

Oregon Judicial Department

Columbia County Circuit Court 230 Strand Street St. Helens, OR 97051 (503) 397-2327 Fax: (503) 397-3226 http://courts.oregon.gov/Columbia

IN COURT FILING

July 29, 2021

Ms. Sarah Hanson

Columbia Co. Counsel

230 Strand Room 20

St. Helens OR 97051

Mr. Steven Berman

Stoll Berne PC

209 SW Oak St Ste 500

Portland OR 97204

Mr. Brian Marshall Oregon Department of Justice 100 SW Market Street Portland OR 97201

Mr. Tyler Smith Tyler Smith & Associates PC 181 N. Grant St Ste 212 Canby OR 97013

Re: Columbia County vs. In Rem Columbia County Circuit Court Case No. 21CV12796

Dear Ms. Hanson, Mr. Berman, Mr. Marshall, and Mr. Smith:

While a governing body may seek review of an ordinance, even one that incorporates initiative measures, judicial examination still requires a justiciable controversy. ORS 3.710(4). Petitioners have not demonstrated such a controversy.

Petitioners do not seek to defend their ordinance from a challenge or resolve some conflict between parties. They do not attempt to overcome resistance from the Sheriff or State Police, nor, as apparent from their pleadings, would they defend their ordinance at all even if challenged. Instead, Petitioners seek what amounts to an advisory opinion designed to invalidate their own newly passed ordinance.

This lack of controversy is not cured by the appearance of intervenors. *Teledyne Industries v. Paulus*, 279 Or 665, 670 (1984) ("Justiciability does not depend on the fortuitous appearance of an intervenor.").

Ms. Hanson, Mr. Berman, Mr. Marshall, and Mr. Smith Page 2 July 29, 2021

Petitioners do not have authority to seek, and the court does not have authority to grant, review of an ordinance without a justiciable controversy. Intervenors' request to dismiss the petition is granted.

Sincerely,

Ted E. Grove

Presiding Judge

TEG:cf