments can ban police from enforcing certain gun laws will play out this week in Oregon, **COLUMBIA COUNTY** is one of many counties in the United States that's declaring itself a second amendment sanctuary, a ballot measure that was approved by voters last year. Prohibits local officials from enforcing most federal state gun laws. This has been a divisive issue in **COLUMBIA COUNTY** and some people, including the group called everytown for gun safety are urging a judge to invalidate the ordinance.

[View](#) ▶

Nielsen Audience: 9,251 Ad Value: \$130 Calc Ad Value: \$134
Calc Publicity Value: \$403 Runtime: 0:31

29.  **KGW-NBC Television** Market: Portland, OR (21)

NewsChannel 8 at Sunrise at 6AM

May 17
2021 06:00AM PT

[6:07:44 AM] [0:22] It is going to play out this week in Oregon. In fact, it's going to happen in **COLUMBIA COUNTY** because **COLUMBIA COUNTY** is one of many counties in the us declaring itself a second amendment sanctuary, a ballot measure that was approved by voters last year prohibits local officials from enforcing most federal and state gun laws. This has been a divisive issue in **COLUMBIA COUNTY** and some people including the group called everytown for gun safety

[View](#) ▶

Nielsen Audience: 15,546 Ad Value: \$320 Calc Ad Value: \$235
Calc Publicity Value: \$704 Runtime: 0:22

30.  **KPTV-FOX Television** Market: Portland, OR (21)

Good Day Oregon 5

May 17
2021 05:00AM PT

[5:15:27 AM] [0:20] The measure passed like nearly 12 hundred other "second amendment sanctuary resolutions" across the U.S. But, **COLUMBIA COUNTY** officials recently pushed the matter to a circuit court to see if the measure is even legal. It's the first time such a law has faced a legal challenge. So far no timeline for the hearing has been set.

[View](#) ▶

Nielsen Audience: 13,877 Ad Value: \$500 Calc Ad Value: \$333
Calc Publicity Value: \$1,000 Runtime: 0:20

31.  **KXL-FM Radio** Market: Portland, OR (21)

Radio Programming

May 17
2021 05:30AM PT

[5:35:15 AM] [0:46] Again we'll have the legal test of whether America's counties can declare themselves second amendment sanctuaries and refused to enforce gun laws. More from care excels at new wall **COLUMBIA COUNTY'S** second amendment sanctuary law is a of its kind to get a test run in court. Voters narrowly approved the measure last year it keeps local officials from enforcing most federal and state gun

laws including universal background checks. And prohibitions on carrying guns **COLUMBIA COUNTY** filed the case because of an unusual state law that allows a judge to look at a measure before it goes into effect. There's no timeline yet for a court hearing. Some 12 100 local governments in states including Virginia Colorado New Mexico Kansas Illinois and Florida how second amendment sanctuary laws.

[Listen ▶](#)

Nielsen Radio Audience: 15,800 Ad Value: \$243 Calc Ad Value: \$373
 Calc Publicity Value: \$1,118 Runtime: 0:46

32.  **KXL-FM Radio** Market: Portland, OR (21)

Radio Programming

May 17
 2021 06:00AM PT

[6:10:41 AM] [0:29] Measure **COLUMBIA COUNTY** voters narrowly approved last year for the local officials from enforcing most federal state gun laws second amendment sanctuary resolutions have been adopted by some 12 100 local governments in states like Virginia Colorado New Mexico Florida. The group everytown for gun safety is among those urging a judge to invalidate the ordinance. Spend divisive in the county outside Portland in hillsborough 7 people were taken to the hospital after toxic exposure incident.

[Listen ▶](#)

Nielsen Radio Audience: 13,100 Ad Value: \$201 Calc Ad Value: \$194
 Calc Publicity Value: \$583 Runtime: 0:29

33.  **Pamplin Tribune Online Only** Market: Portland, OR (21)

Bonamici praises Oregon's share of federal aid to colleges

May 17
 2021 03:57AM PT

Below is a selected list of institutions, separated by community college, public and private four-year institutions, and listed in order of descending amounts from the American Rescue Plan. The list covers institutions in the Portland metropolitan area and other communities served by Pamplin Media Group and EO Media Group. **ADVERTISING** | Continue reading below Amounts are rounded: Community colleges: Portland, which also serves Washington County and part of **COLUMBIA COUNTY**, \$54.3 million; Chemeketa, which also serves Yamhill County, \$22.2 million; Mount Hood, \$14.1 million;

[View ▶](#)

Unique Visitors: 118,231 CPM: \$11.38 Estimated Ad Value: \$276
 Calc Publicity Value: \$828

34.  **Pamplin Tribune Online Only** Market: Portland, OR (21)

Insurer bankruptcy disrupts Pope and Talbot cleanup plan

May 17
 2021 05:31AM PT

A long-awaited environmental cleanup of a former wood-treating facility in St. Helens has hit another snag, as the insurance company expected to pay for most of the cleanup has declared bankruptcy. Bedivere Insurance Company, which was set to pay roughly 85% of the cleanup costs, began the process of liquidating assets in March. "They apparently ran out of money, even though they swore up and down about five years ago that they had plenty of money to be able to cover all their claims, when they merged with another insurance company," Laura Maffei, an attorney assisting the Port of **COLUMBIA COUNTY**, told port commissioners earlier this week. "That did not turn out to be the case, and now they're in

liquidation." The port and all other groups with claims against the insurance company have until the end of 2021 to file a claim in court.

[View](#)

ADVERTISING | Continue reading below Pope and Talbot was initially expected to pay for the cleanup, but the company declared bankruptcy in 2007. For more than 25 years, the port and the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality have gone back and forth on the investigation. "Every time we think we've got a roadmap from DEQ, it seems like a new supervisor comes in" with different expectations, Port of **COLUMBIA COUNTY** Executive Director Doug Hayes said. "This is a complex site and it is not unusual to take many years to move through the cleanup process," DEQ spokesperson Lauren Wirtis said. "DEQ has been working with the Regional Solution Team and Business Oregon to look at other ways to support funding for the cleanup work," Wirtis added.

[View](#)

Unique Visitors: 118,231
Calc Publicity Value: \$828

CPM: \$11.38

Estimated Ad Value: \$276

35.  **The Chief News** **Online Only** Market: Portland, OR (21)

A Closer Look: Summer job hiring

May 17

2021 12:24AM PT

A Closer Look: Summer job hiring Support local journalism by subscribing today! Click Here to see our current offers. You likely have seen the help wanted signs popping up at various businesses in Clatskanie, Rainier and across **COLUMBIA COUNTY**. Local employers are looking for summer workers. Thank you for reading!

[View](#)

Unique Visitors: 1,453
Calc Publicity Value: \$90

CPM: \$11.38

Estimated Ad Value: \$30

36.  **Corvallis Gazette Times** **Web** Market: Eugene, OR (113)

Second Amendment sanctuaries facing 1st court test

May 17

2021 01:34PM PT

Second Amendment sanctuaries facing 1st court test AP Photo/Andrew Selsky, File By LINDSAY WHITEHURST and ANDREW SELSKY, Associated Press SALEM, Ore. (AP) - The first court test of whether local governments can ban police from enforcing certain gun laws is playing out in a rural Oregon county, one of a wave of U.S. counties declaring itself a Second Amendment sanctuary. The measure that voters in the logging area of **COLUMBIA COUNTY** narrowly approved last year forbids local officials from enforcing most federal and state gun laws and could impose thousands of dollars in fines on those who try. Second Amendment sanctuary resolutions have been adopted by some 1,200 local governments in states around the U.S., including Virginia, Colorado, New Mexico, Kansas, Illinois and Florida, according to Shawn Fields, an assistant professor of law at Campbell University who tracks them. Many are symbolic, but some, like in **COLUMBIA COUNTY**, carry legal force. The movement took off around 2018, as states considered stricter gun laws in the wake of mass shootings, including a high school shooting near Parkland, Florida, that killed 17 people and made survivors into high-profile gun control activists. After President Joe Biden took office, conservative lawmakers in several states proposed banning police from enforcing federal gun measures, and at least one proposal in Arizona has been signed into law. The movement hasn't yet faced a major legal challenge. The Oregon case was filed by **COLUMBIA COUNTY** under an unusual provision in state law that allows a judge to examine a measure before it goes into effect. No timeline has been set for

a court hearing. "This will allow the court to tell us whether the county can actually decline to enforce certain state laws, and it will tell us how to abide by the will of the voters to the extent that we can," said Sarah Hanson, who serves as counsel in the conservative-leaning county in.

[View](#)

Sheriff Brian Pixley has expressed support, saying in a March statement that one of his responsibilities is to uphold people's Second Amendment rights and that he's eager to "move forward with the will of the voters." The measure is divisive locally, though, and four residents filed court documents opposing it. One, Brandee Dudzic, referenced the strict gun safety drills she learned in military medic training, saying she values the right to own a gun but believes it should come with safety measures like background checks and secure storage. A gun shop owner in **COLUMBIA COUNTY** said he supports background checks and believes that "state law trumps the county law." But he voted in favor of the Second Amendment measure on principle. "We need to make sure that people are safe. We need to make sure that people are responsible," he said.

[View](#)

He agreed that the Oregon case is the first of its kind. Local enforcement of state law, meanwhile, is another matter. Most states don't have similar provisions in their own legal codes, and Oregon's attorney general said in court documents that the **COLUMBIA COUNTY** ordinance is "incompatible" with criminal law and the duties of county officials. "To the extent the local government is trying to say, 'We're also not going to enforce state law either' that's a much more difficult and complicated position," Miller said.

[View](#)

Unique Visitors: 44,484

CPM: \$11.38

Estimated Ad Value: \$257

Calc Publicity Value: \$771

37.  **KEZI-ABC Television** Market: Eugene, OR (113)

KEZI 9 News This Moring 530AM

May 17

2021 05:30AM PT

[5:45:33 AM] [0:37] The first court test of whether local governments can ban police from enforcing certain gun laws is playing out in a rural Oregon county. The measure **COLUMBIA COUNTY** voters narrowly approved last year prohibits local officials from enforcing most federal and state gun laws. Second amendment sanctuary resolutions have been adopted by some 12 hundred local governments in states like Virginia, Colorado, New Mexico and Florida. The group everytown for gun safety is among those urging a judge to invalidate the ordinance, that's been divisive in the county outside Portland.

[View](#)

Nielsen Audience: 3,347

Ad Value: \$110

Calc Ad Value: \$136

Calc Publicity Value: \$407

Runtime: 0:37

38.  **KEZI-ABC Television** Market: Eugene, OR (113)

KEZI 9 News This Moring 630

May 17

2021 06:30AM PT

[6:43:16 AM] [0:29] The first court test of whether local governments can ban police from enforcing certain gun laws is playing out in a rural Oregon county. The measure **COLUMBIA COUNTY** voters narrowly approved last year prohibits local officials from enforcing most federal and state gun laws. Second amendment sanctuary resolutions have been adopted by some 12 hundred local governments in states like Virginia, Colorado, New Mexico and Florida. The group everytown for gun safety is among those urging a judge to invalidate the ordinance, that's been divisive in the county outside Portland.

View ▶

Nielsen Audience: 6,947
Calc Publicity Value: \$319

Ad Value: \$110
Runtime: 0:29

Calc Ad Value: \$106

39.  **KGAL-AM Radio** Market: Eugene, OR (113)

Radio Programming

May 17
2021 06:30AM PT

[6:34:56 AM] [0:59] In rural Oregon county. One of a wave of us counties declaring itself a second amendment sanctuary. The measure that voters in the logging area of **COLUMBIA COUNTY** narrowly approved last year. For bids local officials from enforcing most federal state gun laws. Second amendment sanctuary resolutions have been adopted by some 12 100 local governments. Around the United States. Movement took off in 2018 as states considered stricter gun laws in the wake of mass shootings after president Joe Biden took office. Conservative lawmakers in several states proposed banning police from enforcing federal gun measures. And at least one proposal Arizona has been signed into law. Movement hasn't yet faced a major legal challenge the Oregon cases filed by **COLUMBIA COUNTY**. Under an unusual provision in the state law allows a judge to examine the measure. Before it goes into effect no timeline has been set for a court hearing.

Listen ▶

Nielsen Radio Audience: N/A
Runtime: 0:59

Calc Ad Value: N/A

Calc Publicity Value: N/A

40.  **The Bend Bulletin (Bend, Central Oregon)** **Newspaper** Market: Bend, OR (184)

Second Amendment sanctuaries facing 1st court test in Oregon

May 17
2021 01:40AM PT

19, 2021, file photo, firearms are displayed at a gun shop in Salem, Ore. The first legal test of whether a wave of U.S. counties can legally declare themselves "Second Amendment Sanctuaries," and refuse to enforce certain gun laws is playing out in a rural Oregon logging county. The measure **COLUMBIA COUNTY** voters narrowly approved last year bans local officials from enforcing most federal and state gun laws, which includes things like universal background checks or any prohibition on carrying guns. Author: Andrew Selsky

View ▶

Unique Visitors: 68,394
Calc Publicity Value: \$1,002

CPM: \$11.38

Estimated Ad Value: \$334

Report Generated:	17 May 2021 15:05:59 UTC
Story Count:	40
Audience:	4,521,552
Nielsen Audience:	74,140
Nielsen Radio Audience:	33,400
Unique Visitors:	4,414,012
Ad Value:	\$2,333
Calc Ad Value:	\$13,158
Calc Publicity Value:	\$39,475
Runtime:	5:18

Any reproduction, sale or distribution is prohibited.

Portions © 2019 Nielsen Media Research, Inc.

Applicable ratings data contained herein are the copyrighted property of Nielsen Media Research, Inc.

All Rights Reserved.

Portions © 2019 Compete, Inc.

Applicable ratings data contained herein are for use pursuant to a license from Compete, Inc.

All Rights Reserved.

Portions © 2019 SimilarWeb LTD

Applicable ratings data contained herein are for use pursuant to a license from SimilarWeb LTD

All Rights Reserved.

Sarah Hanson

From: Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 13, 2021 3:06 PM
To: Marshall Brian S; Lydia Anderson-Dana; Sarah Hanson
Cc: Mark Weiner; Graciela Seaman; Len Hong Kamdang
Subject: RE: Columbia County Validation Proceeding (SASO): Columbia County Circuit Court Case No. 21CV12796

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

One tap mobile

8884754499,,83747190261# US Toll-free

8778535257,,83747190261# US Toll-free

From: Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us>
Sent: Thursday, May 13, 2021 3:04 PM
To: Lydia Anderson-Dana <landersondana@stollberne.com>; Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Cc: Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>; Mark Weiner <mweiner@everytown.org>; Graciela Seaman <gseaman@stollberne.com>; Len Hong Kamdang <lkamdang@everytown.org>
Subject: RE: Columbia County Validation Proceeding (SASO): Columbia County Circuit Court Case No. 21CV12796

Can someone send me the dial-in?

Brian Simmonds Marshall

Oregon Department of Justice
503.507.5014 (mobile)

From: Lydia Anderson-Dana <landersondana@stollberne.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 12, 2021 9:08 AM
To: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Cc: Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>; Mark Weiner <mweiner@everytown.org>; Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us>; Graciela Seaman <gseaman@stollberne.com>; Len Hong Kamdang <lkamdang@everytown.org>
Subject: Re: Columbia County Validation Proceeding (SASO): Columbia County Circuit Court Case No. 21CV12796

CAUTION EXTERNAL EMAIL This email originated from outside of DOJ. Treat attachments and links with caution. ***CAUTION EXTERNAL EMAIL***

3 also works for me.

On May 12, 2021, at 08:44, Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov> wrote:

3:00 works fine for me. Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@columbiacountyor.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 12, 2021 7:55 AM
To: Mark Weiner <mweiner@everytown.org>; Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us>
Cc: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>; Lydia Anderson-Dana <landersondana@stollberne.com>; Graciela Seaman <gseaman@stollberne.com>; Len Hong Kamdang <lkamdang@everytown.org>
Subject: RE: Columbia County Validation Proceeding (SASO): Columbia County Circuit Court Case No. 21CV12796

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

Hi all,

I cannot do 10-11 on Thursday. My morning Thursday is pretty booked. My afternoon is open, and I could push back to 2:50 or 3.

From: Mark Weiner <mweiner@everytown.org>
Sent: Wednesday, May 12, 2021 6:54 AM
To: Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us>
Cc: Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>; Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>; Lydia Anderson-Dana <landersondana@stollberne.com>; Graciela Seaman <gseaman@stollberne.com>; Len Hong Kamdang <lkamdang@everytown.org>
Subject: Re: Columbia County Validation Proceeding (SASO): Columbia County Circuit Court Case No. 21CV12796

10-11 works best for us east coasters, but could also do 3pm. Best,

Mark

On Wed, May 12, 2021 at 2:42 AM Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us> wrote:

Hi all,

My apologies, but I made a mistake with my calendar on Thursday. Could we push the start of this meeting to 2:50 p.m. or 3 p.m.? I can also do 10a-11a, which sounded like it might have also been available for everyone.

Brian Simmonds Marshall
Oregon Department of Justice

503.507.5014 (mobile)

Brian Simmonds Marshall

Oregon Department of Justice
503.507.5014 (mobile)

From: Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>
Sent: Friday, May 7, 2021 1:46 PM
To: Mark Weiner <mweiner@everytown.org>; Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us>
Cc: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>; Lydia Anderson-Dana <landersondana@stollberne.com>; Graciela Seaman <gseaman@stollberne.com>; Len Hong Kamdang <lkamdang@everytown.org>
Subject: RE: Columbia County Validation Proceeding (SASO): Columbia County Circuit Court Case No. 21CV12796

CAUTION EXTERNAL EMAIL* This email originated from outside of DOJ. Treat attachments and links with caution. *CAUTION EXTERNAL EMAIL

Hi all,

It looks like 2:30 p.m. (pacific) on 5/13 (Thursday) works for everyone. We'll circulate call in info.

Best,

Steve

From: Mark Weiner <mweiner@everytown.org>
Sent: Friday, May 7, 2021 12:49 PM
To: Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us>
Cc: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>; Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>; Lydia Anderson-Dana <landersondana@stollberne.com>; Graciela Seaman <gseaman@stollberne.com>; Len Hong Kamdang <lkamdang@everytown.org>
Subject: Re: Columbia County Validation Proceeding (SASO): Columbia County Circuit Court Case No. 21CV12796

#External Email

Hi all -

It is nice to meet you. We could do Thursday at 9:00 am-11:00 am or 2:30 pm through the end of the day if any of that works best.

Mark

On Fri, May 7, 2021 at 3:08 PM Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us> wrote:

I prefer Thursday; I'm open all day except 12:45 pm to 2:30 pm. I could also do Friday after 10:30 a.m. if needed.

Brian Simmonds Marshall

Oregon Department of Justice
503.507.5014 (mobile)

On May 7, 2021, at 10:55 AM, Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov> wrote:

CAUTION EXTERNAL EMAIL This email originated from outside of DOJ. Treat attachments and links with caution. ***CAUTION EXTERNAL EMAIL***

My schedule is flexible next Thursday and Friday until noon. Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County |
503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051
| sarah.hanson@columbiacountyor.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us>
Sent: Friday, May 7, 2021 10:47 AM
To: Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>; Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Cc: Lydia Anderson-Dana <landersondana@stollberne.com>; Graciela Seaman <gseaman@stollberne.com>; Mark Weiner <mweiner@everytown.org>; Len Hong Kamdang <lkamdang@everytown.org>
Subject: RE: Columbia County Validation Proceeding (SASO): Columbia County Circuit Court Case No. 21CV12796

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

Steve and Sarah,

A call on scheduling seems like a good next step. I prefer to talk Wednesday or later, but if the county needs to meet sooner in light of its timing needs, I could be available Monday afternoon or Tuesday. Mark and Len, I look forward to working with you as well.

Brian

Brian Simmonds Marshall

Oregon Department of Justice
503.507.5014 (mobile)

From: Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>
Sent: Friday, May 7, 2021 10:33 AM
To: Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us>; Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Cc: Lydia Anderson-Dana <landersondana@stollberne.com>; Graciela Seaman <gseaman@stollberne.com>; Mark Weiner <mweiner@everytown.org>; Len Hong Kamdang <lkamdang@everytown.org>
Subject: Columbia County Validation Proceeding (SASO): Columbia County Circuit Court Case No. 21CV12796

CAUTION EXTERNAL EMAIL* This email originated from outside of DOJ. Treat attachments and links with caution. *CAUTION EXTERNAL EMAIL

Sarah and Brian,

I would like to introduce you to Mark Weiner and Len Hong Kamdang. Mark and Len are lawyers with Everytown Law and will be representing the individual parties/Columbia County residents along with Lydia and me.

It looks like the only parties to the validation proceeding are our clients, the AG and the County. I'd like to set up a call next week to discuss briefing/scheduling and the most efficient way to get this case resolved. I am around all week next week, with occasional meetings on my calendar. If you want to propose some times, we can try to coordinate. Or, if it's easier, we could circulate a Doodle poll. Just let me know what you'd prefer.

Best,

Steve Berman
sberman@stollberne.com
Pronouns: he/him/his

<image001.png>

This electronic mail transmission contains information from the law firm of Stoll Berne that may be confidential or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of this information is prohibited. If you believe that you have received this message in error, please notify the sender by telephone at (503) 227-1600 or by electronic mail and delete the message without copying or disclosing it. Thank you.

*****CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE*****

This e-mail may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this e-mail in error, please advise me immediately by reply e-mail, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

--

MARK WEINER | COUNSEL
MWEINER@EVERYTOWN.ORG 646-324-8124

EVERYTOWN LAW
EVERYTOWNLAW.ORG | @EVERYTOWN

This electronic message transmission contains information from Everytown Law that may be confidential or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, please be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this information is prohibited. If you have received this electronic transmission in error, please notify us by telephone (646-324-8124) or by electronic mail (mweiner@everytown.org) immediately.

--

MARK WEINER | COUNSEL
MWEINER@EVERYTOWN.ORG 646-324-8124

EVERYTOWN LAW
EVERYTOWNLAW.ORG | @EVERYTOWN

This electronic message transmission contains information from Everytown Law that may be confidential or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, please be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this information is prohibited. If you have received this electronic transmission in error, please notify us by telephone (646-324-8124) or by electronic mail (mweiner@everytown.org) immediately.

Sarah Hanson

From: Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us>
Sent: Thursday, May 13, 2021 3:04 PM
To: Lydia Anderson-Dana; Sarah Hanson
Cc: Steve Berman; Mark Weiner; Graciela Seaman; Len Hong Kamdang
Subject: RE: Columbia County Validation Proceeding (SASO): Columbia County Circuit Court Case No. 21CV12796

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

Can someone send me the dial-in?

Brian Simmonds Marshall

Oregon Department of Justice
503.507.5014 (mobile)

From: Lydia Anderson-Dana <landersondana@stollberne.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 12, 2021 9:08 AM
To: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Cc: Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>; Mark Weiner <mweiner@everytown.org>; Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us>; Graciela Seaman <gseaman@stollberne.com>; Len Hong Kamdang <lkamdang@everytown.org>
Subject: Re: Columbia County Validation Proceeding (SASO): Columbia County Circuit Court Case No. 21CV12796

CAUTION EXTERNAL EMAIL This email originated from outside of DOJ. Treat attachments and links with caution. ***CAUTION EXTERNAL EMAIL***

3 also works for me.

On May 12, 2021, at 08:44, Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov> wrote:

3:00 works fine for me. Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@columbiacountyor.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 12, 2021 7:55 AM
To: Mark Weiner <mweiner@everytown.org>; Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us>
Cc: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>; Lydia Anderson-Dana <landersondana@stollberne.com>; Graciela Seaman <gseaman@stollberne.com>; Len Hong Kamdang <lkamdang@everytown.org>
Subject: RE: Columbia County Validation Proceeding (SASO): Columbia County Circuit Court Case No. 21CV12796

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

Hi all,
I cannot do 10-11 on Thursday. My morning Thursday is pretty booked. My afternoon is open, and I could push back to 2:50 or 3.

From: Mark Weiner <mweiner@everytown.org>
Sent: Wednesday, May 12, 2021 6:54 AM
To: Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us>
Cc: Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>; Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>; Lydia Anderson-Dana <landersondana@stollberne.com>; Graciela Seaman <gseaman@stollberne.com>; Len Hong Kamdang <lkamdang@everytown.org>
Subject: Re: Columbia County Validation Proceeding (SASO): Columbia County Circuit Court Case No. 21CV12796

10-11 works best for us east coasters, but could also do 3pm. Best,

Mark

On Wed, May 12, 2021 at 2:42 AM Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us> wrote:

Hi all,

My apologies, but I made a mistake with my calendar on Thursday. Could we push the start of this meeting to 2:50 p.m. or 3 p.m.? I can also do 10a-11a, which sounded like it might have also been available for everyone.

Brian Simmonds Marshall
Oregon Department of Justice
503.507.5014 (mobile)

Brian Simmonds Marshall
Oregon Department of Justice
503.507.5014 (mobile)

From: Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>
Sent: Friday, May 7, 2021 1:46 PM
To: Mark Weiner <mweiner@everytown.org>; Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us>
Cc: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>; Lydia Anderson-Dana <landersondana@stollberne.com>; Graciela Seaman <gseaman@stollberne.com>; Len Hong Kamdang

<lkamdang@everytown.org>

Subject: RE: Columbia County Validation Proceeding (SASO): Columbia County Circuit Court Case No. 21CV12796

CAUTION EXTERNAL EMAIL* This email originated from outside of DOJ. Treat attachments and links with caution. *CAUTION EXTERNAL EMAIL

Hi all,

It looks like 2:30 p.m. (pacific) on 5/13 (Thursday) works for everyone. We'll circulate call in info.

Best,

Steve

From: Mark Weiner <mweiner@everytown.org>

Sent: Friday, May 7, 2021 12:49 PM

To: Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us>

Cc: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>; Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>; Lydia Anderson-Dana <landersondana@stollberne.com>; Graciela Seaman <gseaman@stollberne.com>; Len Hong Kamdang <lkamdang@everytown.org>

Subject: Re: Columbia County Validation Proceeding (SASO): Columbia County Circuit Court Case No. 21CV12796

#External Email

Hi all -

It is nice to meet you. We could do Thursday at 9:00 am-11:00 am or 2:30 pm through the end of the day if any of that works best.

Mark

On Fri, May 7, 2021 at 3:08 PM Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us> wrote:

I prefer Thursday; I'm open all day except 12:45 pm to 2:30 pm. I could also do Friday after 10:30 a.m. if needed.

Brian Simmonds Marshall

Oregon Department of Justice

503.507.5014 (mobile)

On May 7, 2021, at 10:55 AM, Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov> wrote:

CAUTION EXTERNAL EMAIL* This email originated from outside of DOJ. Treat attachments and links with caution. *CAUTION EXTERNAL EMAIL

My schedule is flexible next Thursday and Friday until noon. Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County |
503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051
| sarah.hanson@columbiacountyor.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us>
Sent: Friday, May 7, 2021 10:47 AM
To: Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>; Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Cc: Lydia Anderson-Dana <landersondana@stollberne.com>; Graciela Seaman <gseaman@stollberne.com>; Mark Weiner <mweiner@everytown.org>; Len Hong Kamdang <lkamdang@everytown.org>
Subject: RE: Columbia County Validation Proceeding (SASO): Columbia County Circuit Court Case No. 21CV12796

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

Steve and Sarah,

A call on scheduling seems like a good next step. I prefer to talk Wednesday or later, but if the county needs to meet sooner in light of its timing needs, I could be available Monday afternoon or Tuesday. Mark and Len, I look forward to working with you as well.

Brian

Brian Simmonds Marshall

Oregon Department of Justice
503.507.5014 (mobile)

From: Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>
Sent: Friday, May 7, 2021 10:33 AM
To: Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us>; Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Cc: Lydia Anderson-Dana <landersondana@stollberne.com>; Graciela Seaman <gseaman@stollberne.com>; Mark Weiner <mweiner@everytown.org>; Len Hong Kamdang <lkamdang@everytown.org>
Subject: Columbia County Validation Proceeding (SASO): Columbia County Circuit Court Case No. 21CV12796

CAUTION EXTERNAL EMAIL* This email originated from outside of DOJ. Treat attachments and links with caution. *CAUTION EXTERNAL EMAIL

Sarah and Brian,

I would like to introduce you to Mark Weiner and Len Hong Kamdang. Mark and Len are lawyers with Everytown Law and will be representing the individual parties/Columbia County residents along with Lydia and me.

It looks like the only parties to the validation proceeding are our clients, the AG and the County. I'd like to set up a call next week to discuss briefing/scheduling and the most efficient way to get this case resolved. I am around all week next week, with occasional meetings on my calendar. If you want to propose some times, we can try to coordinate. Or, if it's easier, we could circulate a Doodle poll. Just let me know what you'd prefer.

Best,

Steve Berman
sberman@stollberne.com
Pronouns: he/him/his

<image001.png>

This electronic mail transmission contains information from the law firm of Stoll Berne that may be confidential or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of this information is prohibited. If you believe that you have received this message in error, please notify the sender by telephone at (503) 227-1600 or by electronic mail and delete the message without copying or disclosing it. Thank you.

*****CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE*****

This e-mail may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this e-mail in error, please advise me immediately by reply e-mail, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

--

MARK WEINER | COUNSEL
MWEINER@EVERYTOWN.ORG 646-324-8124

EVERYTOWN LAW
EVERYTOWNLAW.ORG | @EVERYTOWN

This electronic message transmission contains information from Everytown Law that may be confidential or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, please be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this information is prohibited. If you have received this electronic transmission in error, please notify us by telephone (646-324-8124) or by electronic mail (mweiner@everytown.org) immediately.

--

MARK WEINER | COUNSEL
MWEINER@EVERYTOWN.ORG 646-324-8124

EVERYTOWN LAW
EVERYTOWNLAW.ORG | [@EVERYTOWN](https://www.instagram.com/EVERYTOWN)

This electronic message transmission contains information from Everytown Law that may be confidential or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, please be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this information is prohibited. If you have received this electronic transmission in error, please notify us by telephone (646-324-8124) or by electronic mail (mweiner@everytown.org) immediately.

Sarah Hanson

From: Lydia Anderson-Dana <landersondana@stollberne.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 12, 2021 9:08 AM
To: Sarah Hanson
Cc: Steve Berman; Mark Weiner; Marshall Brian S; Graciela Seaman; Len Hong Kamdang
Subject: Re: Columbia County Validation Proceeding (SASO): Columbia County Circuit Court Case No. 21CV12796

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

3 also works for me.

On May 12, 2021, at 08:44, Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov> wrote:

3:00 works fine for me. Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@columbiacountyor.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 12, 2021 7:55 AM
To: Mark Weiner <mweiner@everytown.org>; Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us>
Cc: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>; Lydia Anderson-Dana <landersondana@stollberne.com>; Graciela Seaman <gseaman@stollberne.com>; Len Hong Kamdang <lkamdang@everytown.org>
Subject: RE: Columbia County Validation Proceeding (SASO): Columbia County Circuit Court Case No. 21CV12796

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

Hi all,

I cannot do 10-11 on Thursday. My morning Thursday is pretty booked. My afternoon is open, and I could push back to 2:50 or 3.

From: Mark Weiner <mweiner@everytown.org>
Sent: Wednesday, May 12, 2021 6:54 AM
To: Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us>
Cc: Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>; Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>; Lydia Anderson-Dana <landersondana@stollberne.com>; Graciela Seaman <gseaman@stollberne.com>; Len Hong Kamdang <lkamdang@everytown.org>
Subject: Re: Columbia County Validation Proceeding (SASO): Columbia County Circuit Court Case No. 21CV12796

10-11 works best for us east coasters, but could also do 3pm. Best,

Mark

On Wed, May 12, 2021 at 2:42 AM Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us> wrote:

Hi all,

My apologies, but I made a mistake with my calendar on Thursday. Could we push the start of this meeting to 2:50 p.m. or 3 p.m.? I can also do 10a-11a, which sounded like it might have also been available for everyone.

Brian Simmonds Marshall
Oregon Department of Justice
503.507.5014 (mobile)

Brian Simmonds Marshall
Oregon Department of Justice
503.507.5014 (mobile)

From: Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>
Sent: Friday, May 7, 2021 1:46 PM
To: Mark Weiner <mweiner@everytown.org>; Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us>
Cc: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>; Lydia Anderson-Dana <landersondana@stollberne.com>; Graciela Seaman <gseaman@stollberne.com>; Len Hong Kamdang <lkamdang@everytown.org>
Subject: RE: Columbia County Validation Proceeding (SASO): Columbia County Circuit Court Case No. 21CV12796

CAUTION EXTERNAL EMAIL* This email originated from outside of DOJ. Treat attachments and links with caution. *CAUTION EXTERNAL EMAIL

Hi all,

It looks like 2:30 p.m. (pacific) on 5/13 (Thursday) works for everyone. We'll circulate call in info.

Best,

Steve

From: Mark Weiner <mweiner@everytown.org>
Sent: Friday, May 7, 2021 12:49 PM
To: Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us>
Cc: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>; Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>; Lydia Anderson-Dana <landersondana@stollberne.com>; Graciela Seaman <gseaman@stollberne.com>; Len Hong Kamdang <lkamdang@everytown.org>
Subject: Re: Columbia County Validation Proceeding (SASO): Columbia County Circuit Court Case No. 21CV12796

#External Email

Hi all -

It is nice to meet you. We could do Thursday at 9:00 am-11:00 am or 2:30 pm through the end of the day if any of that works best.

Mark

On Fri, May 7, 2021 at 3:08 PM Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us> wrote:

I prefer Thursday; I'm open all day except 12:45 pm to 2:30 pm. I could also do Friday after 10:30 a.m. if needed.

Brian Simmonds Marshall
Oregon Department of Justice
503.507.5014 (mobile)

On May 7, 2021, at 10:55 AM, Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov> wrote:

CAUTION EXTERNAL EMAIL* This email originated from outside of DOJ. Treat attachments and links with caution. *CAUTION EXTERNAL EMAIL

My schedule is flexible next Thursday and Friday until noon. Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County |
503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051
| sarah.hanson@columbiacountyor.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us>
Sent: Friday, May 7, 2021 10:47 AM
To: Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>; Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Cc: Lydia Anderson-Dana <landersondana@stollberne.com>; Graciela Seaman <gseaman@stollberne.com>; Mark Weiner <mweiner@everytown.org>; Len Hong Kamdang <lkamdang@everytown.org>
Subject: RE: Columbia County Validation Proceeding (SASO): Columbia County Circuit Court Case No. 21CV12796

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

Steve and Sarah,

A call on scheduling seems like a good next step. I prefer to talk Wednesday or later, but if the county needs to meet sooner in light of its timing needs, I could be available Monday afternoon or Tuesday. Mark and Len, I look forward to working with you as well.

Brian

Brian Simmonds Marshall

Oregon Department of Justice
503.507.5014 (mobile)

From: Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>
Sent: Friday, May 7, 2021 10:33 AM
To: Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us>; Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Cc: Lydia Anderson-Dana <landersondana@stollberne.com>; Graciela Seaman <gseaman@stollberne.com>; Mark Weiner <mweiner@everytown.org>; Len Hong Kamdang <lkamdang@everytown.org>
Subject: Columbia County Validation Proceeding (SASO): Columbia County Circuit Court Case No. 21CV12796

CAUTION EXTERNAL EMAIL* This email originated from outside of DOJ. Treat attachments and links with caution. *CAUTION EXTERNAL EMAIL

Sarah and Brian,

I would like to introduce you to Mark Weiner and Len Hong Kamdang. Mark and Len are lawyers with Everytown Law and will be representing the individual parties/Columbia County residents along with Lydia and me.

It looks like the only parties to the validation proceeding are our clients, the AG and the County. I'd like to set up a call next week to discuss briefing/scheduling and the most efficient way to get this case resolved. I am around all week next week, with occasional meetings on my calendar. If you

want to propose some times, we can try to coordinate. Or, if it's easier, we could circulate a Doodle poll. Just let me know what you'd prefer.

Best,

Steve Berman
sberman@stollberne.com
Pronouns: he/him/his

<image001.png>

This electronic mail transmission contains information from the law firm of Stoll Berne that may be confidential or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of this information is prohibited. If you believe that you have received this message in error, please notify the sender by telephone at (503) 227-1600 or by electronic mail and delete the message without copying or disclosing it. Thank you.

*****CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE*****

This e-mail may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this e-mail in error, please advise me immediately by reply e-mail, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

--

MARK WEINER | COUNSEL
MWEINER@EVERYTOWN.ORG 646-324-8124

EVERYTOWN LAW
EVERYTOWNLAW.ORG | @EVERYTOWN

This electronic message transmission contains information from Everytown Law that may be confidential or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, please be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this information is prohibited. If you have received this electronic transmission in error, please notify us by telephone (646-324-8124) or by electronic mail (mweiner@everytown.org) immediately.

--

MARK WEINER | COUNSEL
MWEINER@EVERYTOWN.ORG 646-324-8124

EVERYTOWN LAW
EVERYTOWNLAW.ORG | @EVERYTOWN

This electronic message transmission contains information from Everytown Law that may be confidential or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, please be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this information is prohibited. If you have received this electronic transmission in error, please notify us by telephone (646-324-8124) or by electronic mail (mweiner@everytown.org) immediately.

Sarah Hanson

From: Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us>
Sent: Tuesday, May 11, 2021 11:42 PM
To: Steve Berman;Mark Weiner
Cc: Sarah Hanson;Lydia Anderson-Dana;Graciela Seaman;Len Hong Kamdang
Subject: RE: Columbia County Validation Proceeding (SASO): Columbia County Circuit Court Case No. 21CV12796

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

Hi all,

My apologies, but I made a mistake with my calendar on Thursday. Could we push the start of this meeting to 2:50 p.m. or 3 p.m.? I can also do 10a-11a, which sounded like it might have also been available for everyone.

Brian Simmonds Marshall

Oregon Department of Justice
503.507.5014 (mobile)

Brian Simmonds Marshall

Oregon Department of Justice
503.507.5014 (mobile)

From: Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>
Sent: Friday, May 7, 2021 1:46 PM
To: Mark Weiner <mweiner@everytown.org>; Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us>
Cc: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>; Lydia Anderson-Dana <landersondana@stollberne.com>; Graciela Seaman <gseaman@stollberne.com>; Len Hong Kamdang <lkamdang@everytown.org>
Subject: RE: Columbia County Validation Proceeding (SASO): Columbia County Circuit Court Case No. 21CV12796

CAUTION EXTERNAL EMAIL This email originated from outside of DOJ. Treat attachments and links with caution. ***CAUTION EXTERNAL EMAIL***

Hi all,

It looks like 2:30 p.m. (pacific) on 5/13 (Thursday) works for everyone. We'll circulate call in info.

Best,

Steve

From: Mark Weiner <mweiner@everytown.org>
Sent: Friday, May 7, 2021 12:49 PM
To: Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us>
Cc: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>; Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>; Lydia Anderson-

Dana <landersondana@stollberne.com>; Graciela Seaman <gseaman@stollberne.com>; Len Hong Kamdang <lkamdang@everytown.org>

Subject: Re: Columbia County Validation Proceeding (SASO): Columbia County Circuit Court Case No. 21CV12796

#External Email

Hi all -

It is nice to meet you. We could do Thursday at 9:00 am-11:00 am or 2:30 pm through the end of the day if any of that works best.

Mark

On Fri, May 7, 2021 at 3:08 PM Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us> wrote:

I prefer Thursday; I'm open all day except 12:45 pm to 2:30 pm. I could also do Friday after 10:30 a.m. if needed.

Brian Simmonds Marshall
Oregon Department of Justice
503.507.5014 (mobile)

On May 7, 2021, at 10:55 AM, Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov> wrote:

CAUTION EXTERNAL EMAIL This email originated from outside of DOJ. Treat attachments and links with caution. ***CAUTION EXTERNAL EMAIL***

My schedule is flexible next Thursday and Friday until noon. Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 | 230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@columbiacountyor.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us>

Sent: Friday, May 7, 2021 10:47 AM

To: Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>; Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>

Cc: Lydia Anderson-Dana <landersondana@stollberne.com>; Graciela Seaman <gseaman@stollberne.com>; Mark Weiner <mweiner@everytown.org>; Len Hong Kamdang <lkamdang@everytown.org>

Subject: RE: Columbia County Validation Proceeding (SASO): Columbia County Circuit Court Case No. 21CV12796

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

Steve and Sarah,

A call on scheduling seems like a good next step. I prefer to talk Wednesday or later, but if the county needs to meet sooner in light of its timing needs, I could be available Monday afternoon or Tuesday. Mark and Len, I look forward to working with you as well.

Brian

Brian Simmonds Marshall

Oregon Department of Justice
503.507.5014 (mobile)

From: Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>

Sent: Friday, May 7, 2021 10:33 AM

To: Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us>; Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>

Cc: Lydia Anderson-Dana <landersondana@stollberne.com>; Graciela Seaman <gseaman@stollberne.com>; Mark Weiner <mweiner@everytown.org>; Len Hong Kamdang <lkamdang@everytown.org>

Subject: Columbia County Validation Proceeding (SASO): Columbia County Circuit Court Case No. 21CV12796

CAUTION EXTERNAL EMAIL* This email originated from outside of DOJ. Treat attachments and links with caution. *CAUTION EXTERNAL EMAIL

Sarah and Brian,

I would like to introduce you to Mark Weiner and Len Hong Kamdang. Mark and Len are lawyers with Everytown Law and will be representing the individual parties/Columbia County residents along with Lydia and me.

It looks like the only parties to the validation proceeding are our clients, the AG and the County. I'd like to set up a call next week to discuss briefing/scheduling and the most efficient way to get this case resolved. I am around all week next week, with occasional meetings on my calendar. If you want to propose some times, we can try to coordinate. Or, if it's easier, we could circulate a Doodle poll. Just let me know what you'd prefer.

Best,

Steve Berman
sberman@stollberne.com
Pronouns: he/him/his

<image001.png>

This electronic mail transmission contains information from the law firm of Stoll Berne that may be confidential or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of this information is prohibited. If you believe that you have received this message in error, please notify the sender by telephone at (503) 227-1600 or by electronic mail and delete the message without copying or disclosing it. Thank you.

*****CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE*****

This e-mail may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this e-mail in error, please advise me immediately by reply e-mail, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

--

MARK WEINER | COUNSEL
MWEINER@EVERYTOWN.ORG 646-324-8124

EVERYTOWN LAW
EVERYTOWNLAW.ORG | @EVERYTOWN

This electronic message transmission contains information from Everytown Law that may be confidential or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, please be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this information is prohibited. If you have received this electronic transmission in error, please notify us by telephone (646-324-8124) or by electronic mail (mweiner@everytown.org) immediately.

Sarah Hanson

From: Sarah Hanson
Sent: Monday, May 10, 2021 4:53 PM
To: aselsky@ap.org
Cc: Department-Counsel
Subject: Columbia County Validation Petition
Attachments: Petition Final.pdf

Andrew: I'm attaching the petition without exhibits as they are too big to email.

Thanks very much.

Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 |sarah.hanson@columbiacountyor.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

Sarah Hanson

From: Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>
Sent: Friday, May 7, 2021 10:33 AM
To: Marshall Brian S; Sarah Hanson
Cc: Lydia Anderson-Dana; Graciela Seaman; Mark Weiner; Len Hong Kamdang
Subject: Columbia County Validation Proceeding (SASO): Columbia County Circuit Court Case No. 21CV12796

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

Sarah and Brian,

I would like to introduce you to Mark Weiner and Len Hong Kamdang. Mark and Len are lawyers with Everytown Law and will be representing the individual parties/Columbia County residents along with Lydia and me.

It looks like the only parties to the validation proceeding are our clients, the AG and the County. I'd like to set up a call next week to discuss briefing/scheduling and the most efficient way to get this case resolved. I am around all week next week, with occasional meetings on my calendar. If you want to propose some times, we can try to coordinate. Or, if it's easier, we could circulate a Doodle poll. Just let me know what you'd prefer.

Best,

Steve Berman
sberman@stollberne.com
Pronouns: he/him/his

Stoll Berne • 209 SW Oak Street, Suite 500 • Portland, OR 97204
stollberne.com • Phone: (503) 227-1600 • Fax: (503) 227-6840

This electronic mail transmission contains information from the law firm of Stoll Berne that may be confidential or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of this information is prohibited. If you believe that you have received this message in error, please notify the sender by telephone at (503) 227-1600 or by electronic mail and delete the message without copying or disclosing it. Thank you.

Sarah Hanson

From: Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us>
Sent: Friday, May 7, 2021 10:47 AM
To: Steve Berman; Sarah Hanson
Cc: Lydia Anderson-Dana; Graciela Seaman; Mark Weiner; Len Hong Kamdang
Subject: RE: Columbia County Validation Proceeding (SASO): Columbia County Circuit Court Case No. 21CV12796

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

Steve and Sarah,

A call on scheduling seems like a good next step. I prefer to talk Wednesday or later, but if the county needs to meet sooner in light of its timing needs, I could be available Monday afternoon or Tuesday. Mark and Len, I look forward to working with you as well.

Brian

Brian Simmonds Marshall

Oregon Department of Justice
503.507.5014 (mobile)

From: Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>
Sent: Friday, May 7, 2021 10:33 AM
To: Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us>; Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Cc: Lydia Anderson-Dana <landersondana@stollberne.com>; Graciela Seaman <gseaman@stollberne.com>; Mark Weiner <mweiner@everytown.org>; Len Hong Kamdang <lkamdang@everytown.org>
Subject: Columbia County Validation Proceeding (SASO): Columbia County Circuit Court Case No. 21CV12796

CAUTION EXTERNAL EMAIL* This email originated from outside of DOJ. Treat attachments and links with caution. *CAUTION EXTERNAL EMAIL

Sarah and Brian,

I would like to introduce you to Mark Weiner and Len Hong Kamdang. Mark and Len are lawyers with Everytown Law and will be representing the individual parties/Columbia County residents along with Lydia and me.

It looks like the only parties to the validation proceeding are our clients, the AG and the County. I'd like to set up a call next week to discuss briefing/scheduling and the most efficient way to get this case resolved. I am around all week next week, with occasional meetings on my calendar. If you want to propose some times, we can try to coordinate. Or, if it's easier, we could circulate a Doodle poll. Just let me know what you'd prefer.

Best,

Steve Berman
sberman@stollberne.com
Pronouns: he/him/his

Stoll Berne • 209 SW Oak Street, Suite 500 • Portland, OR 97204
stollberne.com • Phone: (503) 227-1600 • Fax: (503) 227-6840

This electronic mail transmission contains information from the law firm of Stoll Berne that may be confidential or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of this information is prohibited. If you believe that you have received this message in error, please notify the sender by telephone at (503) 227-1600 or by electronic mail and delete the message without copying or disclosing it. Thank you.

*****CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE*****

This e-mail may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this e-mail in error, please advise me immediately by reply e-mail, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

Sarah Hanson

From: Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us>
Sent: Friday, May 7, 2021 12:08 PM
To: Sarah Hanson; Steve Berman
Cc: Lydia Anderson-Dana; Graciela Seaman; Mark Weiner; Len Hong Kamdang
Subject: Re: Columbia County Validation Proceeding (SASO): Columbia County Circuit Court Case No. 21CV12796

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

I prefer Thursday; I'm open all day except 12:45 pm to 2:30 pm. I could also do Friday after 10:30 a.m. if needed.

Brian Simmonds Marshall
Oregon Department of Justice
503.507.5014 (mobile)

On May 7, 2021, at 10:55 AM, Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov> wrote:

CAUTION EXTERNAL EMAIL This email originated from outside of DOJ. Treat attachments and links with caution. ***CAUTION EXTERNAL EMAIL***

My schedule is flexible next Thursday and Friday until noon. Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@columbiacountyor.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us>
Sent: Friday, May 7, 2021 10:47 AM
To: Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>; Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Cc: Lydia Anderson-Dana <landersondana@stollberne.com>; Graciela Seaman <gseaman@stollberne.com>; Mark Weiner <mweiner@everytown.org>; Len Hong Kamdang <lkamdang@everytown.org>
Subject: RE: Columbia County Validation Proceeding (SASO): Columbia County Circuit Court Case No. 21CV12796

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

Steve and Sarah,

A call on scheduling seems like a good next step. I prefer to talk Wednesday or later, but if the county needs to meet sooner in light of its timing needs, I could be available Monday afternoon or Tuesday. Mark and Len, I look forward to working with you as well.

Brian

Brian Simmonds Marshall

Oregon Department of Justice
503.507.5014 (mobile)

From: Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>

Sent: Friday, May 7, 2021 10:33 AM

To: Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us>; Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>

Cc: Lydia Anderson-Dana <landersondana@stollberne.com>; Graciela Seaman <gseaman@stollberne.com>; Mark Weiner <mweiner@everytown.org>; Len Hong Kamdang <lkamdang@everytown.org>

Subject: Columbia County Validation Proceeding (SASO): Columbia County Circuit Court Case No. 21CV12796

CAUTION EXTERNAL EMAIL* This email originated from outside of DOJ. Treat attachments and links with caution. *CAUTION EXTERNAL EMAIL

Sarah and Brian,

I would like to introduce you to Mark Weiner and Len Hong Kamdang. Mark and Len are lawyers with Everytown Law and will be representing the individual parties/Columbia County residents along with Lydia and me.

It looks like the only parties to the validation proceeding are our clients, the AG and the County. I'd like to set up a call next week to discuss briefing/scheduling and the most efficient way to get this case resolved. I am around all week next week, with occasional meetings on my calendar. If you want to propose some times, we can try to coordinate. Or, if it's easier, we could circulate a Doodle poll. Just let me know what you'd prefer.

Best,

Steve Berman
sberman@stollberne.com
Pronouns: he/him/his

<image001.png>

This electronic mail transmission contains information from the law firm of Stoll Berne that may be confidential or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of this information is prohibited. If you believe that you

have received this message in error, please notify the sender by telephone at (503) 227-1600 or by electronic mail and delete the message without copying or disclosing it. Thank you.

*****CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE*****

This e-mail may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this e-mail in error, please advise me immediately by reply e-mail, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

Sarah Hanson

From: Mark Weiner <mweiner@everytown.org>
Sent: Friday, May 7, 2021 12:49 PM
To: Marshall Brian S
Cc: Sarah Hanson; Steve Berman; Lydia Anderson-Dana; Graciela Seaman; Len Hong Kamdang
Subject: Re: Columbia County Validation Proceeding (SASO): Columbia County Circuit Court Case No. 21CV12796

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

Hi all -

It is nice to meet you. We could do Thursday at 9:00 am-11:00 am or 2:30 pm through the end of the day if any of that works best.

Mark

On Fri, May 7, 2021 at 3:08 PM Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us> wrote:
I prefer Thursday; I'm open all day except 12:45 pm to 2:30 pm. I could also do Friday after 10:30 a.m. if needed.

Brian Simmonds Marshall
Oregon Department of Justice
503.507.5014 (mobile)

On May 7, 2021, at 10:55 AM, Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov> wrote:

CAUTION EXTERNAL EMAIL This email originated from outside of DOJ. Treat attachments and links with caution. ***CAUTION EXTERNAL EMAIL***

My schedule is flexible next Thursday and Friday until noon. Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@columbiacountyor.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us>
Sent: Friday, May 7, 2021 10:47 AM
To: Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>; Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Cc: Lydia Anderson-Dana <landersondana@stollberne.com>; Graciela Seaman <gseaman@stollberne.com>; Mark Weiner <mweiner@everytown.org>; Len Hong Kamdang <lkamdang@everytown.org>
Subject: RE: Columbia County Validation Proceeding (SASO): Columbia County Circuit Court Case No. 21CV12796

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

Steve and Sarah,

A call on scheduling seems like a good next step. I prefer to talk Wednesday or later, but if the county needs to meet sooner in light of its timing needs, I could be available Monday afternoon or Tuesday. Mark and Len, I look forward to working with you as well.

Brian

Brian Simmonds Marshall

Oregon Department of Justice
503.507.5014 (mobile)

From: Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>
Sent: Friday, May 7, 2021 10:33 AM
To: Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us>; Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Cc: Lydia Anderson-Dana <landersondana@stollberne.com>; Graciela Seaman <gseaman@stollberne.com>; Mark Weiner <mweiner@everytown.org>; Len Hong Kamdang <lkamdang@everytown.org>
Subject: Columbia County Validation Proceeding (SASO): Columbia County Circuit Court Case No. 21CV12796

CAUTION EXTERNAL EMAIL This email originated from outside of DOJ. Treat attachments and links with caution. ***CAUTION EXTERNAL EMAIL***

Sarah and Brian,

I would like to introduce you to Mark Weiner and Len Hong Kamdang. Mark and Len are lawyers with Everytown Law and will be representing the individual parties/Columbia County residents along with Lydia and me.

It looks like the only parties to the validation proceeding are our clients, the AG and the County. I'd like to set up a call next week to discuss briefing/scheduling and the most efficient way to get this case resolved. I am around all week next week, with occasional meetings on my calendar. If you want to propose some times, we can try to coordinate. Or, if it's easier, we could circulate a Doodle poll. Just let me know what you'd prefer.

Best,

Steve Berman
sberman@stollberne.com
Pronouns: he/him/his

<image001.png>

This electronic mail transmission contains information from the law firm of Stoll Berne that may be confidential or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of this information is prohibited. If you believe that you have received this message in error, please notify the sender by telephone at (503) 227-1600 or by electronic mail and delete the message without copying or disclosing it. Thank you.

*****CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE*****

This e-mail may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this e-mail in error, please advise me immediately by reply e-mail, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

--

MARK WEINER | COUNSEL
MWEINER@EVERYTOWN.ORG 646-324-8124

EVERYTOWN LAW
EVERYTOWNLAW.ORG | @EVERYTOWN

This electronic message transmission contains information from Everytown Law that may be confidential or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, please be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this information is prohibited. If you have received this electronic transmission in error, please notify us by telephone (646-324-8124) or by electronic mail (mweiner@everytown.org) immediately.

Sarah Hanson

From: Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>
Sent: Friday, May 7, 2021 1:19 PM
To: Sarah Hanson
Subject: RE: Columbia County Validation Proceeding (SASO): Columbia County Circuit Court Case No. 21CV12796

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

Sarah,

Are you available Thursday afternoon, or only until noon both days?

From: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Sent: Friday, May 7, 2021 10:56 AM
To: Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us>; Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>
Cc: Lydia Anderson-Dana <landersondana@stollberne.com>; Graciela Seaman <gseaman@stollberne.com>; Mark Weiner <mweiner@everytown.org>; Len Hong Kamdang <lkamdang@everytown.org>
Subject: Re: Columbia County Validation Proceeding (SASO): Columbia County Circuit Court Case No. 21CV12796

#External Email

My schedule is flexible next Thursday and Friday until noon. Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@columbiacountyor.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us>
Sent: Friday, May 7, 2021 10:47 AM
To: Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>; Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Cc: Lydia Anderson-Dana <landersondana@stollberne.com>; Graciela Seaman <gseaman@stollberne.com>; Mark Weiner <mweiner@everytown.org>; Len Hong Kamdang <lkamdang@everytown.org>
Subject: RE: Columbia County Validation Proceeding (SASO): Columbia County Circuit Court Case No. 21CV12796

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

Steve and Sarah,

A call on scheduling seems like a good next step. I prefer to talk Wednesday or later, but if the county needs to meet sooner in light of its timing needs, I could be available Monday afternoon or Tuesday. Mark and Len, I look forward to working with you as well.

Brian

Brian Simmonds Marshall

Oregon Department of Justice
503.507.5014 (mobile)

From: Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>

Sent: Friday, May 7, 2021 10:33 AM

To: Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us>; Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>

Cc: Lydia Anderson-Dana <landersondana@stollberne.com>; Graciela Seaman <gseaman@stollberne.com>; Mark Weiner <mweiner@everytown.org>; Len Hong Kamdang <lkamdang@everytown.org>

Subject: Columbia County Validation Proceeding (SASO): Columbia County Circuit Court Case No. 21CV12796

CAUTION EXTERNAL EMAIL* This email originated from outside of DOJ. Treat attachments and links with caution. *CAUTION EXTERNAL EMAIL

Sarah and Brian,

I would like to introduce you to Mark Weiner and Len Hong Kamdang. Mark and Len are lawyers with Everytown Law and will be representing the individual parties/Columbia County residents along with Lydia and me.

It looks like the only parties to the validation proceeding are our clients, the AG and the County. I'd like to set up a call next week to discuss briefing/scheduling and the most efficient way to get this case resolved. I am around all week next week, with occasional meetings on my calendar. If you want to propose some times, we can try to coordinate. Or, if it's easier, we could circulate a Doodle poll. Just let me know what you'd prefer.

Best,

Steve Berman

sberman@stollberne.com

Pronouns: he/him/his

Stoll Berne • 209 SW Oak Street, Suite 500 • Portland, OR 97204
stollberne.com • Phone: (503) 227-1600 • Fax: (503) 227-6840

This electronic mail transmission contains information from the law firm of Stoll Berne that may be confidential or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of this information is prohibited. If you believe that you have received this message in error, please notify the sender by telephone at (503) 227-1600 or by electronic mail and delete the message without copying or disclosing it. Thank you.

*****CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE*****

This e-mail may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this e-mail in error, please advise me immediately by reply e-mail, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

Sarah Hanson

From: Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>
Sent: Friday, May 7, 2021 1:46 PM
To: Mark Weiner; Marshall Brian S
Cc: Sarah Hanson; Lydia Anderson-Dana; Graciela Seaman; Len Hong Kamdang
Subject: RE: Columbia County Validation Proceeding (SASO): Columbia County Circuit Court Case No. 21CV12796

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

Hi all,

It looks like 2:30 p.m. (pacific) on 5/13 (Thursday) works for everyone. We'll circulate call in info.

Best,

Steve

From: Mark Weiner <mweiner@everytown.org>
Sent: Friday, May 7, 2021 12:49 PM
To: Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us>
Cc: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>; Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>; Lydia Anderson-Dana <landersondana@stollberne.com>; Graciela Seaman <gseaman@stollberne.com>; Len Hong Kamdang <lkamdang@everytown.org>
Subject: Re: Columbia County Validation Proceeding (SASO): Columbia County Circuit Court Case No. 21CV12796

#External Email

Hi all -

It is nice to meet you. We could do Thursday at 9:00 am-11:00 am or 2:30 pm through the end of the day if any of that works best.

Mark

On Fri, May 7, 2021 at 3:08 PM Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us> wrote:

I prefer Thursday; I'm open all day except 12:45 pm to 2:30 pm. I could also do Friday after 10:30 a.m. if needed.

Brian Simmonds Marshall
Oregon Department of Justice
503.507.5014 (mobile)

On May 7, 2021, at 10:55 AM, Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov> wrote:

CAUTION EXTERNAL EMAIL* This email originated from outside of DOJ. Treat attachments and links with caution. *CAUTION EXTERNAL EMAIL

My schedule is flexible next Thursday and Friday until noon. Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 | 230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@columbiacountyor.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us>
Sent: Friday, May 7, 2021 10:47 AM
To: Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>; Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Cc: Lydia Anderson-Dana <landersondana@stollberne.com>; Graciela Seaman <gseaman@stollberne.com>; Mark Weiner <mweiner@everytown.org>; Len Hong Kamdang <lkamdang@everytown.org>
Subject: RE: Columbia County Validation Proceeding (SASO): Columbia County Circuit Court Case No. 21CV12796

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

Steve and Sarah,

A call on scheduling seems like a good next step. I prefer to talk Wednesday or later, but if the county needs to meet sooner in light of its timing needs, I could be available Monday afternoon or Tuesday. Mark and Len, I look forward to working with you as well.

Brian

Brian Simmonds Marshall

Oregon Department of Justice
503.507.5014 (mobile)

From: Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>
Sent: Friday, May 7, 2021 10:33 AM
To: Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us>; Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Cc: Lydia Anderson-Dana <landersondana@stollberne.com>; Graciela Seaman <gseaman@stollberne.com>; Mark Weiner <mweiner@everytown.org>; Len Hong Kamdang <lkamdang@everytown.org>
Subject: Columbia County Validation Proceeding (SASO): Columbia County Circuit Court Case No. 21CV12796

CAUTION EXTERNAL EMAIL* This email originated from outside of DOJ. Treat attachments and links with caution. *CAUTION EXTERNAL EMAIL

Sarah and Brian,

I would like to introduce you to Mark Weiner and Len Hong Kamdang. Mark and Len are lawyers with Everytown Law and will be representing the individual parties/Columbia County residents along with Lydia and me.

It looks like the only parties to the validation proceeding are our clients, the AG and the County. I'd like to set up a call next week to discuss briefing/scheduling and the most efficient way to get this case resolved. I am around all week next week, with occasional meetings on my calendar. If you want to propose some times, we can try to coordinate. Or, if it's easier, we could circulate a Doodle poll. Just let me know what you'd prefer.

Best,

Steve Berman
sberman@stollberne.com
Pronouns: he/him/his

<image001.png>

This electronic mail transmission contains information from the law firm of Stoll Berne that may be confidential or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of this information is prohibited. If you believe that you have received this message in error, please notify the sender by telephone at (503) 227-1600 or by electronic mail and delete the message without copying or disclosing it. Thank you.

*****CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE*****

This e-mail may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this e-mail in error, please advise me immediately by reply e-mail, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

--

MARK WEINER | COUNSEL
MWEINER@EVERYTOWN.ORG 646-324-8124

EVERYTOWN LAW
EVERYTOWNLAW.ORG | @EVERYTOWN

This electronic message transmission contains information from Everytown Law that may be confidential or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, please be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this information is prohibited. If you have received this electronic transmission in error, please notify us by telephone (646-324-8124) or by electronic mail (mweiner@everytown.org) immediately.

Sarah Hanson

From: Sarah Hanson
Sent: Friday, May 7, 2021 1:54 PM
To: Anna Del Savio
Cc: Department-Counsel
Subject: Re: Spotlight - Firearms

Anna, Yes to your first two questions. A briefing schedule will be set hopefully soon at which point I will know more. Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 |sarah.hanson@columbiacountyor.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: Anna Del Savio <annad@pamplinmedia.com>
Sent: Friday, May 7, 2021 1:45 PM
To: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Cc: Department-Counsel <department-counsel@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: RE: Spotlight - Firearms

Hi Sarah,

I have a couple new questions about the proceedings:

Have the three weekly notices been issued? And has the 10 day period following the last notice also concluded?

Does the county intend to file any memorandum/brief/etc. in support or in opposition to the ordinance?

Have a good weekend!

Best,
Anna

From: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 8:29 AM
To: Anna Del Savio <annad@pamplinmedia.com>
Cc: Department-Counsel <department-counsel@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: Re: Spotlight - Firearms

Anna- the initiative measures are incorporated into the Ordinance. Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@columbiacountyor.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: Anna Del Savio <annad@pamplinmedia.com>
Sent: Monday, April 12, 2021 4:26 PM
To: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: RE: Spotlight - Firearms

Hi Sarah,

Sorry to bug you again on this point, but if you could get back to me today on the first question, I'd really appreciate it. Thank you!

Best,
Anna

From: Anna Del Savio
Sent: Friday, April 9, 2021 11:39 AM
To: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: RE: Spotlight - Firearms

Hi Sarah,

Thanks again for taking the time to talk with me yesterday. I wanted to clarify one part. The outcome of the validation proceedings could render the county's ordinance invalid or not, but does that directly impact the voter-approved measure as well? Or is there an additional legal/bureaucratic procedure to then extend that ruling to the voter-approved measure?

Would you expect the court ruling in this case to make distinct determinations for each of the measures? How does it work when the county ordinance merges two voter-approved measures?

Best,
Anna

From: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Sent: Thursday, April 8, 2021 11:08 AM
To: Anna Del Savio <annad@pamplinmedia.com>
Subject: Re: Spotlight - Firearms

I'm finishing up a matter and will call when I'm done in a few. Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@columbiacountyor.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: Anna Del Savio <annad@pamplinmedia.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 8, 2021 10:55 AM
To: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: Re: Spotlight - Firearms

Hi Sarah,

Sorry I'm just now seeing this! If 11 still works, please give me a call at 503-915-3241. Otherwise, 3 works.

Best,
Anna

From: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Sent: Thursday, April 8, 2021 10:14 AM
To: Anna Del Savio
Cc: Department-Counsel
Subject: Re: Spotlight - Firearms

Hello Anna. I have availability at 11 or after 3 today. What works for you? Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@columbiacountyor.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: Anna Del Savio <annad@pamplinmedia.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 7, 2021 10:19 PM
To: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: Spotlight - Firearms

Hi Sarah,

Do you have any time tomorrow to discuss the SASO/SAPO validation proceedings? I just want to make sure I'm understanding all the legalese correctly.

Also, are you aware of any judicial validation proceedings that have happened in other Oregon counties for similar local firearms ordinances?

Thank you!

Best,
Anna

Anna Del Savio
Columbia County Spotlight
Pamplin Media
(503) 915-3241 (cell)
(503) 543-6387 (office)

Sarah Hanson

From: Sarah Hanson
Sent: Friday, May 7, 2021 4:15 PM
To: Mark Weiner; Marshall Brian S
Cc: Steve Berman; Lydia Anderson-Dana; Graciela Seaman; Len Hong Kamdang; Department-Counsel
Subject: Re: Columbia County Validation Proceeding (SASO): Columbia County Circuit Court Case No. 21CV12796
Attachments: Certificate of Service with Affidavit attached 05.07.21.pdf

The attached Certificate of Service was filed this afternoon. Have a good weekend.

Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@columbiacountyor.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: Mark Weiner <mweiner@everytown.org>
Sent: Friday, May 7, 2021 12:48 PM
To: Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us>
Cc: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>; Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>; Lydia Anderson-Dana <landersondana@stollberne.com>; Graciela Seaman <gseaman@stollberne.com>; Len Hong Kamdang <lkamdang@everytown.org>
Subject: Re: Columbia County Validation Proceeding (SASO): Columbia County Circuit Court Case No. 21CV12796

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

Hi all -

It is nice to meet you. We could do Thursday at 9:00 am-11:00 am or 2:30 pm through the end of the day if any of that works best.

Mark

On Fri, May 7, 2021 at 3:08 PM Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us> wrote:
I prefer Thursday; I'm open all day except 12:45 pm to 2:30 pm. I could also do Friday after 10:30 a.m. if needed.

Brian Simmonds Marshall
Oregon Department of Justice

503.507.5014 (mobile)

On May 7, 2021, at 10:55 AM, Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov> wrote:

CAUTION EXTERNAL EMAIL This email originated from outside of DOJ. Treat attachments and links with caution. ***CAUTION EXTERNAL EMAIL***

My schedule is flexible next Thursday and Friday until noon. Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 | 230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@columbiacountyor.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us>
Sent: Friday, May 7, 2021 10:47 AM
To: Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>; Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Cc: Lydia Anderson-Dana <landersondana@stollberne.com>; Graciela Seaman <gseaman@stollberne.com>; Mark Weiner <mweiner@everytown.org>; Len Hong Kamdang <lkamdang@everytown.org>
Subject: RE: Columbia County Validation Proceeding (SASO): Columbia County Circuit Court Case No. 21CV12796

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

Steve and Sarah,

A call on scheduling seems like a good next step. I prefer to talk Wednesday or later, but if the county needs to meet sooner in light of its timing needs, I could be available Monday afternoon or Tuesday. Mark and Len, I look forward to working with you as well.

Brian

Brian Simmonds Marshall
Oregon Department of Justice
503.507.5014 (mobile)

From: Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>
Sent: Friday, May 7, 2021 10:33 AM
To: Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us>; Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>

Cc: Lydia Anderson-Dana <landersondana@stollberne.com>; Graciela Seaman <gseaman@stollberne.com>; Mark Weiner <mweiner@everytown.org>; Len Hong Kamdang <lkamdang@everytown.org>

Subject: Columbia County Validation Proceeding (SASO): Columbia County Circuit Court Case No. 21CV12796

CAUTION EXTERNAL EMAIL* This email originated from outside of DOJ. Treat attachments and links with caution. *CAUTION EXTERNAL EMAIL

Sarah and Brian,

I would like to introduce you to Mark Weiner and Len Hong Kamdang. Mark and Len are lawyers with Everytown Law and will be representing the individual parties/Columbia County residents along with Lydia and me.

It looks like the only parties to the validation proceeding are our clients, the AG and the County. I'd like to set up a call next week to discuss briefing/scheduling and the most efficient way to get this case resolved. I am around all week next week, with occasional meetings on my calendar. If you want to propose some times, we can try to coordinate. Or, if it's easier, we could circulate a Doodle poll. Just let me know what you'd prefer.

Best,

Steve Berman
sberman@stollberne.com
Pronouns: he/him/his

<image001.png>

This electronic mail transmission contains information from the law firm of Stoll Berne that may be confidential or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of this information is prohibited. If you believe that you have received this message in error, please notify the sender by telephone at (503) 227-1600 or by electronic mail and delete the message without copying or disclosing it. Thank you.

*****CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE*****

This e-mail may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this e-mail in error, please advise me immediately by reply e-mail, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

--
MARK WEINER | COUNSEL
MWEINER@EVERYTOWN.ORG 646-324-8124

EVERYTOWN LAW
EVERYTOWNLAW.ORG | @EVERYTOWN

This electronic message transmission contains information from Everytown Law that may be confidential or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, please be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this information is prohibited. If you have received this electronic transmission in error, please notify us by telephone (646-324-8124) or by electronic mail (mweiner@everytown.org) immediately.

Sarah Hanson

From: Sarah Hanson
Sent: Thursday, May 6, 2021 10:38 AM
To: Karandy, Gene
Cc: Department-Counsel
Subject: Re: Second Amendment Sanctuary Validation Petition

Yes, very interesting. That will certainly make things go faster. Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 |sarah.hanson@columbiacountyor.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: Karandy, Gene <gkarandy@co.linn.or.us>
Sent: Wednesday, May 5, 2021 3:41 PM
To: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: RE: Second Amendment Sanctuary Validation Petition

I just took a look at the filings. Interesting they are all in the "invalid" camp.

Gene Karandy
County Attorney
Linn County
(541)967-3840

The information contained in this e-mail message is information protected by attorney-client and/or the attorney/work product privilege. It is intended only for use of the individual named above and the privileges are not waived by virtue of this having been sent by electronic mail transmission. If the person actually receiving this e-mail or any other reader of this message is not the named recipient or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the named recipient, any use, dissemination, distribution, or copying of the communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please destroy, delete, and dispose of this message

From: county-counsels@googlegroups.com [mailto:county-counsels@googlegroups.com] **On Behalf Of** Sarah Hanson
Sent: Monday, April 12, 2021 7:40 AM
To: county-counsels@googlegroups.com
Cc: Department-Counsel <department-counsel@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: [county-counsels] Second Amendment Sanctuary Validation Petition

Good morning. I have had a few inquiries into the County's Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance and Validation Petition so I'm sending them out to the group. The petition was filed April 1st (no, not a joke). Responsive pleadings are due May 1st. I expect at least two responses will be filed. For those who are interested if you want to send me an email, I'd be glad to keep you posted as to the proceedings. The Case Number is 21CV12796. You can see the petition with attachments in OJCIN. The full document is too large to attach to this email. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@columbiacountyor.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

--

You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "County Counsels" group.

To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to county-counsels+unsubscribe@googlegroups.com.

To view this discussion on the web visit <https://groups.google.com/d/msgid/county-counsels/CO6PR09MB7414BBE2AFFB445B607EDE68ED709%40CO6PR09MB7414.namprd09.prod.outlook.com>.

Sarah Hanson

From: Chronicle Classifieds <chronicleclassifieds@countrymedia.net>
Sent: Tuesday, May 4, 2021 12:31 PM
To: Kelly Johnson
Subject: Re: Notice and Summons RE Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance
Attachments: S21050412400 (1).pdf

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

Kelly,

Attached is a copy of the affidavit you requested.

Let me know if there is anything else I can do for you. Have a great day!

Thank you,

Kelli Nicholson

The Chronicle & The Chief - Country Media Inc.

Office Manager

Legal Notices / Classifieds / Obituaries

Office: 503-397-0116

Fax: 503-397-4093

Email: chronicleclassifieds@countrymedia.net

From: Kelly Johnson <Kelly.Johnson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Sent: Friday, April 30, 2021 3:28 PM
To: Chronicle Classifieds <chronicleclassifieds@countrymedia.net>
Cc: Department-Counsel <department-counsel@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: RE: Notice and Summons RE Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance

Kelli,

I completely understand. I appreciate you letting me know. Have a great weekend!

Thank you,

Kelly Johnson
Legal Assistant to County Counsel
Columbia County
503-397-3839
230 Strand, Room 20,
St. Helens, Oregon 97051
Kelly.johnson@columbiacountyor.gov



From: Chronicle Classifieds <chronicleclassifieds@countrymedia.net>
Sent: Friday, April 30, 2021 3:27 PM
To: Kelly Johnson <Kelly.Johnson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: Re: Notice and Summons RE Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

Kelly,

I don't have it done yet. It has been a crazy two weeks here. I will get it to you the beginning of next week.

Let me know if there is anything else I can do for you. Have a great day!

Thank you,

Kelli Nicholson

The Chronicle & The Chief - Country Media Inc.

Office Manager

Legal Notices / Classifieds / Obituaries

Office: 503-397-0116

Fax: 503-397-4093

Email: chronicleclassifieds@countrymedia.net

From: Kelly Johnson <Kelly.Johnson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Sent: Friday, April 30, 2021 2:38 PM
To: Chronicle Classifieds <chronicleclassifieds@countrymedia.net>
Cc: Department-Counsel <department-counsel@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: RE: Notice and Summons RE Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance

Good afternoon,

We are just following up on the Affidavit of Publication regarding the Notice and Summons for the Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance? Can you give us a status on this please? We appreciate your help with this.

Thank you,

Kelly Johnson
Legal Assistant to County Counsel
Columbia County
503-397-3839

230 Strand, Room 20,
St. Helens, Oregon 97051
Kelly.johnson@columbiacountyor.gov



From: Chronicle Classifieds <chronicleclassifieds@countrymedia.net>
Sent: Monday, April 5, 2021 12:34 PM
To: Kelly Johnson <Kelly.Johnson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: Re: Notice and Summons RE Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance

Kelly,

Yes, after the last publish date our corporate office will send out an invoice.

Let me know if there is anything else I can do for you. Have a great day!

Thank you,

Kelli Nicholson

The Chronicle & The Chief - Country Media Inc.

Office Manager

Legal Notices / Classifieds / Obituaries

Office: 503-397-0116

Fax: 503-397-4093

Email: chronicleclassifieds@countrymedia.net

From: Kelly Johnson <Kelly.Johnson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Sent: Monday, April 5, 2021 12:12 PM
To: Chronicle Classifieds <chronicleclassifieds@countrymedia.net>
Cc: Department-Counsel <department-counsel@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: RE: Notice and Summons RE Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance

Kelli,

Thank you so much! Will you be sending an invoice when all three have run?

Thank you,

Kelly Johnson
Legal Assistant to County Counsel
Columbia County
503-397-3839

230 Strand, Room 20,
St. Helens, Oregon 97051
Kelly.johnson@columbiacountyor.gov

From: Chronicle Classifieds <chronicleclassifieds@countrymedia.net>
Sent: Monday, April 5, 2021 9:55 AM
To: Kelly Johnson <Kelly.Johnson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: Re: Notice and Summons RE Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance

Kelly,

I have this notice set to run in the Chronicle on 4/7/21, 4/14/21 and 4/21/21. The total cost for this notice is \$637.05.

Let me know if there is anything else I can do for you. Have a great day!

Thank you,

Kelli Nicholson

The Chronicle & The Chief - Country Media Inc.
Office Manager
Legal Notices / Classifieds / Obituaries
Office: 503-397-0116
Fax: 503-397-4093
Email: chronicleclassifieds@countrymedia.net

From: Kelly Johnson <Kelly.Johnson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Sent: Friday, April 2, 2021 10:39 AM
To: Chronicle Classifieds <chronicleclassifieds@countrymedia.net>
Cc: Department-Counsel <department-counsel@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: Notice and Summons RE Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance

Good morning,

Please post the attached in the next 3 newspapers dated April 7, 2021, April 14th, 2021 and April 21, 2021. Please send any invoices to me and please send us an affidavit of publication. Thank you for your assistance. If you have any questions please let us know.

Thank you,

Kelly Johnson
Legal Assistant to County Counsel
Columbia County
503-397-3839
230 Strand, Room 20,
St. Helens, Oregon 97051
Kelly.johnson@columbiacountyor.gov

Sarah Hanson

From: Lydia Anderson-Dana <landersondana@stollberne.com>
Sent: Friday, April 30, 2021 1:41 PM
To: Sarah Hanson
Cc: Steve Berman;Graciela Seaman
Subject: Columbia County Case No. 21CV12796
Attachments: [Proposed] Order Granting Motion for Pro Hac Vice Admission of Len Kamdang, 1.pdf; Motion for Pro Hac Vice Admission of Len Kamdang, 1.pdf; [Proposed] Order Granting Motion for Pro Hac Vice Admission of Mark Weiner, 1.pdf; Motion for Pro Hac Vice Admission of Mark Weiner, 1.pdf

Follow Up Flag: Flag for follow up
Flag Status: Completed

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

Sarah,

We just filed the attached in Case No. 21CV12796. Have a good weekend.

Thanks,
Lydia

Lydia Anderson-Dana
landersondana@stollberne.com
Pronouns: she/her/hers

Stoll Berne • 209 SW Oak Street, Suite 500 • Portland, OR 97204
stollberne.com • Phone: (503) 227-1600 • Fax: (503) 227-6840

This electronic mail transmission contains information from the law firm of Stoll Berne that may be confidential or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of this information is prohibited. If you believe that you have received this message in error, please notify the sender by telephone at (503) 227-1600 or by electronic mail and delete the message without copying or disclosing it. Thank you.

Sarah Hanson

From: Marshall Brian S <Brian.S.Marshall@doj.state.or.us>
Sent: Friday, April 23, 2021 2:56 PM
To: Sarah Hanson
Subject: Validation Case - Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance

Sarah,

Thank you for returning my call. My contact information is below.

Brian Simmonds Marshall

Senior Assistant Attorney General | Special Litigation Unit | Trial Division
Oregon Department of Justice
100 SW Market Street, Portland OR 97201
971.673.1880 (main)
971.673.5027 (direct)
503.507.5014 (mobile)

*****CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE*****

This e-mail may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this e-mail in error, please advise me immediately by reply e-mail, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

Sarah Hanson

From: Sarah Hanson
Sent: Tuesday, April 13, 2021 8:29 AM
To: Anna Del Savio
Cc: Department-Counsel
Subject: Re: Spotlight - Firearms

Anna- the initiative measures are incorporated into the Ordinance. Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 |sarah.hanson@columbiacountyor.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: Anna Del Savio <annad@pamplinmedia.com>
Sent: Monday, April 12, 2021 4:26 PM
To: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: RE: Spotlight - Firearms

Hi Sarah,

Sorry to bug you again on this point, but if you could get back to me today on the first question, I'd really appreciate it. Thank you!

Best,
Anna

From: Anna Del Savio
Sent: Friday, April 9, 2021 11:39 AM
To: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: RE: Spotlight - Firearms

Hi Sarah,

Thanks again for taking the time to talk with me yesterday. I wanted to clarify one part. The outcome of the validation proceedings could render the county's ordinance invalid or not, but does that directly impact the voter-approved measure as well? Or is there an additional legal/bureaucratic procedure to then extend that ruling to the voter-approved measure?

Would you expect the court ruling in this case to make distinct determinations for each of the measures? How does it work when the county ordinance merges two voter-approved measures?

Best,

Anna

From: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Sent: Thursday, April 8, 2021 11:08 AM
To: Anna Del Savio <annad@pamplinmedia.com>
Subject: Re: Spotlight - Firearms

I'm finishing up a matter and will call when I'm done in a few. Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@columbiacountyor.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: Anna Del Savio <annad@pamplinmedia.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 8, 2021 10:55 AM
To: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: Re: Spotlight - Firearms

Hi Sarah,

Sorry I'm just now seeing this! If 11 still works, please give me a call at 503-915-3241. Otherwise, 3 works.

Best,
Anna

From: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Sent: Thursday, April 8, 2021 10:14 AM
To: Anna Del Savio
Cc: Department-Counsel
Subject: Re: Spotlight - Firearms

Hello Anna. I have availability at 11 or after 3 today. What works for you? Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@columbiacountyor.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: Anna Del Savio <annad@pamplinmedia.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 7, 2021 10:19 PM
To: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: Spotlight - Firearms

Hi Sarah,

Do you have any time tomorrow to discuss the SASO/SAPO validation proceedings? I just want to make sure I'm understanding all the legalese correctly.

Also, are you aware of any judicial validation proceedings that have happened in other Oregon counties for similar local firearms ordinances?

Thank you!

Best,
Anna

Anna Del Savio
Columbia County Spotlight
Pamplin Media
(503) 915-3241 (cell)
(503) 543-6387 (office)

Sarah Hanson

From: David Doyle <David.Doyle@deschutes.org>
Sent: Monday, April 12, 2021 7:41 AM
To: Sarah Hanson
Subject: RE: Second Amendment Sanctuary Validation Petition

Please keep me posted.

Thanks

DAVID DOYLE
Deschutes County Legal Counsel
1300 NW Wall Street, Suite 205
Bend, OR 97703
Telephone: (541) 388-6625
Facsimile: (541) 617-4748
Email: David.Doyle@deschutes.org

The information in this email, including any attachment, is for the sole use of the intended recipient and contains information belonging to Deschutes County, which is confidential and/or legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or taking of any action in reliance on the contents of this email information is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please immediately notify the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message.

From: county-counsels@googlegroups.com <county-counsels@googlegroups.com> **On Behalf Of** Sarah Hanson
Sent: Monday, April 12, 2021 7:40 AM
To: county-counsels@googlegroups.com
Cc: Department-Counsel <department-counsel@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: [county-counsels] Second Amendment Sanctuary Validation Petition

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Good morning. I have had a few inquiries into the County's Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance and Validation Petition so I'm sending them out to the group. The petition was filed April 1st (no, not a joke). Responsive pleadings are due May 1st. I expect at least two responses will be filed. For those who are interested if you want to send me an email, I'd be glad to keep you posted as to the proceedings. The Case Number is 21CV12796. You can see the petition with attachments in OJCIN. The full document is too large to attach to this email. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@columbiacountyor.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

--

You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "County Counsels" group. To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to county-counsels+unsubscribe@googlegroups.com.

To view this discussion on the web visit <https://groups.google.com/d/msgid/county-counsels/CO6PR09MB7414BBE2AFFB445B607EDE68ED709%40CO6PR09MB7414.namprd09.prod.outlook.com>.

Sarah Hanson

From: Doug Olsen <doug.olsen@umatillacounty.net>
Sent: Monday, April 12, 2021 8:06 AM
To: Sarah Hanson
Subject: Re: [county-counsels] Second Amendment Sanctuary Validation Petition

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

Thanks Sarah!

On Mon, Apr 12, 2021 at 7:39 AM Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov> wrote:
Good morning. I have had a few inquiries into the County's Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance and Validation Petition so I'm sending them out to the group. The petition was filed April 1st (no, not a joke). Responsive pleadings are due May 1st. I expect at least two responses will be filed. For those who are interested if you want to send me an email, I'd be glad to keep you posted as to the proceedings. The Case Number is 21CV12796. You can see the petition with attachments in OJCIN. The full document is too large to attach to this email. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@columbiacountyor.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

--

You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "County Counsels" group.

To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to county-counsels+unsubscribe@googlegroups.com.

To view this discussion on the web visit <https://groups.google.com/d/msgid/county-counsels/CO6PR09MB7414BBE2AFFB445B607EDE68ED709%40CO6PR09MB7414.namprd09.prod.outlook.com>.

--



Douglas R. Olsen

Umatilla County Counsel
216 SE 4th Street
Pendleton, OR 97801

Phone: 541-278-6208

Fax: 541-278-6372

Email: doug.olsen@umatillacounty.net

Website: umatillacounty.net

Please Be Aware - Documents such as emails, letters, maps, reports, etc. sent from or received by Umatilla County are subject to Oregon Public Records law and are NOT CONFIDENTIAL. This includes materials that may contain sensitive data or other information, and Umatilla County will not be held liable for its distribution

Sarah Hanson

From: Gollehon, Jodi <jgollehon@co.linn.or.us>
Sent: Monday, April 12, 2021 9:01 AM
To: Sarah Hanson
Subject: RE: Second Amendment Sanctuary Validation Petition

This is really great work, Sarah. Thank you for sharing. Please keep me posted – I definitely want to know how this turns out.

Thanks,

Jodi Gollehon
Deputy County Attorney
(541) 967-3840

The information contained in this e-mail message is information protected by attorney-client and/or the attorney/work product privilege. It is intended only for use of the individual named above and the privileges are not waived by virtue of this having been sent by electronic mail transmission. If the person actually receiving this e-mail or any other reader of this message is not the named recipient or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the named recipient, any use, dissemination, distribution, or copying of the communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please destroy, delete, and dispose of this message.

From: county-counsels@googlegroups.com [mailto:county-counsels@googlegroups.com] **On Behalf Of** Sarah Hanson
Sent: Monday, April 12, 2021 7:40 AM
To: county-counsels@googlegroups.com
Cc: Department-Counsel <department-counsel@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: [county-counsels] Second Amendment Sanctuary Validation Petition

Good morning. I have had a few inquiries into the County's Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance and Validation Petition so I'm sending them out to the group. The petition was filed April 1st (no, not a joke). Responsive pleadings are due May 1st. I expect at least two responses will be filed. For those who are interested if you want to send me an email, I'd be glad to keep you posted as to the proceedings. The Case Number is 21CV12796. You can see the petition with attachments in OJCIN. The full document is too large to attach to this email. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@columbiacountyor.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

--

You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "County Counsels" group. To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to county-counsels+unsubscribe@googlegroups.com.

To view this discussion on the web visit <https://groups.google.com/d/msgid/county->

counsels/CO6PR09MB7414BBE2AFFB445B607EDE68ED709%40CO6PR09MB7414.namprd09.prod.outlook.com.

Sarah Hanson

From: Diana McDougale <diana@oregonwashingtonlawyer.com>
Sent: Monday, April 12, 2021 8:38 AM
To: Sarah Hanson
Subject: Re: [county-counsels] Second Amendment Sanctuary Validation Petition

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

Thank you, Sarah! I found your April 1st filing over the weekend – great job. I was going to ask you about responsive pleadings this week. I will check in after May 1st. Wheeler County passed our Preservation Ordinance in 2015, so I will be watching closely. Have a great week!

McDOUGLE LAW LLC

Diana L. McDougale, Attorney
Licensed in Oregon and Washington
P.O. Box 1974 | Hood River, OR 97031
Website: www.oregonwashingtonlawyer.com
T: 541.490.1482
E: diana@oregonwashingtonlawyer.com

This communication may contain information that is privileged or confidential. If you have received this message in error, please contact the sender immediately and delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents.

From: <county-counsels@googlegroups.com> on behalf of Sarah Hanson
<Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Date: Monday, April 12, 2021 at 7:39 AM
To: "county-counsels@googlegroups.com" <county-counsels@googlegroups.com>
Cc: Department-Counsel <department-counsel@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: [county-counsels] Second Amendment Sanctuary Validation Petition

Good morning. I have had a few inquiries into the County's Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance and Validation Petition so I'm sending them out to the group. The petition was filed April 1st (no, not a joke). Responsive pleadings are due May 1st. I expect at least two responses will be filed. For those who are interested if you want to send me an email, I'd be glad to keep you posted as to the proceedings. The Case Number is 21CV12796. You can see the petition with attachments in OJCIN. The full document is too large to attach to this email. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@columbiacountyor.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

--

You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "County Counsels" group.

To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to county-counsels+unsubscribe@googlegroups.com.

To view this discussion on the web visit <https://groups.google.com/d/msgid/county-counsels/CO6PR09MB7414BBE2AFFB445B607EDE68ED709%40CO6PR09MB7414.namprd09.prod.outlook.com>.

Sarah Hanson

From: Joanna Lyons-Antley <jantley@co.clatsop.or.us>
Sent: Monday, April 12, 2021 9:30 AM
To: Sarah Hanson
Subject: Re: Second Amendment Sanctuary Validation Petition
Attachments: Fw: Second Amendment Sanctuary

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

I'm having issues with typing in your email :) Here's what I sent Kristin/Wasco County

From: county-counsels@googlegroups.com <county-counsels@googlegroups.com> on behalf of Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Sent: Monday, April 12, 2021 7:39 AM
To: county-counsels@googlegroups.com
Cc: Department-Counsel
Subject: [county-counsels] Second Amendment Sanctuary Validation Petition

Good morning. I have had a few inquiries into the County's Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance and Validation Petition so I'm sending them out to the group. The petition was filed April 1st (no, not a joke). Responsive pleadings are due May 1st. I expect at least two responses will be filed. For those who are interested if you want to send me an email, I'd be glad to keep you posted as to the proceedings. The Case Number is 21CV12796. You can see the petition with attachments in OJCIN. The full document is too large to attach to this email. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 | 230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@columbiacountyor.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

--

You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "County Counsels" group. To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to county-counsels+unsubscribe@googlegroups.com.

To view this discussion on the web visit <https://groups.google.com/d/msgid/county-counsels/CO6PR09MB7414BBE2AFFB445B607EDE68ED709%40CO6PR09MB7414.namprd09.prod.outlook.com>

This message has been prepared on resources owned by Clatsop County, Oregon. It is subject to the Internet and Online Services Use Policy and Procedures of Clatsop County.

Sarah Hanson

From: Sarah Hanson
Sent: Monday, April 12, 2021 7:40 AM
To: David Doyle
Cc: Department-Counsel
Subject: Re: Pet. for Val.
Attachments: Petition Final.pdf

David, I may have sent you the wrong petition version. Attached is the final.

Best-

Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 |sarah.hanson@columbiacountyor.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Sent: Monday, April 12, 2021 7:30 AM
To: David Doyle <David.Doyle@deschutes.org>
Cc: Department-Counsel <department-counsel@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: Re: Pet. for Val.

Hi David. Notice and Summons is currently being published. The last date of publication is the 21st. Any interested party has 10 days after the last date of publication to file a response. I'm attaching the petition (without attachments) and ordinance if you'd like to see them. I believe we will have at least two responses, one from the chief petitioner for the initiatives and another from Steve Berman, representing a gun control group. Should be interesting!

Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 |sarah.hanson@columbiacountyor.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: David Doyle <David.Doyle@deschutes.org>
Sent: Monday, April 12, 2021 7:18 AM
To: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: Pet. for Val.

Good Morning Sarah -

Curious as to the status of the Petition for Validation re: 2nd Amendment matter ??
Thanks.

DAVID DOYLE
Deschutes County Legal Counsel
1300 NW Wall Street, Suite 205
Bend, OR 97703
Telephone: (541) 388-6625
Facsimile: (541) 617-4748
Email: David.Doyle@deschutes.org

The information in this email, including any attachment, is for the sole use of the intended recipient and contains information belonging to Deschutes County, which is confidential and/or legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or taking of any action in reliance on the contents of this email information is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please immediately notify the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message.

Sarah Hanson

From: Sarah Hanson
Sent: Monday, April 12, 2021 3:33 PM
To: Nathaniel Greenhalgh-Johnson
Cc: Department-Counsel
Subject: Re: Second Amendment Sanctuary Validation Petition

Sure. No problem. Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 |sarah.hanson@columbiacountyor.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: Nathaniel Greenhalgh-Johnson <njohnson@co.coos.or.us>
Sent: Monday, April 12, 2021 2:56 PM
To: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: RE: Second Amendment Sanctuary Validation Petition

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

Sarah,

Coos County has one of these ordinances that was passed a while back, I'd like for you to keep me posted on how this goes.

Thanks,

Nathaniel Greenhalgh-Johnson
County Counsel
Coos County Office of Legal Counsel
225 N. Adams St., Coquille, OR 97423
Phone: (541) 396-7693
Fax: (541) 396-1012

From: county-counsels@googlegroups.com <county-counsels@googlegroups.com> **On Behalf Of** Sarah Hanson
Sent: Monday, April 12, 2021 7:40 AM
To: county-counsels@googlegroups.com
Cc: Department-Counsel <department-counsel@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: [county-counsels] Second Amendment Sanctuary Validation Petition

This Message originated outside your organization.

Good morning. I have had a few inquiries into the County's Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance and Validation Petition so I'm sending them out to the group. The petition was filed April 1st (no, not a joke). Responsive pleadings are due May 1st. I expect at least two responses will be filed. For those who are interested if you want to send me an email, I'd be glad to keep you posted as to the proceedings. The Case Number is 21CV12796. You can see the petition with attachments in OJCIN. The full document is too large to attach to this email. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@columbiacountyor.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

--

You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "County Counsels" group. To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to county-counsels+unsubscribe@googlegroups.com.

To view this discussion on the web visit <https://groups.google.com/d/msgid/county-counsels/CO6PR09MB7414BBE2AFFB445B607EDE68ED709%40CO6PR09MB7414.namprd09.prod.outlook.com>.

Disclaimer

The information contained in this communication from the sender is confidential. It is intended solely for use by the recipient and others authorized to receive it. If you are not the recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or taking action in relation of the contents of this information is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful.

This email has been scanned for viruses and malware, and may have been automatically archived by **Mimecast Ltd**, an innovator in Software as a Service (SaaS) for business. Providing a **safer** and **more useful** place for your human generated data. Specializing in; Security, archiving and compliance. To find out more [Click Here](#).

Sarah Hanson

Sent: Monday, April 12, 2021 4:57 AM
To: Brian Pixley
Subject: Re: SAPO/SASO

Will be in the office most of the day watching AOC committee meetings. Just listening in for the most part, so pretty available. Stop on by.

- Casey

On Apr 11, 2021 5:02 PM, Brian Pixley <Brian.Pixley@columbiacountyor.gov> wrote:
What do you have going on tomorrow, maybe I can stop by so we can chat?

B

Sheriff B. Pixley
Columbia County Sheriff's Office
Phone: 503.366.4610
Dispatch: 503.397.1521
Fax: 503.366.4644
Sent from my iPhone

On Apr 8, 2021, at 5:17 PM, Casey Garrett <Casey.Garrett@columbiacountyor.gov> wrote:

Will do. My schedule is a little packed. I'll try you in the afternoon.

Casey Garrett, Commissioner
Columbia County Oregon
503.397.4322 DIRECT
503.396.1944 MOBILE
casey.garrett@columbiacountyor.gov

From: Brian Pixley <Brian.Pixley@columbiacountyor.gov>
Sent: Thursday, April 8, 2021 5:15 PM
To: Casey Garrett <Casey.Garrett@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: Re: SAPO/SASO

Sure. Give me a call tomorrow?

B

Sheriff B. Pixley
Columbia County Sheriff's Office
Phone: 503.366.4610
Dispatch: 503.397.1521
Fax: 503.366.4644

Sent from my iPhone

On Apr 8, 2021, at 5:01 PM, Casey Garrett
<Casey.Garrett@columbiacountyor.gov> wrote:

Do you have some time soon to talk about this?

Casey Garrett, Commissioner
Columbia County Oregon
503.397.4322 DIRECT
503.396.1944 MOBILE
casey.garrett@columbiacountyor.gov

From: Chris Brumbles <grizverine@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 7, 2021 3:46 PM
To: Henry Heimuller <Henry.Heimuller@columbiacountyor.gov>; Margaret Magruder
<Margaret.Magruder@columbiacountyor.gov>; Casey Garrett
<Casey.Garrett@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: SAPO/SASO

Dear Commissioners,

Let it be known that I am very unhappy with the recent tampering with the SAPO/SASO laws. I am also understandably irritated that I was not included in discussions about this since I was the Chief Petitioner for both ballot measures, spent five years of my life getting them passed, and even collected signatures in the rain with my leg broken in 3 places. If for no other reason, I should have been notified as a matter of common courtesy.

I am not happy with the two laws being blended, as there was no need, as they were totally different laws with the exception of the penalties.

A recent email from my Attorney confirmed some of my suspicions when he had this to say: "Should not be able to validate a ballot measure. They are pulling some monkey business."

I hope I am wrong about this scenario, but I get the feeling that this is a set up to get the SASO in front of a judge, so that he can throw it out. I know that there are people at the county level that did not like these laws, but the people have spoken, not once, but twice, and we do not elect kings. Meanwhile, I hope the county will defend this law to the utmost of its ability as it is required to do whether the person defending it likes the law or not.

I have already noticed that when coming to the penalties portion of this new SASO 2021-1, the penalties have all but been removed by using the word MAY...that is not what we wanted.

Please be advised that if this new law does not pass muster, and is kicked to the curb, there will be accountability in one form or another. Could be a lawsuit, could be recall/s, do not know until the final result, but "We the People " will not take this lying down, so I hope this is all on the up and up. I do not trust it.

Remember that a lot of time, effort, and money have been spent to get these laws on the books, and that we have already gone to court once.

If anyone can let me know how this originally came about and why, I would love to talk to them. Have a great day, and now we're on the record.

In Liberty,
Chris Brumbles
Columbia County Coordinator
Oregon Firearms Federation
Founder, Columbia County Irregulars
N. Coordinator, SAPO/SASO
Host, Trigger Warning, am1600kohi
503-369-5521

Sarah Hanson

From: Sarah Hanson
Sent: Friday, April 9, 2021 11:41 AM
To: Anna Del Savio
Subject: Re: Spotlight - Firearms

Anna- The results of the validation proceeding should tell the County and residents what, if any, parts of either of the two initiative measures are unenforceable as they are incorporated into th. I don't know how the Court will address the two initiatives in these proceedings or if it will. We are seeking validation only of the County's Ordinance.

Best-
Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 |sarah.hanson@columbiacountyor.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: Anna Del Savio <annad@pamplinmedia.com>
Sent: Friday, April 9, 2021 11:38 AM
To: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: RE: Spotlight - Firearms

Hi Sarah,

Thanks again for taking the time to talk with me yesterday. I wanted to clarify one part. The outcome of the validation proceedings could render the county's ordinance invalid or not, but does that directly impact the voter-approved measure as well? Or is there an additional legal/bureaucratic procedure to then extend that ruling to the voter-approved measure?

Would you expect the court ruling in this case to make distinct determinations for each of the measures? How does it work when the county ordinance merges two voter-approved measures?

Best,
Anna

From: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Sent: Thursday, April 8, 2021 11:08 AM
To: Anna Del Savio <annad@pamplinmedia.com>
Subject: Re: Spotlight - Firearms

I'm finishing up a matter and will call when I'm done in a few. Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@columbiacountyor.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: Anna Del Savio <annad@pamplinmedia.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 8, 2021 10:55 AM
To: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: Re: Spotlight - Firearms

Hi Sarah,

Sorry I'm just now seeing this! If 11 still works, please give me a call at 503-915-3241. Otherwise, 3 works.

Best,
Anna

From: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Sent: Thursday, April 8, 2021 10:14 AM
To: Anna Del Savio
Cc: Department-Counsel
Subject: Re: Spotlight - Firearms

Hello Anna. I have availability at 11 or after 3 today. What works for you? Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@columbiacountyor.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: Anna Del Savio <annad@pamplinmedia.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 7, 2021 10:19 PM
To: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: Spotlight - Firearms

Hi Sarah,

Do you have any time tomorrow to discuss the SASO/SAPO validation proceedings? I just want to make sure I'm understanding all the legalese correctly.

Also, are you aware of any judicial validation proceedings that have happened in other Oregon counties for similar local firearms ordinances?

Thank you!

Best,
Anna

Anna Del Savio
Columbia County Spotlight
Pamplin Media
(503) 915-3241 (cell)
(503) 543-6387 (office)

Sarah Hanson

From: Anna Del Savio <annad@pamplinmedia.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 8, 2021 10:56 AM
To: Sarah Hanson
Subject: Re: Spotlight - Firearms

Hi Sarah,

Sorry I'm just now seeing this! If 11 still works, please give me a call at 503-915-3241. Otherwise, 3 works.

Best,
Anna

From: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Sent: Thursday, April 8, 2021 10:14 AM
To: Anna Del Savio
Cc: Department-Counsel
Subject: Re: Spotlight - Firearms

Hello Anna. I have availability at 11 or after 3 today. What works for you? Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@columbiacountyor.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: Anna Del Savio <annad@pamplinmedia.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 7, 2021 10:19 PM
To: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: Spotlight - Firearms

Hi Sarah,

Do you have any time tomorrow to discuss the SASO/SAPO validation proceedings? I just want to make sure I'm understanding all the legalese correctly.

Also, are you aware of any judicial validation proceedings that have happened in other Oregon counties for similar local firearms ordinances?

Thank you!

Best,

Anna

Anna Del Savio

Columbia County Spotlight

Pamplin Media

(503) 915-3241 (cell)

(503) 543-6387 (office)

Sarah Hanson

From: Chris Brumbles <grizverine@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 7, 2021 3:46 PM
To: Henry Heimuller;Margaret Magruder;Casey Garrett
Subject: SAPO/SASO

Dear Commissioners,

Let it be known that I am very unhappy with the recent tampering with the SAPO/SASO laws. I am also understandably irritated that I was not included in discussions about this since I was the Chief Petitioner for both ballot measures, spent five years of my life getting them passed, and even collected signatures in the rain with my leg broken in 3 places. If for no other reason, I should have been notified as a matter of common courtesy.

I am not happy with the two laws being blended, as there was no need, as they were totally different laws with the exception of the penalties.

A recent email from my Attorney confirmed some of my suspicions when he had this to say: "Should not be able to validate a ballot measure. They are pulling some monkey business."

I hope I am wrong about this scenario, but I get the feeling that this is a set up to get the SASO in front of a judge, so that he can throw it out. I know that there are people at the county level that did not like these laws, but the people have spoken, not once, but twice, and we do not elect kings. Meanwhile, I hope the county will defend this law to the utmost of its ability as it is required to do whether the person defending it likes the law or not.

I have already noticed that when coming to the penalties portion of this new SASO 2021-1, the penalties have all but been removed by using the word MAY...that is not what we wanted.

Please be advised that if this new law does not pass muster, and is kicked to the curb, there will be accountability in one form or another. Could be a lawsuit, could be recall/s, do not know until the final result, but "We the People " will not take this lying down, so I hope this is all on the up and up. I do not trust it. Remember that a lot of time, effort, and money have been spent to get these laws on the books, and that we have already gone to court once.

If anyone can let me know how this originally came about and why, I would love to talk to them. Have a great day, and now we're on the record.

In Liberty,
Chris Brumbles
Columbia County Coordinator
Oregon Firearms Federation
Founder, Columbia County Irregulars
N. Coordinator, SAPO/SASO
Host, Trigger Warning, am1600kohi
503-369-5521

Sarah Hanson

From: Chronicle Classifieds <chronicleclassifieds@countrymedia.net>
Sent: Monday, April 5, 2021 12:34 PM
To: Kelly Johnson
Subject: Re: Notice and Summons RE Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance

Kelly,

Yes, after the last publish date our corporate office will send out an invoice.

Let me know if there is anything else I can do for you. Have a great day!

Thank you,

Kelli Nicholson

The Chronicle & The Chief - Country Media Inc.

Office Manager

Legal Notices / Classifieds / Obituaries

Office: 503-397-0116

Fax: 503-397-4093

Email: chronicleclassifieds@countrymedia.net

From: Kelly Johnson <Kelly.Johnson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Sent: Monday, April 5, 2021 12:12 PM
To: Chronicle Classifieds <chronicleclassifieds@countrymedia.net>
Cc: Department-Counsel <department-counsel@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: RE: Notice and Summons RE Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance

Kelli,

Thank you so much! Will you be sending an invoice when all three have run?

Thank you,

Kelly Johnson
Legal Assistant to County Counsel
Columbia County
503-397-3839
230 Strand, Room 20,
St. Helens, Oregon 97051
Kelly.johnson@columbiacountyor.gov

From: Chronicle Classifieds <chronicleclassifieds@countrymedia.net>
Sent: Monday, April 5, 2021 9:55 AM

To: Kelly Johnson <Kelly.Johnson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: Re: Notice and Summons RE Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance

Kelly,

I have this notice set to run in the Chronicle on 4/7/21, 4/14/21 and 4/21/21. The total cost for this notice is \$637.05.

Let me know if there is anything else I can do for you. Have a great day!

Thank you,

Kelli Nicholson

The Chronicle & The Chief - Country Media Inc.

Office Manager

Legal Notices / Classifieds / Obituaries

Office: 503-397-0116

Fax: 503-397-4093

Email: chronicleclassifieds@countrymedia.net

From: Kelly Johnson <Kelly.Johnson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Sent: Friday, April 2, 2021 10:39 AM
To: Chronicle Classifieds <chronicleclassifieds@countrymedia.net>
Cc: Department-Counsel <department-counsel@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: Notice and Summons RE Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance

Good morning,

Please post the attached in the next 3 newspapers dated April 7, 2021, April 14th, 2021 and April 21, 2021. Please send any invoices to me and please send us an affidavit of publication. Thank you for your assistance. If you have any questions please let us know.

Thank you,

Kelly Johnson
Legal Assistant to County Counsel
Columbia County
503-397-3839
230 Strand, Room 20,
St. Helens, Oregon 97051
Kelly.johnson@columbiacountyor.gov

Sarah Hanson

From: Marissa Heffernan <marissa.heffernan@tdn.com>
Sent: Monday, April 5, 2021 11:47 AM
To: Jacyn Normine;Kathy Coddington
Subject: RE: Second Amendment ordinance

Hi Jacyn,

Thank you very much! I appreciate it.

Marissa Heffernan
Reporter, The Daily News
Office 360 577 2530
As of Nov. 16, TDN reporters are working remotely. Please call my cell at 207 604 9127

From: Jacyn Normine [mailto:Jacyn.Normine@columbiacountyor.gov]
Sent: Monday, April 5, 2021 11:33 AM
To: Marissa Heffernan <marissa.heffernan@tdn.com>; Kathy Coddington <kathy.coddington@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: Re: Second Amendment ordinance

Good morning Ms. Heffernan,

Please find attached Ordinance 2021-1 " In the Matter of Declaring a Second Amendment Sanctuary in Columbia County"

Jacyn Normine, Board Office Administrator
Board of Columbia County Commissioners
503-397-4322 Ext 8400

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: Marissa Heffernan <marissa.heffernan@tdn.com>
Sent: Monday, April 5, 2021 11:14 AM
To: Jacyn Normine <Jacyn.Normine@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: Second Amendment ordinance

Hi Jacyn,

I'm a reporter with The Daily News and I'm writing a story about the Columbia County Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance, but I'm having a lot of trouble finding the ordinance itself on the county website. Would you be able to help me find the full text of the ordinance?

Thank you so much!

Marissa Heffernan
Reporter, The Daily News
Office 360 577 2530

As of Nov. 16, TDN reporters are working remotely. Please call my **cell** at 207 604 9127

Sarah Hanson

From: Kelly Johnson
Sent: Friday, April 2, 2021 10:39 AM
To: chronicleclassifieds@countrymedia.net
Cc: Department-Counsel
Subject: Notice and Summons RE Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance
Attachments: Notice and Summons.pdf

Good morning,

Please post the attached in the next 3 newspapers dated April 7, 2021, April 14th, 2021 and April 21, 2021. Please send any invoices to me and please send us an affidavit of publication. Thank you for your assistance. If you have any questions please let us know.

Thank you,

Kelly Johnson
Legal Assistant to County Counsel
Columbia County
503-397-3839
230 Strand, Room 20,
St. Helens, Oregon 97051
Kelly.johnson@columbiacountyor.gov

Sarah Hanson

From: Dominic Carollo <dcarollo@carollolegal.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 1, 2021 4:55 PM
To: Sarah Hanson;Patty Dorroh
Cc: Department-Counsel
Subject: RE: Thanks and an Ask

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

Thanks Sarah.

DOMINIC M. CAROLLO

CAROLLO LAW GROUP LLC
PO Box 2456
630 SE Jackson Street
Suite 1
Roseburg, OR 97470
PH: 541-957-5900
FAX: 541-957-5923
dcarollo@carollolegal.com

From: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Sent: Thursday, April 1, 2021 4:54 PM
To: Patty Dorroh <patty.dorroh@co.harney.or.us>; Dominic Carollo <dcarollo@carollolegal.com>
Cc: Department-Counsel <department-counsel@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: Re: Thanks and an Ask

Patty and Dominic: I am attaching the Petition for Validation related to Columbia County's SASO which was filed late this afternoon.

Best-

Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@columbiacountyor.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: Patty Dorroh <patty.dorroh@co.harney.or.us>
Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 4:15 PM
To: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: Re: Thanks and an Ask

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

Thanks, Sarah. I understand keeping the draft petition for validation close hold.
I will let our county counsel, Dominic Carollo, know that he can reach out to you if he would like to hear more about the petition validation work you are doing.

Really appreciate the help,

Patty Dorroh

Harney County Commissioner
450 North Buena Vista, Burns, Oregon 97720
Phone: 541-573-6356 | Cell: 541-589-1898
patty.dorroh@co.harney.or.us
www.co.harney.or.us

From: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 3:40 PM
To: Patty Dorroh <patty.dorroh@co.harney.or.us>
Cc: Department-Counsel <department-counsel@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: Re: Thanks and an Ask

Hello Patty- I'm attaching the County's Ordinance. It is on next week's agenda for second reading and adoption. The petition for validation is still in draft form and should be in final form by Wednesday. I'm trying to keep it close to the vest until it's ready to be filed. I can send it to you Wednesday. In the meantime, I'd be happy to talk with your County Counsel and explain the questions we're raising to him.

Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@columbiacountyor.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: Patty Dorroh <patty.dorroh@co.harney.or.us>
Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 2:51 PM

To: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>

Subject: Thanks and an Ask

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

Hello Sarah,

Thank you for the conversation yesterday about Columbia County's Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance. It was very helpful.

When you can, would you please send me two things:

- 1) the actual county ordinance (Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance), and
- 2) the petition from the county asking the circuit court to weigh in on the ordinance

Many thanks!

Patty Dorroh

Harney County Commissioner

450 North Buena Vista, Burns, Oregon 97720

Phone: 541-573-6356 | Cell: 541-589-1898

patty.dorroh@co.harney.or.us

www.co.harney.or.us

Sarah Hanson

From: Patty Dorroh <patty.dorroh@co.harney.or.us>
Sent: Thursday, April 1, 2021 5:57 PM
To: Sarah Hanson; Dominic Carollo
Cc: Department-Counsel
Subject: Re: Thanks and an Ask

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

Thank you, Sarah.

Patty Dorroh

Harney County Commissioner
450 North Buena Vista, Burns, Oregon 97720
Phone: 541-573-6356 | Cell: 541-589-1898
patty.dorroh@co.harney.or.us
www.co.harney.or.us

From: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Sent: Thursday, April 1, 2021 4:53 PM
To: Patty Dorroh <patty.dorroh@co.harney.or.us>; Dominic Carollo <dcarollo@yockimlaw.com>
Cc: Department-Counsel <department-counsel@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: Re: Thanks and an Ask

Patty and Dominic: I am attaching the Petition for Validation related to Columbia County's SASO which was filed late this afternoon.

Best-

Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@columbiacountyor.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: Patty Dorroh <patty.dorroh@co.harney.or.us>
Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 4:15 PM
To: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: Re: Thanks and an Ask

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

Thanks, Sarah. I understand keeping the draft petition for validation close hold.
I will let our county counsel, Dominic Carollo, know that he can reach out to you if he would like to hear more about the petition validation work you are doing.

Really appreciate the help,

Patty Dorroh

Harney County Commissioner
450 North Buena Vista, Burns, Oregon 97720
Phone: 541-573-6356 | Cell: 541-589-1898
patty.dorroh@co.harney.or.us
www.co.harney.or.us

From: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 3:40 PM
To: Patty Dorroh <patty.dorroh@co.harney.or.us>
Cc: Department-Counsel <department-counsel@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: Re: Thanks and an Ask

Hello Patty- I'm attaching the County's Ordinance. It is on next week's agenda for second reading and adoption. The petition for validation is still in draft form and should be in final form by Wednesday. I'm trying to keep it close to the vest until it's ready to be filed. I can send it to you Wednesday. In the meantime, I'd be happy to talk with your County Counsel and explain the questions we're raising to him.

Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@columbiacountyor.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: Patty Dorroh <patty.dorroh@co.harney.or.us>
Sent: Thursday, March 25, 2021 2:51 PM
To: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: Thanks and an Ask

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

Hello Sarah,

Thank you for the conversation yesterday about Columbia County's Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance. It was very helpful.

When you can, would you please send me two things:

- 1) the actual county ordinance (Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance), and
- 2) the petition from the county asking the circuit court to weigh in on the ordinance

Many thanks!

Patty Dorroh

Harney County Commissioner

450 North Buena Vista, Burns, Oregon 97720

Phone: 541-573-6356 | Cell: 541-589-1898

patty.dorroh@co.harney.or.us

www.co.harney.or.us

Sarah Hanson

From: Jacyn Normine
Sent: Thursday, April 1, 2021 9:08 AM
To: Public Information
Subject: Re: PRESS RELEASE - Columbia County Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance moves to Validation

Thank you

Jacyn Normine, Board Office Administrator
Board of Columbia County Commissioners
503-397-4322 Ext 8400

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: Public Information <public.info@columbiacountyor.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, March 31, 2021 4:40 PM
To: Anna Del Savio <annad@pamplinmedia.com>; Chronicle Classifieds <chronicleclassifieds@countrymedia.net>; Chronicle News <chroniclenews@countrymedia.net>; Jeremy Ruark <jruark@countrymedia.net>; KATU <newstips@katu.com>; KOIN <news@koin.com>; KPTV <kptvnews@kptv.com>; Oregonian Public Interest Editor <lgunderson@oregonian.com>; TDN Community Editor <nedwards@tdn.com>; TDN Editor <bholtzclaw@tdn.com>
Cc: Jacyn Normine <Jacyn.Normine@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: PRESS RELEASE - Columbia County Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance moves to Validation

Afternoon all,

Please find the press release attached.

Best,

Julie Thompson
Public Information Officer
971-757-4051

Columbia County Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance moves to Validation

(Columbia County, Oregon) – The Columbia County Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance, recently adopted by the Columbia County Board of Commissioners to implement the intent of the voters as demonstrated by the passage of the “Second Amendment Preservation Ordinance” in 2018 and the “Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance” in 2020, will now move on to Validation proceedings in Columbia County Circuit Court.

In passing the Ordinance, many provisions of both Measures 5-270 and 5-278 remain the same. The Ordinance amended the Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance to incorporate provisions of the Second Amendment Preservation Ordinance where it differed from the Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance. The new Ordinance's adoption kept it consistent with County practice and corrected scrivener errors in the Acts while preserving the intent of the voters. The Second Amendment Preservation Ordinance was then repealed.

The passage of the two initiatives and subsequent adopted Ordinance raises several important legal questions about what firearm regulations can be enforced in Columbia County, according to County Counsel Sarah Hanson. To gain clarity from the Court about the matter, the County filed a "Petition for Validation of Local Government Action," which authorizes the circuit court to conduct a judicial examination of the Ordinance and provide a judgment of the court as to its regularity and legality.

"This will allow the Court to tell us whether the County can actually decline to enforce certain state laws, and it will tell us how to abide by the will of the voters to the extent that we can," Hanson said. "It will also safeguard the County in the event we're ever sued by an organization, for example - a gun safety group, due to the measures."

Notice and summons to the County and all electors or interested persons will be posted once a week for the next three weeks. Any interested person will have ten days from the date of the final publication to appear and contest the regularity, legality, validity, and effect of the Ordinance.

"As Columbia County Sheriff, it is my responsibility to ensure the rights of all of our citizens are protected, including the 2nd Amendment right to bear arms," Sheriff Brian Pixley said. "I look forward to the validation process so we can move forward with the will of the voters".

Sarah Hanson

From: Board of Commissioners Office
Sent: Thursday, April 1, 2021 9:24 AM
To: Columbia County
Subject: FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: MARCH 31, 2021 COLUMBIA COUNTY SECOND AMENDMENT SANCTUARY ORDINANCE MOVES TO VALIDATION

COLUMBIA COUNTY SECOND AMENDMENT SANCTUARY ORDINANCE MOVES TO VALIDATION

(Columbia County, Oregon) – The Columbia County Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance, recently adopted by the Columbia County Board of Commissioners to implement the intent of the voters as demonstrated by the passage of the “Second Amendment Preservation Ordinance” in 2018 and the “Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance” in 2020, will now move on to Validation proceedings in Columbia County Circuit Court.

In passing the Ordinance, many provisions of both Measures 5-270 and 5-278 remain the same. The Ordinance amended the Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance to incorporate provisions of the Second Amendment Preservation Ordinance where it differed from the Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance. The new Ordinance’s adoption kept it consistent with County practice and corrected scrivener errors in the Acts while preserving the intent of the voters. The Second Amendment Preservation Ordinance was then repealed.

The passage of the two initiatives and subsequent adopted Ordinance raises several important legal questions about what firearm regulations can be enforced in Columbia County, according to County Counsel Sarah Hanson. To gain clarity from the Court about the matter, the County filed a “Petition for Validation of Local Government Action,” which authorizes the circuit court to conduct a judicial examination of the Ordinance and provide a judgment of the court as to its regularity and legality.

“This will allow the Court to tell us whether the County can actually decline to enforce certain state laws, and it will tell us how to abide by the will of the voters to the extent that we can,” Hanson said. “It will also safeguard the County in the event we’re ever sued by an organization, for example - a gun safety group, due to the measures.”

Notice and summons to the County and all electors or interested persons will be posted once a week for the next three weeks. Any interested person will have ten days from the date of the final publication to appear and contest the regularity, legality, validity, and effect of the Ordinance.

“As Columbia County Sheriff, it is my responsibility to ensure the rights of all of our citizens are protected, including the 2nd Amendment right to bear arms,” Sheriff Brian Pixley said. “I look forward to the validation process so we can move forward with the will of the voters”.

<https://www.columbiacountyor.gov/news/post/11839/>

Sarah Hanson

From: Sarah Hanson
Sent: Thursday, April 1, 2021 4:45 PM
To: Steve Berman;Department-Counsel
Cc: Lydia Anderson-Dana;Graciela Seaman
Subject: Re: Columbia County Validation Proceeding
Attachments: Petition Final with Exhibits 04.01.21.pdf

Hello Steve: The attached was filed just moments ago. Notice and Summons will be published beginning next Wednesday.

Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 |sarah.hanson@columbiacountyor.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 1, 2021 4:07 PM
To: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Cc: Lydia Anderson-Dana <landersondana@stollberne.com>; Graciela Seaman <gseaman@stollberne.com>
Subject: Columbia County Validation Proceeding

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

Hi Sarah,

I hope this finds you well.

We saw that the Commission approved the ordinance yesterday. Has the County filed the Validation proceeding? If so, can you forward me a copy of what was filed?

Thanks.

Steve Berman
sberman@stollberne.com
Pronouns: he/him/his

Stoll Berne • 209 SW Oak Street, Suite 500 • Portland, OR 97204
stollberne.com • Phone: (503) 227-1600 • Fax: (503) 227-6840

This electronic mail transmission contains information from the law firm of Stoll Berne that may be confidential or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of this information is prohibited. If you believe that you have received this message in error, please notify the sender by telephone at (503) 227-1600 or by electronic mail and delete the message without copying or disclosing it. Thank you.

Sarah Hanson

From: Public Information
Sent: Wednesday, March 31, 2021 4:40 PM
To: Anna Del Savio;Chronicle Classifieds;Chronicle News;Jeremy Ruark;KATU;KOIN;KPTV;Oregonian Public Interest Editor;TDN Community Editor;TDN Editor
Cc: Jacyn Normine
Subject: PRESS RELEASE - Columbia County Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance moves to Validation
Attachments: PR - Columbia County Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance moves to Validation.docx

Afternoon all,

Please find the press release attached.

Best,

Julie Thompson
Public Information Officer
971-757-4051

Columbia County Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance moves to Validation

(Columbia County, Oregon) – The Columbia County Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance, recently adopted by the Columbia County Board of Commissioners to implement the intent of the voters as demonstrated by the passage of the “Second Amendment Preservation Ordinance” in 2018 and the “Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance” in 2020, will now move on to Validation proceedings in Columbia County Circuit Court.

In passing the Ordinance, many provisions of both Measures 5-270 and 5-278 remain the same. The Ordinance amended the Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance to incorporate provisions of the Second Amendment Preservation Ordinance where it differed from the Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance. The new Ordinance’s adoption kept it consistent with County practice and corrected scrivener errors in the Acts while preserving the intent of the voters. The Second Amendment Preservation Ordinance was then repealed.

The passage of the two initiatives and subsequent adopted Ordinance raises several important legal questions about what firearm regulations can be enforced in Columbia County, according to County Counsel Sarah Hanson. To gain clarity from the Court about the matter, the County filed a “Petition for Validation of Local Government Action,” which authorizes the circuit court to conduct a judicial examination of the Ordinance and provide a judgment of the court as to its regularity and legality.

“This will allow the Court to tell us whether the County can actually decline to enforce certain state laws, and it will tell us how to abide by the will of the voters to the extent that we can,” Hanson said. “It will also safeguard the County in the event we’re ever sued by an organization, for example - a gun safety group, due to the measures.”

Notice and summons to the County and all electors or interested persons will be posted once a week for the next three weeks. Any interested person will have ten days from the date of the final publication to appear and contest the regularity, legality, validity, and effect of the Ordinance.

“As Columbia County Sheriff, it is my responsibility to ensure the rights of all of our citizens are protected, including the 2nd Amendment right to bear arms,” Sheriff Brian Pixley said. “I look forward to the validation process so we can move forward with the will of the voters”.

Sarah Hanson

From: Kristen Campbell <kcampbell@campbellphillipslaw.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 11, 2021 8:33 AM
To: Sarah Hanson
Subject: FW: Second Amendment Sanctuary (Wasco County)
Attachments: Second Amendment Sanctuary AmendmentRowan.docx

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

Hello Sarah. Doug mentioned that you have may have filed a validation action. Have you done so? Thank you for any direction! Kristen (Wasco County)

On Wed, Mar 10, 2021 at 7:34 PM Kristen Campbell <kcampbell@campbellphillipslaw.com> wrote:

Hello Doug. I am general counsel for Wasco County. My Board asked me to present to them the validity of Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinances. We are getting high pressure from citizen groups. I wondered if you had an research or precedent you would be willing to share. If you are swamped, like everyone else is these days, no worries! Thank you either way,

Kristen

Kristen A. Campbell



CAMPBELL PHILLIPS PC
Attorneys at Law

Mailing: P.O. Box 2449, The Dalles, OR 97058

Physical: 919 Cherry Heights Rd., The Dalles, OR 97058

Hood River Office (by appointment only): 208 State Street, Suite 202, Hood River, OR 97031

Phone: 541-371-5585

Fax: 541-248-2784

Email: kcampbell@campbellphillipslaw.com

Website: www.campbellphillipslaw.com

NOTICE: This communication may contain information that is privileged or confidential. If you have received this message in error, please contact the sender immediately and delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents



Douglas R. Olsen

Umatilla County Counsel
216 SE 4th Street
Pendleton, OR 97801

Phone: 541-278-6208

Fax: 541-278-6372

Email: doug.olsen@umatillacounty.net

Website: umatillacounty.net

Please Be Aware - Documents such as emails, letters, maps, reports, etc. sent from or received by Umatilla County are subject to Oregon Public Records law and are NOT CONFIDENTIAL. This includes materials that may contain sensitive data or other information, and Umatilla County will not be held liable for its distribution

Sarah Hanson

From: Jacyn Normine
Sent: Friday, March 5, 2021 3:41 PM
To: Department Heads & Elected Officials
Cc: KOHI Radio;Anna Del Savio;Karen Schminke;Brian Pixley;Nancy Merlette;Holly Miller;Mike Roberts;Louise Kallstrom;MaryAnn Guess;David Carlberg;Michael Paul
Subject: March 10, 2021 Board of Commissioner meeting agendas
Attachments: 3.10.2021 work session posted.docx; 3.10.2021 BOC agneda.docx

March 10, 2021 agendas have been posted to www.columbiacountyor.gov/meetings

Columbia County, Oregon Official Website - Meetings and Agendas

The official website for the County of Columbia Oregon USA

www.columbiacountyor.gov

Jacyn Normine, Board Office Administrator
Board of Columbia County Commissioners
503-397-4322 Ext 8400

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

Sarah Hanson

From: Jacyn Normine
Sent: Friday, March 5, 2021 3:33 PM
To: Agenda Packets
Cc: Kathy Coddington
Subject: 3.10.2021 BOC agenda
Attachments: 3.10.2021 BOC agneda.docx

www.columbiacountyor.gov/meetings

Columbia County, Oregon Official Website - Meetings and Agendas

The official website for the County of Columbia Oregon USA

www.columbiacountyor.gov

Jacyn Normine, Board Office Administrator
Board of Columbia County Commissioners
503-397-4322 Ext 8400

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

Sarah Hanson

From: Duane Bernard <jdmbarnard57@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 28, 2020 5:10 PM
Subject: Fwd: July 2020 SASO Newsletter
Attachments: embed1

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Committee to Preserve the Second Amendment <info@sanctuaryordinance.com>
Date: Tue, Jul 28, 2020, 1:22 PM
Subject: July 2020 SASO Newsletter
To: <jdmbarnard57@gmail.com>



If you live in **Lane** County, you can download a single signer sheet. By doing this you can sign the Second Amendment Sanctuary petition where a Chief Petitioner has filed a SASO initiative without exposing themselves to a pen and clipboard that others have used. You can print one for others if they request that you do so, and they will have to sign a statement on the form that says, "I certify that I personally printed a copy of this sheet or requested a separate person print a copy so that I may sign it."

If you would like to circulate a 10 line sheet from **Clatsop**, **Tillamook** or **Umatilla** counties, send an email to sanctuaryordinance@gmail.com request a copy of the 10-signature petition sheet in the mail for signature gathering and take the next step of getting other people to sign.

The Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance, or SASO, is a county measure that, if enacted, would give a directive to the county government not to use any county resources or employees to enforce state or federal regulations concerning firearms and firearm accessories. Any county agency or employee found guilty of violating the law would face a Class A violation, plus a \$2,000 fine for the employee, \$4,000 for the offending agency.

Volunteers from eleven counties have filed a SASO initiative petition, and the county clerks from five of those counties rejected the filings. Six county clerks accepted the filings and four of those counties currently have an active SASO petition circulating, Clatsop,

Lane, Tillamook, and Umatilla, but due to the pandemic, the signature-gathering has slowed to a crawl.

The Chief Petitioners in **Columbia & Coos** County were successful in garnering enough valid signatures to put the SASO on the ballot for the general election in November of 2020. The voters of Columbia County should vote YES for Measure 5-278 and the voters of Coos County should vote YES for Measure 6-181. Get your friends and neighbors to vote YES, too.

These county campaigns need signatures to reach the goal to turn their counties into a sanctuary for law-abiding citizens who support their Right to Keep and Bear Arms, so any help would be greatly appreciated.

There is more information and the text of each petition is available at the [website](#).

One hundred percent of your [campaign contribution](#) goes to further these campaigns. All of our workers are volunteers and your donation is eligible for Oregon's political contribution tax credit of \$50 for an individual or \$100 for a couple.

Sincerely, Rob Taylor
Chair of the Committee to Preserve the Second Amendment
Email: sanctuaryordinance@gmail.com

**Oregon Firearms Federation is a great source for Oregon legislative alerts.
You can get national news at gunowners.org.**

**Our goal is to turn every county
in Oregon into a Second
Amendment Sanctuary.
Our motto is, “One county at a
time.”**



Sarah Hanson

From: Sarah Hanson
Sent: Monday, February 22, 2021 4:02 PM
To: Christian Boenisch
Cc: Department-Counsel
Subject: Re: 2nd Amendment Ordinances

Hi Christian- We are proposing a validation proceeding under ORS 33.710-720. I think it's fine for you to tell your Board that I expect to be given the green light to file a validation proceeding. Interesting how the Sheriffs always seem to have the intel! Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@columbiacountyor.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: Christian Boenisch <boenischc@co.yamhill.or.us>
Sent: Monday, February 22, 2021 3:35 PM
To: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: RE: 2nd Amendment Ordinances

Thanks Sarah.

Our Sheriff also mentioned that he had heard these might be going through the judicial validation process. Is that public knowledge and would you be OK if we shared that info with our BOC, or wait until after your work session Wednesday? Our BOC meeting is not until Thursday so we should be OK to wait if need be.

Also, it occurred to me after we spoke that we may have been talking about different judicial review and validation processes. We are currently going through the judicial review process under ORS 33.710 and 720, for a resolution our BOC adopted last December re: COVID-19. Are you planning to go through the ORS 203.060 judicial review process instead?

Thanks,

Christian

Christian F. Boenisch
Yamhill County
County Counsel
(503) 434-7502
boenischc@co.yamhill.or.us

*****CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE*****

This e-mail may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this e-mail in error, please advise me immediately by reply e-mail, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Sent: Monday, February 22, 2021 9:19 AM
To: Christian Boenisch <boenischc@co.yamhill.or.us>
Subject: 2nd Amendment Ordinances

[This email originated outside of Yamhill County]

Good morning, Christian. I'm attaching both the SAPO (approved in 2018) and the SASO (approved in 2020). Please let me know if you have any questions. Thanks.

Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@columbiacountyor.gov

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

Sarah Hanson

From: Sarah Hanson
Sent: Monday, January 4, 2021 12:50 PM
To: Anna Del Savio; Department-Counsel
Subject: Re: Spotlight - Firearm ordinance

Anna- Nothing right now. Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@co.columbia.or.us
Office Hours: Monday-Friday 8:30-5

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: Anna Del Savio <annad@pamplinmedia.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 29, 2020 4:31 PM
To: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>; Department-Counsel <department-counsel@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: RE: Spotlight - Firearm ordinance

Hi Sarah,

Happy holidays! I just wanted to check in to see if there's any more information you can provide yet. Thanks!

Best,
Anna

From: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, November 18, 2020 5:53 PM
To: Anna Del Savio <annad@pamplinmedia.com>; Department-Counsel <department-counsel@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: Re: Spotlight - Firearm ordinance

Anna- This is the response I can give you at the moment. Thank you. Sarah

ORS 33.710-.720 provides a process to submit an ordinance to the Circuit Court for validation. The ordinance must first be adopted by the Board of Commissioners. I do not know at this point if the County intends to use that process related to the Second Amendment Preservation Ordinance or the Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance. The previous court proceedings related to the SASO were related to whether the initiative qualified for the ballot. The criteria for qualification for a ballot are very limited and don't include whether an initiative, if passed, is enforceable (constitutional, etc.). The two initiatives are very similar but I have not reviewed either recently so cannot comment on the specific differences.

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@co.columbia.or.us
Office Hours: Monday-Friday 8:30-5

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Sent: Monday, November 16, 2020 3:43 PM
To: Anna Del Savio <annad@pamplinmedia.com>; Department-Counsel <department-counsel@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: RE: Spotlight - Firearm ordinance

Anna, I'm swamped this week. However, I'm happy to look at email questions and see what I can answer. Thanks. Sarah

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

From: [Anna Del Savio](#)
Sent: Monday, November 16, 2020 3:32 PM
To: [Sarah Hanson](#)
Subject: Spotlight - Firearm ordinance

Hi Sarah,

I have a few questions about the firearm ordinance passed this month. Do you have time for a phone call tomorrow, or if not tomorrow, later this week? If not, let me know if you'd be available to answer questions over email.

Best,
Anna

Anna Del Savio
[Columbia County Spotlight](#)
Pamplin Media
(503) 915-3241 (cell)
(503) 543-6387 (office)

Sarah Hanson

From: Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 8, 2020 2:35 PM
To: Sarah Hanson
Subject: RE: Columbia County Measure 05-178 (Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance)

Thanks Sarah,

I will give you a call at 2 on Thursday. Should I use the 397-3839 number?

From: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, December 8, 2020 12:35 PM
To: Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>
Cc: Graciela Seaman <gseaman@stollberne.com>; Department-Counsel <department-counsel@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: Re: Columbia County Measure 05-178 (Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance)

Hi Steve: I'm scheduled to talk with my Board tomorrow. I'm happy to talk with you Thursday and give you an update. I have availability between 1 and 4. Thanks. Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@co.columbia.or.us
Office Hours: Monday-Friday 8:30-5

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 8, 2020 12:12 PM
To: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Cc: Graciela Seaman <gseaman@stollberne.com>
Subject: RE: Columbia County Measure 05-178 (Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance)

Hi Sarah,

I hope this finds you well. Would you be available for a short call tomorrow or Thursday? I'd like to get a brief update. (I just left a voicemail at your office as well).

Best,

Steve

From: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, November 10, 2020 4:23 PM

To: Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>; Department-Counsel <department-counsel@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: Re: Columbia County Measure 05-178 (Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance)

#External Email

Hi Steve- I think that a validation proceeding is the likely outcome, but I probably won't be able to get a definitive answer for you until after Thanksgiving. I am out of the office the rest of the week but would be happy to talk next week at some time. Thanks.

Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson (she/her/hers) | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@co.columbia.or.us
Office Hours: Monday-Friday 8:30-5

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: Steve Berman <SBerman@stollberne.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 10, 2020 3:49 PM
To: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: Columbia County Measure 05-178 (Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance)

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

Hi Sarah,

I am following up on the message I left with your office yesterday. I'd like to know how the County intends to proceed with Measure 05-178. Would you please let me know your availability for a call over the next couple of days. My schedule is pretty flexible tomorrow afternoon and all day Thursday.

Thank you,

Steve Berman
sberman@stollberne.com

This electronic mail transmission contains information from the law firm of Stoll Berne that may be confidential or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of this information is prohibited. If you believe that you have received this message in error, please notify the sender by telephone at (503) 227-1600 or by electronic mail and delete the message without copying or disclosing it. Thank you.

Sarah Hanson

From: Columbia County Spotlight <thesouthcountyspotlight@secondstreetmessages.com>
Sent: Friday, November 6, 2020 7:01 AM
To: Casey Garrett
Subject: Ch-ch-ch-changes in Columbia County

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

Email not displaying correctly? [View the web version](#)



NEWS UPDATE FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 06, 2020

LOCAL NEWS. YOUR WAY.



Subscribe Today!

Please support the work of our journalists so we can continue to deliver exceptional local news coverage that you can trust. If you believe that quality local news is vital to keeping our communities safe and strong then please join us to **SAVE LOCAL NEWS**.

To subscribe to our print and digital products – **[CLICK HERE!](#)**



Magruder, Garrett, Clarke win Columbia County races

Friday 11/6 at 8:00am

Casey Garrett will join Margaret Magruder and Henry Heimuller on the Columbia County Board of Commissioners after defeating incumbent Alex Tardif.

[READ MORE](#)

Pamplin Digital Agency

We're more than what you read in print.

[Pamplin Digital Media](#)

For Your Business
Learn More




Firearm measure passes

Tuesday 11/3 at 8:00am

Measure 5-278 would prohibit Columbia County from enforcing most gun regulations

[READ MORE](#)



Scappoose Public Library striving to serve patrons during pandemic

Friday 11/6 at 8:00am

Scappoose facility still offers many choices, including books, computers and DVDs

[READ MORE](#)

An advertisement for St. Helens Liquor Store. The top left features the text "your party headquarters" in a stylized font. To the right is a photo of a drink with a garnish. Below this, the text reads: "We sell St. Helens and Portland Bloody Mary Mix. We also have St. Helens Lemon Drop Mix." At the bottom, it says "ST. HELENS LIQUOR STORE" followed by the address "420 COLUMBIA BLVD., ST. HELENS" and the phone number "503-397-1733".

your party headquarters

We sell St. Helens and Portland Bloody Mary Mix. We also have St. Helens Lemon Drop Mix.

ST. HELENS LIQUOR STORE
420 COLUMBIA BLVD., ST. HELENS
503-397-1733



Scappoose High teacher experiences pros, cons of distance learning

Thursday 11/5 at 8:00am

Instructor Mark Sprenger says students aren't the only ones adjusting to the pandemic.

[READ MORE](#)



Columbia County schools reviewing new metrics

Monday 11/2 at 8:00am

Gov. Kate Brown announced last week she was relaxing the standards for in-person instruction to resume.

[READ MORE](#)



**Affordable
Quality Products**

**All Pets
Welcome**

**ALL ABOUT
PETS LLC**
A Truly Unique Pet Shop Experience

Call or place
your order on
allaboutpetsor.com for
curbside or home delivery.
Some restrictions apply.
Open regular hours.

ALL ABOUT PETS LLC
52567 COLUMBIA RIVER HWY., SCAPPOOSE
503-543-5740





Veterans Breakfast in Scappoose will change venues

Thursday 11/5 at 8:00am

Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, this year's November event will be held at Scappoose Bagel.

[READ MORE](#)



School suicide prevention efforts vary as new requirements take effect

Monday 11/2 at 8:00am

All Oregon school districts were required to have districtwide suicide prevention policies and plans by this year.

[READ MORE](#)



**St. Helens Auto Body
Collision Repair**

560 Milton Way, St. Helens
Ph 503-397-9720 Fax 503-397-3158
Email: office@shautobody.com

A black and white advertisement for St. Helens Auto Body Collision Repair. At the top is a photograph of a dark-colored sedan. Below the car, the business name is written in a bold, sans-serif font. At the bottom, contact information including address, phone, fax, and email is provided in a smaller font.

Democrats sweep three statewide offices in Oregon

Thursday 11/5 at 8:00am

Shemia Fagan leads for secretary of state; Tobias Read and Ellen Rosenblum are re-elected.

[READ MORE](#)



Voters approve Oregon's four statewide ballot measures

Thursday 11/5 at 8:00am

State supports campaign finance reform, tobacco taxes, psilocybin therapy and decriminalization of hard drugs.

[READ MORE](#)



6580 NE MINERAL SPRINGS RD, CARLTON, OR



Columbia County Police Logs: Oct. 16-30, 2020

Tuesday 11/3 at 8:00am

Over two weeks, calls include: an abandoned vehicle on Sauvie Island, fighting at the dispensary and a locked-out renter.

[READ MORE](#)



Check out the Columbia County Spotlight E-Edition

The E-Edition provides a dynamic way to read the Columbia County Spotlight on all of your mobile and desktop devices. Get instant access to what's happening in Columbia County.

Share, print, translate, scale to your liking. With three different viewing options, it's never been easier to keep up on all the latest Columbia County news.



[Click here to unsubscribe and manage your email subscriptions.](#)

Columbia County Spotlight 52039 Columbia River Hwy,
Scappoose, OR 97056

Sarah Hanson

From: Ben Ray Luján <info@benraylujan.com>
Sent: Monday, August 5, 2019 10:43 AM
To: Henry Heimuller
Subject: domestic terrorism

The horrific mass shooting the El Paso community suffered this weekend was an act of domestic terrorism and white supremacy. My heart breaks for the victims in El Paso, in Dayton, and other communities across the country who lost loved ones due to gun violence in the past week.

We cannot sit by while our communities are ripped apart by gun violence.

I'm asking you to call these vile acts by their names.

I'm asking you to confront the hatred that took the lives of dozens of Americans.

And then, I'm asking you to join me in doing something about it.

Whether it's giving blood, or giving to an organization dedicated to ending gun violence, please consider taking action at any of the links below:

- **American Red Cross**
- **Giffords - Courage to Fight Gun Violence**
- **Moms Demand Action for Gun Sense in America**
- **Brady Campaign to Prevent Gun Violence**

House Democrats and I sent Mitch McConnell legislation to prevent gun violence more than 3 months ago.

I've watched him do everything in his power to keep that legislation from getting a vote.

All the while, the carnage of innocent life is in danger of becoming an everyday-norm.

I will fight with every breath I have to ensure that does not become the case, Henry.

I'm asking you to fight with me.

It has become abundantly clear that Republicans have nothing but thoughts and prayers to offer in response to these horrors.

So please join me in supporting organizations that are actually doing something to end this hatred and horrific loss of life.

Thank you,

Ben Ray



Ben Ray Luján is running to be the first Hispanic Senator from New Mexico in more than 40 years. No one like Ben Ray has ever run for Senate before. He led the charge to take back the House for the American people and Democrats (and succeeded by a historic margin) and now he's running to take back the Senate too.

We know we send you a lot of emails, but that's because Ben Ray isn't taking a cent of corporate PAC money. His campaign is 100% people-powered. And the polls show we have a once-in-a-lifetime shot to finally defeat Mitch McConnell and take back the Senate. But we can't do this without winning New Mexico. Will you be a part of this historic movement?

DONATE

We're counting on you to stick with us for the long-haul. If you want to stay updated but receive fewer emails, [please let us know](#). If you'd like to unsubscribe completely, we understand. Please unsubscribe [here](#), and we'll make sure to process your request.

People for Ben
PO Box 25371
Albuquerque, NM 87125

Paid for and authorized by People for Ben.

Sarah Hanson

From: Sarah Hanson
Sent: Friday, August 2, 2019 10:40 AM
To: Tyler Smith; Sarah Hanson
Subject: RE: Brumbles v. Columbia County; Judgment and Statement of Costs and Disbursements

Tyler, this money judgment remains unsatisfied. Please let me know when it will be paid. Thank you very much. Sarah

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

From: Tyler Smith <tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com>
Sent: Friday, May 3, 2019 4:12:51 PM
To: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@co.columbia.or.us>
Subject: RE: Brumbles v. Columbia County; Judgment and Statement of Costs and Disbursements

Thank you,

FYI when the court signs it, the Costs will get paid. Please do not take any kind of collection action for at least 30 days so we have time to pay it after it is entered.

Tyler Smith | Owner and Senior Attorney
Tyler Smith & Associates P.C.
503-266-5590 (work) | 503-266-5594 (work)
503-212-6392 (fax)
tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com

Our Law Firm: <http://www.RuralBusinessAttorneys.com>

The information contained in this e-mail is confidential and may also be attorney-client privileged. This information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. If you have received this communication and are not the intended recipient, please delete this message and contact our office immediately.

From: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@co.columbia.or.us>
Sent: Friday, May 3, 2019 4:09 PM
To: Tyler Smith <tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com>
Subject: Re: Brumbles v. Columbia County; Judgment and Statement of Costs and Disbursements

Tyler: Please see the attached which was filed today.

Best-

Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@co.columbia.or.us

Office Hours: Monday-Friday 8:30-5

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: Tyler Smith <tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com>
Sent: Friday, May 3, 2019 10:25 AM
To: Sarah Hanson
Cc: Tyler Smith
Subject: RE: Brumbles v. Columbia County; Judgment and Statement of Costs and Disbursements

We are aware the Donald Clack rejected Columbia County SASO 19-2. We have did appeal 19-2 yesterday because we wanted to make one more substantive change to it, that change has been made so a replacement SASO that is nearly the same as 19-1 that we just litigated will be re-filled by Mr. Brumbles and it cures the "ambiguity" defect that Judge Grove ruled upon. That was to be filed last night or this morning. Please instruct Mr. Clack about ORS 20.105 and that he is bound by the court's decisions on the other aspects of the SASO that have not changed. Since the alleged ambiguity has been modified and removed, he has the obligation to approve the new SASO to be in compliance with the prior findings of Judge Grove who did not rule that it was "administrative" or that it was more than one subject. Mr. Clack has been spreading false information to other county clerks and we are aware of it.

Best wishes,
Tyler

Tyler Smith | Owner and Senior Attorney
Tyler Smith & Associates P.C.
503-266-5590 (work) | 503-266-5594 (work)
503-212-6392 (fax)
tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com

Our Law Firm: <http://www.RuralBusinessAttorneys.com>

The information contained in this e-mail is confidential and may also be attorney-client privileged. This information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. If you have received this communication and are not the intended recipient, please delete this message and contact our office immediately.

From: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@co.columbia.or.us>
Sent: Friday, April 26, 2019 2:49 PM
To: Tyler Smith <tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com>; Department-Counsel <department-counsel@co.columbia.or.us>
Subject: Brumbles v. Columbia County; Judgment and Statement of Costs and Disbursements

Tyler: Please see the attached General Judgment and Money Award together with a Statement of Costs and Disbursements.

Best-

Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@co.columbia.or.us
Office Hours: Monday-Friday 8:30-5

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

Sarah Hanson

From: Tyler Smith <tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com>
Sent: Friday, August 2, 2019 11:59 AM
To: Sarah Hanson
Subject: RE: Brumbles v. Columbia County; Judgment and Statement of Costs and Disbursements

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.

Thank you. I'll check and get it taken care of.

Tyler Smith | Owner and Senior Attorney
Tyler Smith & Associates P.C.
503-266-5590 (work) | 503-266-5594 (work)
503-212-6392 (fax)
tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com

Our Law Firm: <http://www.RuralBusinessAttorneys.com>

The information contained in this e-mail is confidential and may also be attorney-client privileged. This information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. If you have received this communication and are not the intended recipient, please delete this message and contact our office immediately.

From: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Sent: Friday, August 2, 2019 10:40 AM
To: Tyler Smith <tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com>; Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: RE: Brumbles v. Columbia County; Judgment and Statement of Costs and Disbursements

Tyler, this money judgment remains unsatisfied. Please let me know when it will be paid. Thank you very much. Sarah

Sent from Mail for Windows 10

From: Tyler Smith <tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com>
Sent: Friday, May 3, 2019 4:12:51 PM
To: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@co.columbia.or.us>
Subject: RE: Brumbles v. Columbia County; Judgment and Statement of Costs and Disbursements

Thank you,

FYI when the court signs it, the Costs will get paid. Please do not take any kind of collection action for at least 30 days so we have time to pay it after it is entered.

Tyler Smith | Owner and Senior Attorney

Tyler Smith & Associates P.C.
503-266-5590 (work) | 503-266-5594 (work)
503-212-6392 (fax)
tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com

Our Law Firm: <http://www.RuralBusinessAttorneys.com>

The information contained in this e-mail is confidential and may also be attorney-client privileged. This information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. If you have received this communication and are not the intended recipient, please delete this message and contact our office immediately.

From: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@co.columbia.or.us>
Sent: Friday, May 3, 2019 4:09 PM
To: Tyler Smith <tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com>
Subject: Re: Brumbles v. Columbia County; Judgment and Statement of Costs and Disbursements

Tyler: Please see the attached which was filed today.

Best-

Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@co.columbia.or.us
Office Hours: Monday-Friday 8:30-5

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: Tyler Smith <tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com>
Sent: Friday, May 3, 2019 10:25 AM
To: Sarah Hanson
Cc: Tyler Smith
Subject: RE: Brumbles v. Columbia County; Judgment and Statement of Costs and Disbursements

We are aware the Donald Clack rejected Columbia County SASO 19-2. We have did appeal 19-2 yesterday because we wanted to make one more substantive change to it, that change has been made so a replacement SASO that is nearly the same as 19-1 that we just litigated will be re-filled by Mr. Brumbles and it cures the "ambiguity" defect that Judge Grove ruled upon. That was to be filed last night or this morning. Please instruct Mr. Clack about ORS 20.105 and that he is bound by the court's decisions on the other aspects of the SASO that have not changed. Since the alleged ambiguity has been modified and removed, he has the obligation to approve the new SASO to be in compliance with the prior findings of Judge Grove who did not rule that it was "administrative" or that it was more than one subject. Mr. Clack has been spreading false information to other county clerks and we are aware of it.

Best wishes,
Tyler

Tyler Smith | Owner and Senior Attorney
Tyler Smith & Associates P.C.
503-266-5590 (work) | 503-266-5594 (work)
503-212-6392 (fax)
tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com

Our Law Firm: <http://www.RuralBusinessAttorneys.com>

The information contained in this e-mail is confidential and may also be attorney-client privileged. This information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. If you have received this communication and are not the intended recipient, please delete this message and contact our office immediately.

From: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@co.columbia.or.us>
Sent: Friday, April 26, 2019 2:49 PM
To: Tyler Smith <tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com>; Department-Counsel <department-counsel@co.columbia.or.us>
Subject: Brumbles v. Columbia County; Judgment and Statement of Costs and Disbursements

Tyler: Please see the attached General Judgment and Money Award together with a Statement of Costs and Disbursements.

Best-

Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@co.columbia.or.us
Office Hours: Monday-Friday 8:30-5

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

Sarah Hanson

From: Joel Stevens <jstevens@co.tillamook.or.us>
Sent: Wednesday, June 26, 2019 3:16 PM
To: Sarah Hanson
Subject: RE: Columbia County "Approved" SASO

Sarah,

Thank you. This will be very helpful. No surprise, but the ordinance we received has almost identical language to the one your county accepted. It looks like they made a few small modifications after Judge Grove's ruling. I see why this was a close call.

Joel

Joel W. Stevens
Tillamook County Counsel
201 Laurel Ave.
Tillamook, OR 97141
Phone: (503) 842-1805
Email: jstevens@co.tillamook.or.us

*****CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE*****

This e-mail contains information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this e-mail in error, please advise me immediately by reply e-mail, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@columbiacountyor.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, June 25, 2019 5:24 PM
To: Joel Stevens <jstevens@co.tillamook.or.us>
Cc: Department-Counsel <department-counsel@columbiacountyor.gov>
Subject: Columbia County "Approved" SASO

Joel- This is the version that we eventually accepted to move forward with the initiative process. Good luck. Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@co.columbia.or.us
Office Hours: Monday-Friday 8:30-5

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

Sarah Hanson

From: Sarah Hanson
Sent: Tuesday, June 25, 2019 5:24 PM
To: Joel Stevens
Cc: Department-Counsel
Subject: Columbia County "Approved" SASO
Attachments: 04-29-2019 19-3 FILED.pdf

Joel- This is the version that we eventually accepted to move forward with the initiative process. Good luck. Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@co.columbia.or.us
Office Hours: Monday-Friday 8:30-5

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.


Sarah Hanson

From: Suzanne Bonamici <suzanne@bonamiciforcongress.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 20, 2019 7:38 AM
To: Henry Heimuller
Subject: I stand with Moms

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you are expecting this email and/or know the content is safe.



It's hard – but important – to listen to the stories of survivors of gun violence and the friends and family of victims who lost their lives to gun violence. Thank you to Moms Demand Action for organizing the recent rally and march for gun violence prevention.



Nationwide, gun violence claims nearly 100 lives *every day*. Annually, guns kill almost twice as many children as cancer does.

It's a public health crisis, Henry. *And our movement has the power to stop it.*

This year, the U. S. House passed a bipartisan bill to require universal background checks for all gun sales. And last year, the Oregon Legislature closed the "boyfriend" loophole to prevent abusive partners and stalkers from legally purchasing a gun.

These actions save lives. *But they're just first steps.*

We must do more. Prohibit the sale or possession of high-capacity magazines. Reinstate the ban on military-style assault weapons. Fund research into gun violence as a public health issue.

Every day of inaction ends dozens of lives and shatters hundreds of others. I'm determined to keep up the fight until no more families are haunted by an entirely preventable epidemic.

Thank you for standing with me and against gun violence.



Express Donate:

If you've stored your info with ActBlue Express, we'll process your contribution instantly:

\$5

\$15

\$25

\$50

Paid for by Bonamici for Congress.

This email was sent by **Suzanne Bonamici for Congress**
PO Box 1632, Beaverton OR 97075.



This email was sent to henry.heimuller@co.columbia.or.us. Email is an important way for Suzanne Bonamici to keep supporters like you informed about critical issues. Too much email? **Switch to our less email option here.** If you no longer want to hear from Suzanne Bonamici, **get off all our lists instantly here.** Questions or concerns? **Contact us here.**

.com.

For more options, visit <https://groups.google.com/d/optout>.

Sarah Hanson

From: Joel Benton <BentonJC@jacksoncounty.org>
Sent: Monday, May 6, 2019 4:23 PM
To: Sarah Hanson;county-counsels@googlegroups.com
Subject: RE: [county-counsels] Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance

As far as I know, Jackson has not received a prospective petition for a SASO.

From: county-counsels@googlegroups.com [mailto:county-counsels@googlegroups.com] **On Behalf Of** Sarah Hanson
Sent: Monday, May 6, 2019 4:13 PM
To: county-counsels@googlegroups.com
Subject: EXT: [county-counsels] Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance

Happy Monday. I'm hoping to get a status update from the Counties on the Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance. The SASO was filed in Columbia County in January and was rejected. The circuit court upheld the rejection on the full text requirement. The Court did not rule on the single subject or administrative v. legislative requirements. Since then we've received 3 more prospective petitions. Has your County received a SASO and if so, what is the status?

Thank you. Sarah

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

--

You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "County Counsels" group.

To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to county-counsels+unsubscribe@googlegroups.com.

To post to this group, send email to county-counsels@googlegroups.com.

Visit this group at <https://groups.google.com/group/county-counsels>.

To view this discussion on the web visit <https://groups.google.com/d/msgid/county-counsels/DM2PR09MB0253AFFE439ECC42D1422EC183300%40DM2PR09MB0253.namprd09.prod.outlook.com>.

For more options, visit <https://groups.google.com/d/optout>.

Sarah Hanson

From: Tyler Smith <tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com>
Sent: Friday, May 3, 2019 4:13 PM
To: Sarah Hanson
Subject: RE: Brumbles v. Columbia County; Judgment and Statement of Costs and Disbursements

Thank you,

FYI when the court signs it, the Costs will get paid. Please do not take any kind of collection action for at least 30 days so we have time to pay it after it is entered.

Tyler Smith | Owner and Senior Attorney
Tyler Smith & Associates P.C.
503-266-5590 (work) | 503-266-5594 (work)
503-212-6392 (fax)
tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com

Our Law Firm: <http://www.RuralBusinessAttorneys.com>

The information contained in this e-mail is confidential and may also be attorney-client privileged. This information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. If you have received this communication and are not the intended recipient, please delete this message and contact our office immediately.

From: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@co.columbia.or.us>
Sent: Friday, May 3, 2019 4:09 PM
To: Tyler Smith <tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com>
Subject: Re: Brumbles v. Columbia County; Judgment and Statement of Costs and Disbursements

Tyler: Please see the attached which was filed today.

Best-

Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@co.columbia.or.us
Office Hours: Monday-Friday 8:30-5

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

From: Tyler Smith <tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com>

Sent: Friday, May 3, 2019 10:25 AM

To: Sarah Hanson

Cc: Tyler Smith

Subject: RE: Brumbles v. Columbia County; Judgment and Statement of Costs and Disbursements

We are aware the Donald Clack rejected Columbia County SASO 19-2. We have did appeal 19-2 yesterday because we wanted to make one more substantive change to it, that change has been made so a replacement SASO that is nearly the same as 19-1 that we just litigated will be re-filled by Mr. Brumbles and it cures the "ambiguity" defect that Judge Grove ruled upon. That was to be filed last night or this morning. Please instruct Mr. Clack about ORS 20.105 and that he is bound by the court's decisions on the other aspects of the SASO that have not changed. Since the alleged ambiguity has been modified and removed, he has the obligation to approve the new SASO to be in compliance with the prior findings of Judge Grove who did not rule that it was "administrative" or that it was more than one subject. Mr. Clack has been spreading false information to other county clerks and we are aware of it.

Best wishes,
Tyler

Tyler Smith | Owner and Senior Attorney
Tyler Smith & Associates P.C.
503-266-5590 (work) | 503-266-5594 (work)
503-212-6392 (fax)
tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com

Our Law Firm: <http://www.RuralBusinessAttorneys.com>

The information contained in this e-mail is confidential and may also be attorney-client privileged. This information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. If you have received this communication and are not the intended recipient, please delete this message and contact our office immediately.

From: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@co.columbia.or.us>

Sent: Friday, April 26, 2019 2:49 PM

To: Tyler Smith <tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com>; Department-Counsel <department-counsel@co.columbia.or.us>

Subject: Brumbles v. Columbia County; Judgment and Statement of Costs and Disbursements

Tyler: Please see the attached General Judgment and Money Award together with a Statement of Costs and Disbursements.

Best-

Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@co.columbia.or.us
Office Hours: Monday-Friday 8:30-5

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise

exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

Sarah Hanson

From: Tyler Smith <tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com>
Sent: Friday, May 3, 2019 10:25 AM
To: Sarah Hanson
Cc: Tyler Smith
Subject: RE: Brumbles v. Columbia County; Judgment and Statement of Costs and Disbursements

We are aware the Donald Clack rejected Columbia County SASO 19-2. We have did appeal 19-2 yesterday because we wanted to make one more substantive change to it, that change has been made so a replacement SASO that is nearly the same as 19-1 that we just litigated will be re-filled by Mr. Brumbles and it cures the "ambiguity" defect that Judge Grove ruled upon. That was to be filed last night or this morning. Please instruct Mr. Clack about ORS 20.105 and that he is bound by the court's decisions on the other aspects of the SASO that have not changed. Since the alleged ambiguity has been modified and removed, he has the obligation to approve the new SASO to be in compliance with the prior findings of Judge Grove who did not rule that it was "administrative" or that it was more than one subject. Mr. Clack has been spreading false information to other county clerks and we are aware of it.

Best wishes,
Tyler

Tyler Smith | Owner and Senior Attorney
Tyler Smith & Associates P.C.
503-266-5590 (work) | 503-266-5594 (work)
503-212-6392 (fax)
tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com

Our Law Firm: <http://www.RuralBusinessAttorneys.com>

The information contained in this e-mail is confidential and may also be attorney-client privileged. This information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. If you have received this communication and are not the intended recipient, please delete this message and contact our office immediately.

From: Sarah Hanson <Sarah.Hanson@co.columbia.or.us>
Sent: Friday, April 26, 2019 2:49 PM
To: Tyler Smith <tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com>; Department-Counsel <department-counsel@co.columbia.or.us>
Subject: Brumbles v. Columbia County; Judgment and Statement of Costs and Disbursements

Tyler: Please see the attached General Judgment and Money Award together with a Statement of Costs and Disbursements.

Best-
Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, Room 20 St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@co.columbia.or.us
Office Hours: Monday-Friday 8:30-5

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

Sarah Hanson

From: ADeSavio@spotlightnews.net
Sent: Wednesday, March 27, 2019 12:35 PM
To: Sarah Hanson
Subject: Spotlight - SASO

Hi Sarah,

My name is Anna Del Savio and I'm a reporter with the Spotlight. I've been following the Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance. Could you send me a copy of the County's Official Enforcement Ordinance as provided in Court last week? Email or fax to 503-543-6380 is great. Please let me know if you have any questions. Thank you!

Best,
Anna

Anna Del Savio
News Reporter
Columbia County Spotlight
adelsavio@spotlightnews.net
(503) 543-6387 (office)
(503) 915-3241 (cell)

Sarah Hanson

From: Tyler Smith <tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com>
Sent: Monday, March 25, 2019 12:43 PM
To: Sarah Hanson
Cc: Tyler Smith
Subject: Brumbles v. Columbia County
Attachments: Supplemental Brief v4 final.pdf

Sarah,

Attached is the supplemental memo we filed today with the court on this matter. You will also receive a paper copy served by mail.

Best wishes,
Tyler

Tyler Smith | Owner and Senior Attorney
Tyler Smith & Associates P.C.
503-266-5590 (work) | 503-266-5594 (work)
503-212-6392 (fax)
tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com

Our Law Firm: <http://www.RuralBusinessAttorneys.com>

The information contained in this e-mail is confidential and may also be attorney-client privileged. This information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. If you have received this communication and are not the intended recipient, please delete this message and contact our office immediately.

Sarah Hanson

From: Sarah Hanson
Sent: Thursday, March 14, 2019 3:36 PM
To: Tyler Smith
Cc: Department-Counsel
Subject: Re: Brumbles v. Columbia County Clerk
Attachments: SASO Supplemental Response 3.14.19.pdf

Hi Tyler, I'm sorry if you thought I was representing something I did not. I think I noted to you clearly that I had not looked at the rule you cited when we discussed the change of hearing date. I did not represent to you that I would not be filing a supplemental response. After looking at the rules I saw no reason why I needed to seek leave to file a supplemental response and saw no need to notify the Court of your objection. As I said you can certainly do that. My request for re-hearing was to have time to review the response and determine what to do. I never said otherwise. I appreciate your willingness to stipulate to a set over but I would have sought the set over without it and don't have any doubt that it would have been granted.

I'm attaching the Supplemental Response submitted today. Thank you. Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@co.columbia.or.us
Office Hours: Monday-Friday 8:30-5

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

On Thu, Mar 14, 2019 at 2:43 PM Tyler Smith <tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com> wrote:

Sarah,

I see that contrary to what you said below in your representation to me, that you have filed an unauthorized and tardy sur-response. You failed to note to the court that we objected to any such filing, or that we specifically objected to you using the additional time that you said you needed to prepare for oral argument, as time to prepare and write a last minute un-authorized sur-Response.

I think it is very unfortunate to see that.

Tyler Smith | Owner and Senior Attorney

Tyler Smith & Associates P.C.

503-266-5590 (work) | 503-266-5594 (work)

503-212-6392 (fax)

tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com

Our Law Firm: <http://www.RuralBusinessAttorneys.com>

The information contained in this e-mail is confidential and may also be attorney-client privileged. This information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. If you have received this communication and are not the intended recipient, please delete this message and contact our office immediately.

From: Sarah Hanson <sarah.hanson@co.columbia.or.us>
Sent: Tuesday, March 5, 2019 5:09 PM
To: Tyler Smith <tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com>
Cc: Department-Counsel <department-counsel@co.columbia.or.us>
Subject: Re: Brumbles v. Columbia County Clerk

No I have not.

Get [Outlook for Android](#)

From: Tyler Smith <tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 5, 2019 3:49:22 PM
To: Hanson, Sarah
Cc: Department-Counsel
Subject: RE: Brumbles v. Columbia County Clerk

Did you get any confirmation that this has been re-set?

Tyler Smith | Owner and Senior Attorney

Tyler Smith & Associates P.C.

503-266-5590 (work) | 503-266-5594 (work)

503-212-6392 (fax)

tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com

Our Law Firm: <http://www.RuralBusinessAttorneys.com>

The information contained in this e-mail is confidential and may also be attorney-client privileged. This information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. If you have received this communication and are not the intended recipient, please delete this message and contact our office immediately.

From: Hanson, Sarah <sarah.hanson@co.columbia.or.us>

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 4:07 PM

To: Tyler Smith <tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com>

Cc: Department-Counsel <Department-Counsel@co.columbia.or.us>

Subject: Re: Brumbles v. Columbia County Clerk

Tyler, Thank you. I will add the requested dates. As for the sur-reply, at this point I don't expect I will ask for leave to do that. If I do decide that is something I can or want to request I expect you will object. But, like I noted, I have not had time to look into that point. May I file the proposed order with the dates added? Thank you. Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@co.columbia.or.us

Office Hours: Monday-Friday 8:30-5

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

On Mon, Mar 4, 2019 at 3:56 PM Tyler Smith <tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com> wrote:

Would you mind putting in the dates that we agreed to set it over too? Often times the clerk can tell when there are potential open times.

I have no objection as long as this is just a re-scheduling of the oral argument hearing. If you are thinking or planning to try to use this to file some kind of sur-Response then I would object to that, as noted last week, and object to any delay based upon that.

Best wishes,
Tyler

Tyler Smith | Owner and Senior Attorney

Tyler Smith & Associates P.C.

503-266-5590 (work) | 503-266-5594 (work)

503-212-6392 (fax)

tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com

Our Law Firm: <http://www.RuralBusinessAttorneys.com>

The information contained in this e-mail is confidential and may also be attorney-client privileged. This information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. If you have received this communication and are not the intended recipient, please delete this message and contact our office immediately.

From: Hanson, Sarah <sarah.hanson@co.columbia.or.us>

Sent: Monday, March 4, 2019 3:31 PM

To: Tyler Smith <tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com>; Department-Counsel <[Department-](#)

Counsel@co.columbia.or.us>

Subject: Re: Brumbles v. Columbia County Clerk

Tyler: Please review the attached and let me know if you have any objections.

Best-

Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@co.columbia.or.us

Office Hours: Monday-Friday 8:30-5

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

On Fri, Mar 1, 2019 at 3:32 PM Hanson, Sarah <sarah.hanson@co.columbia.or.us> wrote:

Thank you, Tyler. I checked in with Judge Grove's Court Clerk and it doesn't seem like it will be a problem to have a hearing the week of March 18, although Carole doesn't actually do the scheduling. I will ask to reschedule the hearing the week of March 18, but not the 18th. Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@co.columbia.or.us

Office Hours: Monday-Friday 8:30-5

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

On Fri, Mar 1, 2019 at 2:37 PM Tyler Smith <tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com> wrote:

I am saying that I reviewed the certificate of service, and checked my e-mail and we US Mailed two copies, and e-filed that Reply, which should have generated an electronic notice to you from the e-filing system. I received the electronic notice on my filing and yours. I didn't realize the name on the address header said County Clerk and not County Counsel until today when you brought it up. I am sorry that that didn't go directly to you.

Is 1 to 2 weeks past the 6th enough for you? Right now we are 5 days away from oral argument, I am not sure how much time you are looking for but isn't that enough?

Tyler Smith | Owner and Senior Attorney

Tyler Smith & Associates P.C.

503-266-5590 (work) | 503-266-5594 (work)

503-212-6392 (fax)

tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com

Our Law Firm: <http://www.RuralBusinessAttorneys.com>

The information contained in this e-mail is confidential and may also be attorney-client privileged. This information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. If you have received this communication and are not the intended recipient, please delete this message and contact our office immediately.

From: Hanson, Sarah <sarah.hanson@co.columbia.or.us>

Sent: Friday, March 1, 2019 2:29 PM

To: Tyler Smith <tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com>

Cc: Department-Counsel <Department-Counsel@co.columbia.or.us>

Subject: Re: Brumbles v. Columbia County Clerk

Tyler- Did the mail you sent have my name on it or Don Clacks (my client)? The Columbia County Courthouse is a large building with many departments. Without putting my name on it or "Office of County Counsel" there should be no reasonable expectation that I would receive it.

Are you saying that you tried to serve me through efile? Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@co.columbia.or.us

Office Hours: Monday-Friday 8:30-5

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

On Fri, Mar 1, 2019 at 2:16 PM Tyler Smith <tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com> wrote:

First, I e-filed, and I served two copies to your mailing address, that is not Ex-Parte. Did you not receive the e-filing notice? I don't understand why you would need an extension of time to prepare for oral argument that is still 5 days away. We can agree to an extension of 1 to 2 weeks if you need it to prepare for oral argument, but again, there is no basis for a sur-Response. UTCR 5.030. Further briefing or delays takes time away from my client's ability to gather signatures.

If the court has available times in the next 1-2 weeks after next week between now and the 22nd we should be able to accommodate a re-scheduled oral argument time slot if that is what you need. I cannot do the 18th.

Best wishes,
Tyler

Tyler Smith | Owner and Senior Attorney

Tyler Smith & Associates P.C.

503-266-5590 (work) | 503-266-5594 (work)

503-212-6392 (fax)

tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com

Our Law Firm: <http://www.RuralBusinessAttorneys.com>

The information contained in this e-mail is confidential and may also be attorney-client privileged. This information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. If you have received this communication and are not the intended recipient, please delete this message and contact our office immediately.

From: Hanson, Sarah <sarah.hanson@co.columbia.or.us>
Sent: Friday, March 1, 2019 1:55 PM
To: Tyler Smith <tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com>
Cc: Department-Counsel <Department-Counsel@co.columbia.or.us>
Subject: Re: Brumbles v. Columbia County Clerk

Tyler: Thank you for that response. My office does not accept service of process for its departments. However, that does not in any way change the rule that attorneys are supposed to serve each other with pleadings.

I do not know at this point whether the rules allow a "sur-response". But, that is something I will need to look at. Regardless, I need some time to review the additional 15 pages of information you've provided to Judge Grove ex parte. That will require some time. Please let me know if you will stipulate to a continuance.

Best-

Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@co.columbia.or.us

Office Hours: Monday-Friday 8:30-5

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the

context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

On Fri, Mar 1, 2019 at 1:45 PM Tyler Smith <tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com> wrote:

Hi Sarah,

I'd have to check on the documents who we served. I did just check and see we served the Clerk AND Mr. Clack the same on the Reply that we did on the Petition. I know that early on in this case we were told that county counsel would not accept service, so no counsel's name was put on the cert of service. It looks like the same certificate of services addressees were used on the Reply. I also see that it is actually the exact same address. I am sorry if you didn't get it quickly, however I don't believe that really matters here because I don't think there is a sur-response available to the Clerk's office anyway. Do you have some authority that the County would have the right to a sur-response? If there is a right to a sur-Response, then we as the Petitioner would be entitled to a sur-Reply and that also isn't called for in any rule or statute.

Tyler Smith | Owner and Senior Attorney

Tyler Smith & Associates P.C.

503-266-5590 (work) | 503-266-5594 (work)

503-212-6392 (fax)

tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com

Our Law Firm: <http://www.RuralBusinessAttorneys.com>

The information contained in this e-mail is confidential and may also be attorney-client privileged. This information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. If you have received this communication and are not the intended recipient, please delete this message and contact our office immediately.

From: Hanson, Sarah <sarah.hanson@co.columbia.or.us>

Sent: Friday, March 1, 2019 12:42 PM

To: Tyler Smith <tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com>; Department-Counsel <Department-Counsel@co.columbia.or.us>

Subject: Brumbles v. Columbia County Clerk

Tyler: Don Clack, Elections Supervisor, brought me your most recent filing yesterday afternoon. Can you please explain why you served the Clerk and not me? Did I miss an email?

Having only just received this document I will need additional time to respond. Will you stipulate to a continuance of the hearing scheduled for next Wednesday? I will need a response from you by the end of the day today.

Best-

Sarah Hanson

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@co.columbia.or.us

Office Hours: Monday-Friday 8:30-5

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

Sarah Hanson

From: Tyler Smith <tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com>
Sent: Friday, March 1, 2019 1:55 PM
To: Sarah Hanson
Cc: Tyler Smith
Subject: FW: Columbia County Circuit Court Case 19CV02825 Raven Brumbles vs. Columbia County Clerk
Attachments: Petition and Exhibits for Filing.pdf

Hi Sarah,

I quickly looked over my e-mails and does not look like I e-mailed the Reply, but I did e-file it. I see these early e-mails where I was trying to find out if I could serve counsel. I am sorry if this has caused any inconvenience, but again, I don't think there is any round of sur-briefing allowed in this scenario.

Tyler Smith | Owner and Senior Attorney
Tyler Smith & Associates P.C.
503-266-5590 (work) | 503-266-5594 (work)
503-212-6392 (fax)
tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com

Our Law Firm: <http://www.RuralBusinessAttorneys.com>

The information contained in this e-mail is confidential and may also be attorney-client privileged. This information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. If you have received this communication and are not the intended recipient, please delete this message and contact our office immediately.

From: Tyler Smith
Sent: Tuesday, January 22, 2019 10:43 AM
To: 'sarah.hanson@co.columbia.or.us' <sarah.hanson@co.columbia.or.us>
Cc: Tyler Smith <tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com>
Subject: FW: Columbia County Circuit Court Case 19CV02825 Raven Brumbles vs. Columbia County Clerk

From: Tyler Smith <tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com>
Sent: Tuesday, January 22, 2019 10:36 AM
To: jeff.auxier@co.columbia.or.us; betty.huser@co.columbia.or.us
Cc: Tyler Smith <tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com>
Subject: Columbia County Circuit Court Case 19CV02825 Raven Brumbles vs. Columbia County Clerk

Hello there,

We wanted to alert you to the fact that a Petition for Judicial Review has been filed in the above matter. It is case 19CV02825. Will one of you accept service on behalf of the County, or County Clerk?

Best wishes,
Tyler

Tyler Smith | Owner and Senior Attorney
Tyler Smith & Associates P.C.
503-266-5590 (work) | 503-266-5594 (work)
503-212-6392 (fax)
tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com

Our Law Firm: <http://www.RuralBusinessAttorneys.com>

The information contained in this e-mail is confidential and may also be attorney-client privileged. This information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. If you have received this communication and are not the intended recipient, please delete this message and contact our office immediately.

Sarah Hanson

From: Sarah Hanson
Sent: Tuesday, January 29, 2019 11:04 AM
To: Tyler Smith;Department-Counsel
Subject: Re: FW: Columbia County Circuit Court Case 19CV02825 Raven Brumbles vs. Columbia County Clerk

Tyler: Mr. Brumbles has contacted the Board of County Commissioners asking when the Board announced the passage of the Second Amendment Preservation Ordinance. Can you clarify what Mr. Brumbles is asking? The County Clerk certifies elections. Perhaps he's asking for the date of certification?

Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@co.columbia.or.us
Office Hours: Monday-Friday 8:30-5

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

On Sat, Jan 26, 2019 at 1:01 PM Hanson, Sarah <sarah.hanson@co.columbia.or.us> wrote:
Tyler:

I'm in the process of reviewing your petition and will be filing a response next week. Thank you for your courtesy in this matter.

Best regards,
Sarah

Sarah Elizabeth Hanson | County Counsel | Columbia County | 503-397-3839 |
230 Strand, St. Helens, Oregon 97051 | sarah.hanson@co.columbia.or.us
Office Hours: Monday-Friday 8:30-5

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.

On Tue, Jan 22, 2019 at 10:43 AM Tyler Smith <tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com> wrote:

From: Tyler Smith <tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com>
Sent: Tuesday, January 22, 2019 10:36 AM
To: jeff.auxier@co.columbia.or.us; betty.huser@co.columbia.or.us

Cc: Tyler Smith <tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com>

Subject: Columbia County Circuit Court Case 19CV02825 Raven Brumbles vs. Columbia County Clerk

Hello there,

We wanted to alert you to the fact that a Petition for Judicial Review has been filed in the above matter. It is case 19CV02825. Will one of you accept service on behalf of the County, or County Clerk?

Best wishes,
Tyler

Tyler Smith | Owner and Senior Attorney

Tyler Smith & Associates P.C.

503-266-5590 (work) | 503-266-5594 (work)

503-212-6392 (fax)

tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com

Our Law Firm: <http://www.RuralBusinessAttorneys.com>

The information contained in this e-mail is confidential and may also be attorney-client privileged. This information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. If you have received this communication and are not the intended recipient, please delete this message and contact our office immediately.

Sarah Hanson

From: Chris Brumbles <grizverine@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, January 29, 2019 1:26 PM
To: Margaret Magruder
Subject: Re: Second Amendment Preservation Ordinance/ Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance

Thank you.

On Tue, Jan 29, 2019 at 12:28 PM Magruder, Margaret <margaret.magruder@co.columbia.or.us> wrote:
Dear Mr. Brumbles,

The results of the November 6, 2018 election regarding Measure 5-270 were certified on November 26, 2018 by Columbia County Clerk Betty Huser.

Sincerely,
Margaret

On Mon, Jan 28, 2019 at 7:09 PM Chris Brumbles <grizverine@gmail.com> wrote:

Dear Commissioners,

Could one, or all of you please write me back and tell me on what date you announced the passage by the voters of Measure 5-270, SAPO. I cannot seem to find it on past minutes and the minutes do not seem to be up to date. Please remember that this Ordinance had an emergency clause, was passed by the people and should be in effect presently. Also, I would like to convey my displeasure with the County for rejecting my latest Ordinance, the Second Amendment Sanctuary Ordinance. This will only cost tax paying citizens more money when the county has to defend it in court, as I have filed a law suit and believe we will win.

The SASO was written by the 10th Amendment center and then re-written by our attorney, so that it would reflect Oregon law. Obstructing the peoples voice on weather to let their hard earned tax dollars be used to violate their Natural Born God Given Rights, is in my opinion just another assault on the people. I realize that Commissioners do not make this decision, but thought you all should know in case you didn't.

I have also heard other disturbing rumors about the County contemplating going around the will of the people, but will address those personally at a later date. God Bless and thank you for your time. A speedy response would be greatly appreciated.

In Liberty,
Chris Brumbles
Columbia County Coordinator
Oregon Firearms Federation
Founder, Oregon Irregulars (3%)

--

Margaret C. Magruder

Columbia County Commissioner

503-397-4322

503-709-9652 cell

Service ~ Engagement ~ Connection ~ Innovation

Sarah Hanson

From: Tyler Smith <tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com>
Sent: Tuesday, January 22, 2019 10:43 AM
To: Sarah Hanson
Cc: Tyler Smith
Subject: FW: Columbia County Circuit Court Case 19CV02825 Raven Brumbles vs. Columbia County Clerk
Attachments: Petition and Exhibits for Filing.pdf

From: Tyler Smith <tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com>
Sent: Tuesday, January 22, 2019 10:36 AM
To: jeff.auxier@co.columbia.or.us; betty.huser@co.columbia.or.us
Cc: Tyler Smith <tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com>
Subject: Columbia County Circuit Court Case 19CV02825 Raven Brumbles vs. Columbia County Clerk

Hello there,

We wanted to alert you to the fact that a Petition for Judicial Review has been filed in the above matter. It is case 19CV02825. Will one of you accept service on behalf of the County, or County Clerk?

Best wishes,
Tyler

Tyler Smith | Owner and Senior Attorney
Tyler Smith & Associates P.C.
503-266-5590 (work) | 503-266-5594 (work)
503-212-6392 (fax)
tyler@ruralbusinessattorneys.com

Our Law Firm: <http://www.RuralBusinessAttorneys.com>

The information contained in this e-mail is confidential and may also be attorney-client privileged. This information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to whom it is addressed. If you have received this communication and are not the intended recipient, please delete this message and contact our office immediately.

Sarah Hanson

From: Chris Brumbles <grizverine@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, July 21, 2018 11:43 PM
To: Henry Heimuller; Alex Tardif; Margaret Magruder
Cc: Larry Ericksen; Oregon Firearms; Jim Hoffman; Tom McKirgan; Rob Taylor; cmann@countrymedia.net; codymann@countrymedia.net
Subject: Here we go again.

7/21/18 Approximately 10:00 P.M.

Please be informed:

Just talked to Misty Fox who was just escorted out of Columbia County fair for openly carrying a firearm. Misty has been open carrying at the fair for several day without incident, but tonight she was aggressively approached by Deputy Peabody of the Sheriffs Department who was getting in her face and trying to Intimidate her because she was carrying a firearm (there is some video). The reason that Deputy Peabody gave for escorting her off of the property was that she was trespassing on private property, owned by the county... oxi moron in my opinion.

While Misty was being harassed, the Deputy forced her to give him her Concealed Handgun License against her will, which was finally returned. County employees seem to Have a habit every so often of trying to strong-arm and Intimidate people who they don't agree with when it comes to carrying firearms in this county.

Two years ago, I had a booth at the fair when I was approached by the bloated ego of Butch Guess. Someone had told him that I had a Concealed Handgun and he came unglued. He asked if I had a gun and I told him that it was none of his business. He then tried to reach into my booth and lift my shirt to see, when I stopped him and informed him that he would not be touching me. Butch tried to intimidate me and told me to pack up my booth and leave. I informed him that I would not leave and to have me arrested if he thought I was breaking the law.

I explained to Mr. Guess that Oregon is an open carry state and because of the pre-emption statute (ORS166-170), ONLY the Legislature can make laws, rules, etc. concerning them with VERY FEW EXCEPTIONS, and that I had a CHL anyway which is allowed in public places (including schools) and that ONLY the Legislature could make laws, rules, etc. concerning them with NO exceptions (ORS 166-370), so, moot point. Mr. Guess kept screaming unprofessionally that the COUNTY owns the fair grounds and that it is private property. I explained that county property is PUBLIC property owned by the people, but he just kept repeating the same nonsense and couldn't get the point. I bring this up because supposedly Mr. Guess was in the area when Misty was harassed, intimidated and having her Rights violated. I left a voice mail for Commissioner Heimuller and told him the situation and came by my booth about a half an hour later and said he had taken care of it and I was OK. By the way, tonight Mr. Guess was bragging to Misty that he had kicked me out of the fair for the same thing a couple of years ago which was a lie as I finished the rest of the fair in my booth, so I do not know if he is delusional as well as being a pompous ass.

I was harassed by the St. Helens Police several years ago when they found out that I had carried a gun on school grounds and they tried the same old tired intimidation, yelling thing on me then too. After a long discussion, I told them to arrest me next time, and Oregon Firearms would sue them. Several months later, one of the officers admitted that I was right. Oregon Firearms Federation had just won 2 lawsuits against 2 separate Universities over this same issue.

I am sick to death of Police and County employees trying to legislate their own laws and redefine public property in order to harass, inconvenience and intimidate people. I'm sick of the bullying of law abiding, tax paying citizens, and I'm sick of the attacks on our Natural Born Rights. I'm tired of people perjuring their Oath of Office and want people to know that it won't be tolerated or uncontested. I will be calling the founder of Oregon Firearms Federation, Kevin Starrett and decide what the next step is, but the fact is that these bullying tactics, violations of peoples rights, and unprofessionalism has to stop once and for all.

This email, in no way should be considered legal advice, as I am not an attorney, but to wrap this up, it is perfectly legal to carry open or concealed at the Fairgrounds which is property owned by the PUBLIC, but Misty fox has an Oregon and Washington CHL anyway as well as a DPSST card, so in my opinion, only an ignorant Deputy would have harassed her and thrown her out of the fair anyway. This issue is not dead, but just beginning as we will not have our employees harassing us. You will hear more of this in the papers and whatever course Kevin Starrett decides. God Bless.

In Liberty,

Chris Brumbles

Columbia County Coordinator

Oregon Firearms Federation

Founder, OR Irregulars 3%

Northern Coordinator and Chief Petitioner of this County for the Second Amendment Preservation Ordinance.